



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22216
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SELECTED PIGEONPEA (<i>Cajanus cajan</i> (L.) Millsp.) GENOTYPES FOR RESISTANCE TO INSECT PEST COMPLEX IN DRY AREAS OF NORTH RIFT VALLEY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Reference section: Ref. no. 7: <i>Heliothis</i> is a genus and must be italicized Ref. no 12: <i>Cajanus cajan</i> being a species name his not properly written as such, neither is it italicized	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The output is generally well written and the introduction and rationale of the study are well anchored. Sufficient detail of the methods used has been provided. The data given in the results section is properly controlled, robust and relevant to the objectives of the study. However, even though the author (s) have provided relevant references, these are by and large not current and/or sufficient.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Augustine Niba
Department, University & Country	Department of Biological & Environmental Sciences, Walter Sisulu University, South Africa