SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_22216**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATION OF SELECTED PIGEONPEA (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.) GENOTYPES FOR RESISTANCE TO INSECT PEST COMPLEX IN DRY AREAS OF NORTH RIFT VALLEY, KENYA**
Type of the Article	**Original research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Authors should revise the MS in the light of comments as shown on the body of MS. Suggested incorporations will improve the worth of the paper. Most of the references are more than 10 years old. Recently published citable papers have been indicated on the body of MS.**	
Minor REVISION comments	----	
Optional/General comments	Paper is informative; however, morphological attributes that conferred resistance to certain genotypes should have been elaborated.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**A K Choudhary**
Department, University & Country	**Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Dharwad, India**