



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_19823
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF HUMAN URINE-COMPOST MIXTURE ON SOME SOIL FERTILITY PROPERTIES, YIELD AND SHELF-LIFE OF TOMATO (<i>Solanum lycopersicon</i>)

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
In my view, this manuscript still needs revision, however, I realize it is not going to happen, and I would rather see it published than having to evaluate it yet another time. It is also not really clear what one can learn from a study like this. Which addition that is "best" depends not only on type, but also on application rate. It is not always clear which application rate was used, and it is not clear why these rates were used. This makes comparison quite difficult. The discussion is also weak, often mentioning very obvious facts, and not giving any explanation what the authors think can be learned from this. And it is not enough to explain things in this evaluation form, it needs to be explained in the manuscript.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Norway