UNDER PEER REVIEW

Original Research Article

Evolution of total protein content and the ratio of sugar-protein in dry matter of *Dactylis glomerata* and *Festuca pratensis* variations depending on the type of soil

ABSTRACT

The study was conducted to determine the effect of soil type on total protein content and the formation ratio of sugars to proteins in dry matter varieties of cocksfoot and tall fescue. The experiment was arranged and conducted according to Research Center for Cultivar Testing guidelines. the experimental plots were sown with varieties of *Dactylis glomerata*: Niva, Tukan, Amila, Crown Royale and with varieties of *Festuca pratensis*: Limosa, Pasja, Anturka, Amelka. The plots were randomly selected, 1.5 meters wide and 6.67 m long, with an area of 10 m^2, grouped in blocks with four replications. They were separated by 1 meter pathways between blocks and with 0.5 meter pathways between sub-blocks. The pathways lay fallow. The experiment in Krzyżewo (organic soil) was set up on ploughed soil, with spring barley as the forecrop. In Uhnin (mineral soil) the experimental plots were located on peat meadow. The airy dry matter was shredded and ground. The obtained material was subjected to chemical analysis to determine dry matter (by determining moisture content), protein compounds and simple sugars. The method of determination was near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) using a NIRFlex N-500 spectrometer and readytouse INGOT calibration applications. Regardless of variety, cut and years of research, higher total protein content occurred in dry matter of cocksfoot grown on mineral soil than organic. Higher values of sugar-protein ratios characterized biomass of varieties of meadow fescue than cocksfoot. Regardless of the

UNDER PEER REVIEW

examined grass species, better nutritional value had the plant varieties located on mineral soils than organic.

Keywords: protein, sugar, grass, variety, soil type

1. INTRODUCTION

The value of feed obtained from grasslands largely is determined by total protein content, sugars, crude fiber and minerals as well as digestibility [1, 2, 3]. The chemical composition of grasses, which are the main components of meadow-pasture is greatly differented and largely depends on the species and variety [4, 5]. The nutritional value of different varieties of grass species changes with they grow and develop - it depends significantly on phenological phases in which they are mowed or grazed [6, 7]. On the value of grass species and varieties has also influence their ability to developin of generative shoots, which contain less protein and more fiber than leafy shoots of vegetative [8]. According to Frankow-Lindberg and Olsson [9], essential for the production of fodder on arable land has a first regrowth, because it is about 50% of annual yield. For feed quality it is very important an appropriate time of grasses harvest, which should be made no later than the phase of full heading stage of the plant. In spring the plants grow and develop very intensively, so thy fast changes its structure. Delay mowing causes a decrease in protein content, while increasing the content of structural carbohydrates that affect forage quality deterioration and a decline its digestibility [10]. The best forage quality is obtained under frequent four-, and in favorable weather conditions and with abundant fertilization even fiveth harvesting. For such use are very tolerate ryegrass, festulolium, fescue and orchard grass, but with less frequently we can cut timothy, due to the slow initial growth in and bromegrass, due to the small regrowth after cutting. In modern systems of animal nutrition a very important role fulfill silages [11]. For a good silage it is

UNDER PEER REVIEW

49 important to the dry matter content and the ratio of sugar to protein. With high protein content
50 and low sugar one this process is difficult and requires the use of silage additives [3].
51 The aim of the study was the analyze of protein content and the formation of sugars to
52 proteins ratio in dry matter of cocksfoot and tall fescue varieties grown on mineral and
53 organic soils.
54

55 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

56

57 This paper has drawn on two field experiments set up and carried out between 2010
58 and 2013 by the Research Centre for Cultivar Testing in Słupia Wielka. The experiment was
59 conducted in two experimental stations: one in the Research Centre for Cultivar Testing in
60 Krzyżewo and the other in the Experimental Stations for Variety Testing in Uhinin, being a
61 branch of the Research Centre for Cultivar Testing in Cicibor Duży. The stations are located
62 in the Podlaskie Woivodeship, the Wysokie Mazowiekie county, in the commune of Sokoły.
63 Uhnin is located in the Lublin Vovodeship, the Parczew county and the Dębowa Kłoda
64 commune.
65 The experiment was arranged and conducted according to Research Center for
66 Cultivar Testing guidelines. the experimental plots were sown with varieties of *Dactylis*
67 *glomerata*: Niva, Tukan, Amila, Crown Royale and with varieties of *Festuca pratensis*:
68 Limosa, Pasja, Anturka, Amelka (d. AND 1009).
69 The plots were randomly selected, 1.5 meters wide and 6.67 m long, with an area of 10
70 m^2, grouped in blocks with four replications. They were separated by 1 meter pathways
71 between blocks and with 0.5 meter pathways between sub-blocks. The pathways lay fallow.
72 The experiment in Krzyżewo was set up on ploughed soil, with spring barley as the forecrop.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

In Uhnin the experimental plots were located on peat meadow. Table 1 and 2 present soil characteristics and mineral fertilizers used.

In the research the amount of seeds of the grass sown varied depending on the variety and the location of the experiment. It was as follows (in $kg \cdot ha^{-1}$):

- *Dactylis glomerata* – Tukan: 16.3; Amila: 17.5; CR: 18.8 (Krzyżewo) and 17.6 (Uhnin); Niva: 18.3,
- *Festuca pratensis* – Pasja: 28.7; Limosa :29.8 (Krzyżewo) and 27.1 (Uhnin); Anturka: 26.6; Amelka: 27.8 (Krzyżewo) and 27.9 (Uhnin).

The sowing dates for *Dactylis glomerata* were 22 April 2011 (Krzyżewo), 6 May 2011 (Uhnin) for *Festuca pratensis* 22 May 2011 (Krzyżewo) and 29 April 2011 (Uhnin).

In the year when the experiment was set up the grass was not harvested and only weeds were mowed. According to the guidelines of Research Centre for Cultivar Testing, the full exploitation of *Dactylis glomerata* varieties was due between 2012 and 2013, whereas for *Festuca pratensis* it was due between 2011 and 2012. In the experimental plots with the varieties of *Dactylis glomerata* the grass was harvested six times a year and chemical analysis of the biomass was done taking dry matter only from five cuts. The varieties of *Festuca pratensis* were harvested four times. Each year in the course of the experiment fresh and dry matter of each cut were weighed. Research Centre for Cultivar Testing made those measurements available to be used in this paper.

The airy dry matter was shredded and ground. The obtained material was subjected to chemical analysis to determine dry matter (by determining moisture content), protein compounds and simple sugars. The method of determination was near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) using a NIRFlex N-500 spectrometer and readytouse INGOT calibration applications. Tukey's test was used to find means that were significantly different from each other, at the significance level of $NIR_{0,05}$.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Climatic conditions of the area where the experiment was carried out are typical for the 9th agricultural and climatic eastern part of Poland. The average annual air temperature varies from 6.7 to 6.9 °C and in the summer season the average 24 hour temperature is 15 °C. The growing season usually starts on 28 March, lasts till 30 October and is 200 to 220 days long. The average climatic water balance during the time of the experiment varied considerably according to the period and location. Annual rainfall ranges from 550 to 650 mm, with not frequent but recurrent rain. Water stress was mainly observed in spring while water deficit occurred in July. During the time of the experiment weather data were provided by the Meteorological and Hydrological Stations in Krzyżew and Uhnin. To determine temporal variation of meteorological parameters and their impact on plant growth Sielianinov's hydrothermal index was used with the month's classification according to Skowera and Puła [12]. As it can be seen from table 3 space-time distribution of annual rainfall varied. April was a month of water stress only in 2011 in Krzyżewo (K = 0.86), whereas in May water deficit was noted in Uhnin in 2012 (K = 0.84). Every year in June there was enough rain both in Krzyżewo and Uhnin (K between 1.06 and 2.12). July was either extremely wet (Krzyżewo 2011 K = 3.9, Uhnin K = 3.03) or dry (Krzyżewo and Uhnin 2013). However, on the whole both July and August were rather dry whereas September and October happened to be extremely dry one year each with Sielianinov's hydrothermal index more that 4, (Krzyżewo 2013 and Uhnin 2012).

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

According to Falkowski, et al. [2], for the meadow grass optimum total protein content should be between 100 and 200 $g.kg^{-1}$ dry matter. Barszczewski, et al. [13] reported that increase of protein content in the feed increase the digestibility, regardless of its quality [14].

UNDER PEER REVIEW

123 The protein content in dry matter of cocksfoot depending on experimental factors (Table 4)
124 ranged from 116 g · kg^{-1} DM in V-th regrowth of Niva variety to 204 g · kg^{-1} DM in I-th cut
125 of CR variety on organic soils. The variability of traits affected the significance of the
126 interaction soil type x cut x variety.
127 Levels of major factors such as soil type and variety, no significant influence on differences in
128 total protein content in orchard grass. It confirmed relationship to widely described in the
129 literature [5, 7, 15]. Regardless of the type of soil and orchard grass varieties, the highest
130 amount of protein occurred in dry matter of plants collected from the first regrowth
131 (188 g ·kg^{-1} DM). The least of this component had biomass derived from IV-th regrowth
132 (149 g · kg^{-1} DM). No significant effect of the type of soil on the protein content has been
133 showed.
134 In the case of tall fescue was highlighted the importance of differences of protein content in
135 the biomass of individual varieties (Tab. 5). The highest protein content in dry matter were
136 characterized a variety of Passion (157 g · kg^{-1} DM) and Anturka (156 g · kg^{-1} DM), whereas
137 the least Amelka variety (128 g · kg^{-1} DM). It should be emphasized that regardless of variety
138 and soil type, the cut did not significantly affect on this trait, although the most of protein
139 content was achieved in the harvesting of first and fourth regrowth (from 148 to 153 g · kg^{-1}
140 DM). Soil type had no significant effect on the protein content in harvested feed, also interact
141 with the year studies did not affect on the differences of this means.
142 Barszczewski, et al. [13] reported that an important element of chemical content, especially
143 green fodder for silage is the sugar content soluble in water. They are a source of food for
144 lactic acid bacteria, which are responsible for the fermentation processes [11, 14].
145 In the analyzed plant material of cocksfoot (Table 6) the ratio of sugars to proteins ranged
146 from 0.26 for the plants of Toucan variety collected on mineral soils in the fourth cut to 0.91
147 for plants of Niva varieties also on those soils in the second cut. Regardless of the type of soil

UNDER PEER REVIEW

148 the highest value ratio of carbohydrate and protein in relation to the average value was
149 characterized by Niva variety (0.52), while the lowest value (0.48) have Toucan and CR
150 varieties. The big difference in sugar-protein relation also occurred within cuts. Regardless of
151 variety, soil type and year of research, the widest ratio (0.62) had the plants collected in the
152 second cut. Also relatively high value (0.52) was found in the biomass of the V-th cut. In
153 contrast, the lowest value (0.38) was obtained in III-th cut. In addition it worth noting, that
154 cultivation on organic soil regardless of the other experiment factors, contributed to the
155 growth of this ratio from the value of 0.47 (mineral soil) to 0.52 (organic soil). This ratio of
156 sugars to proteins are typical for grasses, as indicated the results of the nutritional value of
157 *Festulolium braunii* [16] and *Lolium multiflorum* [17]. It should be noted that the value of this
158 parameter for the meadow sward, as demonstrated the research of Jankowska-Huflejt and
159 Wróbel [3], were several times higher from 0.89 to 2.00 than those presented in work for
160 cocksfoot. The plant material of meadow fescue was characterized by a wider ratio of
161 carbohydrate and protein (Table 7). This values ranged from 0.53 for Anturka variety
162 collected in III-th cut on mineral soils to 1.70 for Limosa variety collected in second cut also
163 on these soils. Statistically, there was no effect of soil type on the formation of these
164 relationships, but regardless of cut and soil type, the highest ratio (average 0.89),
165 characterized by dry matter of Limosa and Amelka varietes and the lowest (average 0.76)
166 Anturka variety.
167 According to Barszczewski et al. [13], on the ratio of carbohydrates to proteins in feed is
168 mainly influenced by the participation of legumes. The authors reported that increasing of the
169 share of protein plants (red clover) in the sward couse the decrease of this ratio value. The
170 type of soil on which the experiment was conducted, did not significantly affect on the
171 formation of carbohydrate-protein ratio in both in cocksfoot (Table 6) and meadow fescue
172 (Table 7).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

5. CONCLUSIONS

Regardless of variety, cut and years of research, higher total protein content occurred in dry matter of cocksfoot grown on mineral soil than organic. Of the tested cultivars of cocksfoot the most preferred feed expressed with the high protein content characterized the feed of Toucan variety and from a variety of meadow fescue Passion. Higher values of sugar-protein ratios characterized biomass of varieties of meadow fescue than cocksfoot. Regardless of the examined grass species, better nutritional value had the plant varieties located on mineral soils than organic.

REFERENCES

1. Kozłowski S. The nutritional value of permanent grassland sward. Rocz. AR Poznań, CCLXXXIV Rolnictwo. 1996; 47: 29-43.
2. Falkowski M, Kukułka I, Kozłowski S. The chemical properties of meadow plants. Wyd. AR Poznań 2000; 23-43.
3. Jankowska-Huflejt H, Wróbel B. Evaluation of influence of fertilization with manure on the nutritive value of meadow sward and their usefulness to silage production. J. Res. Applic. Agric. Engin, 2010; 55(3): 133-136
4. Łyszczarz R, Dembek R, Sikora J, Gajewska-Zimmer M.. Selected elements of the domestic varieties *Dactylis glomerata*. Advances of Agricultural Sciences Problem Issues, 1997 ; 451: 229-239.
5. Łyszczarz R. The influence of harvest time on some characters of two varieties of common orchard grass. Bulletin IHAR. 2003; 225: 139-149
6. Weisbjerg MR., Søegaard K.. Feeding value of legumes and grasses at different harvest times. Biodiversity and animal feed. Grassland Sciences in Europe. 2008; 13: 513-515.
7. Tonn B, Bienvenu C, Isselstein J.. Assessing quantity and quality of grazed forage on multi-species swards. The role of grasslands in a green future. Grassland Sciences in Europe. 2013; 18: 82-84.
8. Łyszczarz R, Podkówka Z, Dorszewski P. The chemical composition of varieties of cocksfoot. Advances of Agricultural Sciences Problem Issues, 1997; 451: 247- 254.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

9. Frankow-Lindberg BE, Olsson KF. Digestibility and fibre content of leaves and straw of three *Festulolium* hybrids during spring regrowth. Biodiversity and animal feed. EGF, Grassland Sciences in Europe 2008; 13: 456-458.
10. Brzóska F, Śliwiński B. Quality of roughages in ruminant nutrition and methods for its evaluation. Part II. Methods for analysis and evaluation of nutritive value of roughages Messages Zootechnical 2011; R. XLIX , 4: 57-68.
11. Bodarski R, Krzywiecki S, Preś J, Kubizna J, Witek D. Relationships between feed composition characteristics and intake of fresh and ensiled grass-legume mixtures by dairy cows. Grassland a European Resource? EGF, Grassland Sciences in European 2012; 17: 341-342.
12. Skowera B., Puła J. Pluviometric extreme conditions in spring season in Poland in the years 1971-2000. Acta Agrophysica, 2004; 3(1): 171-177.
13. Barszczewski J, M. Ducka, Żuchniewicz K. The effect of undersowing with red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) on the economic value of a dry meadow Water-Environment-Rural Areas 2015; t. 15. z. 2 (50): 5-15.
14. Burns GA, Gilliland TJ, Grogan D, O'Kiely P. Comparison of the agronomic effects of maturity and ploidy in perennial ryegrass. Grassland a European Resource? EGF, Grassland Sciences in Europe 2012; 17: 349-351.
15. Fagerberg B. The quantitative effect of weather on growth and nutritional value of grass leys. Grassland and Land use systems. 1996; 16th EGF Meeting: 83-86.
16. Sosnowski J. The value of production, energy and food of *Festulolium braunii* (K. Richt.) A. Camus microbiologically and mineral suppled. Fragm. Agron. 2012; 29(2): 115-122.
17. Sosnowski J., Jankowski K. Effect of soil medium amendment on chemical composition and digestibility *Lolium multiflorum* Lam. Science Nature Technologies 2013; 7(1)#15.

Table. 1 Soil conditions

Grass	*Dactylis glomerata*		*Festuca pratensis*	
Location experiment	Krzyżewo	Uhnin	Krzyżewo	Uhnin
Type soil	Mineral	Organic	Mineral	Organic
Soil conditions				

UNDER PEER REVIEW

The value of soil according to IUNG	52	50	52	70
Agricultural value	5	1p	5	1z
Type	P	PS	P	PS
Texture	ls	-	ls	-
pH	6.7	5.5	6.7	5.5

Symbols: 1p – good and very good permanent meadow, 5 – good quality rye soil; P – podsolic soil, PS – peaty soil, ls –loamy sand

Table 2. Mineral fertilizers used in the experiment with varieties of *Dactylis glomerata* and *Festuca pratensis*

Fertilizers in $kg \cdot ha^{-1}$				
Location experiment	Krzyżewo	Uhnin	Krzyżewo	Uhnin
Soil type	Mineral	Organic	Mineral	Organic
Nitrogen – N: before sowing, in consecutive years	270 245	80 192	80	80
Phosphorus – P_2O_5: before sowing, in consecutive years	90 80	100 100	80	80
Potas – K_2O: before sowing, in consecutive years	90 130	100 110	100	100

Table 3. Sielianinov's hydrothermal index (K) during the growing season in the years of the experiment in Krzyżewo and Uhnin

Month	Location experiment/ Soil type	
	Krzyżewo/ Mineral	Uhnin/Organic

UNDER PEER REVIEW

	Year of experiment					
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013
IV	0.86 (s)	1.63 (dw)	2.50 (w)	1.39 (o)	1.06 (ds)	2.79 (bw)
V	1.64 (dw)	1.09 (ds)	1.80 (dw)	1.09 (ds)	0.84 (s)	2.87 (bw)
VI	1.06 (ds)	1.83 (dw)	1.53 (o)	2.12 (w)	1.92 (dw)	1.74 (dw)
VII	3.90 (sw)	1.55 (o)	1.08 (ds)	3.03 (sw)	0.81 (s)	0.92 (s)
VIII	1.15 (ds)	3.18 (sw)	0.89 (s)	0.79 (s)	1.25 (ds)	0.12 (ss)
IX	0.41 (bs)	0.40 (ss)	4.84 (sw)	0.21 (ss)	0.79 (s)	2.46 (w)
X	0.81 (s)	2.27 (w)	0.48 (bs)	1.27 (ds)	4.90 (sw)	0.46 (bs)

Note: (ss) – extremely dry, (bs) – very dry, (s) - dry, (ds) – quite dry , (o) - optymal, (dw) – quite wet, (w) - wet, (bw) – very wet, (sw) – extremely wet

Table 4. The protein content in dry matter of cocksfoot varieties depending on the type of soil and cut

Experimental factor		Total protein g·kg^{-1} DM		
		Soil type (A)		Mean
		Mineral	Organic	
Niva	I	190	174	182
	II	159	173	166
	III	184	184	184
	IV	161	125	143
	V	173	116	145
Tukan	I	191	199	195
	II	174	165	169
	III	179	197	188

UNDER PEER REVIEW

	IV	169	146	158
	V	184	148	166
Amila	I	181	190	189
	II	169	183	176
	III	168	167	167
	IV	166	133	149
	V	182	133	158
CR	I	167	204	185
	II	146	189	165
	III	167	179	173
	IV	149	145	147
	V	173	138	146
Cut (B)	I	184	192	188
	II	162	178	169
	III	174	182	178
	IV	162	137	149
	V	178	134	156
Variety (C)	Niva	173	154	164
	Tukan	179	170	175
	Amila	175	161	163
	CR	160	171	165
Year (D)	2012	158	180	169
	2013	186	149	168
Mean		172	165	-

UNDER PEER REVIEW

243 $LSD_{p=0.05}$ for: AxBxC – 16.5, AxB – 18.1, AxC – 15.2, AxD – 17.3, BxC – 19.8, A – r.n.,

244 B – 9.8, C – 18.3, D - r.n.

245 r.n. - difference not significant

246 **Table 5. The protein content in dry matter of tall fescue cultivars depending on the type**

247 **of soil and cut**

Experimental factor		Total protein g·kg^{-1} DM		
		Soil type (A)		Mean
		Mineral	Organic	
Limosa	I	130	129	130
	II	104	99	102
	III	129	136	132
	IV	184	170	177
Pasja	I	162	174	168
	II	176	134	154
	III	140	159	150
	IV	148	155	152
Anturka	I	149	149	149
	II	162	160	161
	III	154	164	159
	IV	154	157	155
Amelka	I	157	137	147
	II	143	105	124
	III	124	102	113
	IV	126	134	130
Cut	I	149	147	`148

UNDER PEER REVIEW

(B)	II	146	125	135
	III	137	140	139
	IV	153	154	153
Variety (C)	Limosa	139	134	137
	Pasja	159	154	157
	Anturka	156	157	156
	Amelka	130	125	128
Year (D)	2011	142	140	141
	2012	149	144	147
Mean		146	142	-

248 $LSD_{p=0.05}$ for: AxBxC – 13.8, AxB – r.n., AxC – r.n., AxD – r.n., BxC – 20.1, A – r.n., B –
249 r.n., C – 12.6, D - r.n.

250 r.n. - difference not significant

251 **Table 6. The ratio of carbohydrates to protein in dry matter of cocksfoot variety**

252 **depending on the type of soil and cut**

Experimental factor		Total protein g·kg^{-1} DM		
		Soil type (A)		Mean
		Mineral	Organic	
Niva	I	0.48	0.36	0.42
	II	0.91	0.64	0.73
	III	0.31	0.29	0.30
	IV	0.30	0.70	0.50
	V	0.47	0.79	0.63
Tukan	I	0.46	0.34	0.40
	II	0.58	0.61	0.60

UNDER PEER REVIEW

	III	0.33	0.52	0.43
	IV	0.26	0.66	0.46
	V	0.48	0.55	0.52
Amila	I	0.58	0.33	0.46
	II	0.70	0.59	0.64
	III	0.36	0.40	0.38
	IV	0.28	0.68	0.40
	V	0.42	0.50	0.46
CR	I	0.67	0.28	0.48
	II	0.73	0.53	0.62
	III	0.38	0.37	0.38
	IV	0.32	0.57	0.45
	V	0.39	0.55	0.47
Cut (B)	I	0.55	0.33	0.44
	II	0.71	0.52	0.62
	III	0.35	0.40	0.38
	IV	0.29	0.65	0.47
	V	0.44	0.60	0.52
Variety (C)	Niva	0.47	0.56	0.52
	Tukan	0.42	0.54	0.48
	Amila	0.47	0.50	0.49
	CR	0.50	0.46	0.48
Year (D)	2012	0.39	0.49	0.42
	2013	0.55	0.55	0.55

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Mean	0.47	0.52

$LSD_{p=0.05}$ for: AxBxC – 0.12, AxB – 0.14, AxC – 0.07, AxD – 0.07, BxC – 0.12, A – 0.04, B – 0.07, C – 0.03, D – 0.11

Table 7. The ratio of carbohydrates to protein in dry matter of tall fescue cultivars depending on the type of soil and cut

Experimental		Total protein g·kg^{-1} DM		
		Soil type (A)		Mean
		Mineral	Organic	
Limosa	I	1.00	0.83	0.92
	II	1.70	1.30	1.50
	III	0.78	0.83	0.81
	IV	0.71	0.67	0.69
Pasja	I	1.10	0.74	0.92
	II	0.56	0.86	0.71
	III	0.81	0.75	0.78
	IV	0.79	0.77	0.78
Anturka	I	0.76	0.81	0.79
	II	0.74	0.74	0.74
	III	0.53	0.79	0.66
	IV	0.89	0.69	0.79
Amelka	I	0.70	1.07	0.89
	II	0.69	1.08	0.89

UNDER PEER REVIEW

	III	1.12	1.19	1.16
	IV	1.00	0.92	0.96
Cut (B)	I	0.89	0.86	0.88
	II	0.92	0.99	0.96
	III	0.81	0.89	0.85
	IV	0.85	0.76	0.81
Variety (C)	Limosa	0.85	0.93	0.89
	Pasja	0.80	0.74	0.77
	Anturka	0.76	0.76	0.76
	Amelka	0.95	1.00	0.89
Year (D)	2011	0.81	0.79	0.80
	2012	0.87	0.93	0.90
Mean		0.84	0.86	

259 $LSD_{p=0.05}$ for: AxBxC – 0.13, AxB – 0.08, AxC – 0.07, AxD – 0.09, BxC – 0.09, A – r.n., B –

260 0.09, C – 0.10, D – 0.09

261