



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22580
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Integrated Nutrient Management for Mandarin Orange Production in Hot Humid Region of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Data obtained by the authors about the manuscript entitled Evaluation of Integrated Nutrient Management for Mandarin Orange Production in Hot Humid Region of Bangladesh Title and abstract of the manuscript reflect the content Introduction provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution References within introduction should be distribute The aim of the work should be more clear Methodology: Clearly and illustrative material At line 79 T1 and T2 write RD of what In table 1 the abbreviation(OM) what is meaning Results: Tables and figures are clear But in table 6 what is meaning TK/Plant And remarks (CU and CD) Discussion: interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past References: please check the references format	We have revised our manuscript according to your valuable comments and formatted the references according to the style of AJEA.