

Editor's comments:

1. Before acceptance of this manuscript for publication in AJEA conclusion, should be improved. According to General Guideline for Authors, conclusions "should briefly state the major findings of the study". Such sentences like: "[35] report that pine nut is highly susceptible to irregular plant and climatic cycles that result in dramatic yearly fluctuations and that in USA, a good crop is obtained once in seven years" and "The fatty acid composition shows high contents of linoleic acid and especially linolenic acid have positive effects regarding the cardiovascular system [36,37,38]. The nutritional value of linoleic acid is due to its metabolism at tissue levels which produces the hormone-like prostaglandins [36,39]" may be used in Discussion, but not in conclusions section.
2. Some technical corrections are needed. See my remarks in the manuscript.
3. Moreover authors' contributions should be added.
4. After improvement, the Author should prepare clean copy of the manuscript (without corrections)

Authors' feedback:

1. Conclusion is improved. The citations referred above are transferred to discussion. Direct results of the study inserted into the conclusion. The numbers of the references are corrected.
2. The remarks of the editor are taken into consideration and all recommended changes are made. Only the results regarding the statistical analysis, the significant differences among variables are left as it is in the relevant tables since the results of the statistical evaluation are already reflected.
3. Authors contribution was uploaded as a separate file and now inserted into the manuscript as recommended by the editor.
4. All changes made on the manuscript are accepted and the final clean copy is sent for further evaluation. The response was sent as a separate letter but did not specify the comments.