



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22446
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield performance, market tuber quality and evaluation of sugar and starch activity of two Ghanaian varieties of sweet potato (<i>Ipomeoa batatas</i> (l) lam) as influenced by chicken manure and inorganic fertilizer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Introduction has not showed the reasons of using chicken manure in this study. 2. The manuscript has not met the guideline of the journal (length of manuscript is over 25 pages and over 6000 words, tables are more than 15, reference list does not follow the guideline, headings are not numbered, table and figure titles should not capitalize the first letter of every word). 3. There are many spelling mistakes and typing errors including two individual words become one, different font size (line 57-63), no space after a period. 4. There are some unnecessary parts in Method and Results sections (Table 1a, Table 1b, Table 2). The results should be selective and combined. 5. Conclusion should be reduced, focus on the main findings.	Please, Spelling mistakes and typing errors have been addressed together with the other comments
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		