



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22440
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Yield of Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> (L) Lam) as Influenced by Chicken Manure and Inorganic Fertilizers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Rewrite the ABSTRACT, first two lines should be shortened to few words only. do not repeat the words in same sentence (e.g. supplementation for soil fertility supplementation) Introduction : Correct it grammatically. M& M : dates of experiments are mismatch(September, 2011 to January, 2012 and April to August, 2012)then in Abstract. Samples might have been collected from 0-20 cm depth then plots. ,correct it. Unable to understand The characteristics analyzed for included particle size,pH in 1:1 soil: water ratio 1:2 soil: 0.01 CaCl₂. For Table 1 & 2 give only graphs. Elaborates the rates of organic manure and fertilizer in details. Unable to understand that how you have made the combinations NPK 15-23-23 ,30:30:30 etc: when you have taken NPK (15:15:15) P ,K should be capital letter in Table 3. Unit of Hectare is ha not Ha.	Please the correction in the abstract has been done Grammatical corrections have been addressed. Experiment dates have also been checked. Correction has been done The 1:1 H₂O used in the determination of pH in the study is 1:1 soil: water ratio volume by volume. Please table 1 and 2 have been replaced with texts The fertilizer combinations for N,P, and K was calculated on weight by weight basis. We have requested for further clarification of the same issue from the other reviewers.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Cut short the articles after deleting the parameters like number of leaves /branches at two stages give for final only. In results : This is no way to write (According to [9] excessive rain).It does not carry any meaning. Subject is good but not conducted /presented in nice manner	We will address it when we receive the response. This correction has been done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Use all new units for BD and other characteristics.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Raddress the raised issues. Then submit	All issues have been addressed