



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22416
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing consumers' willingness to pay for Nigeria processed chicken in Kwara State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Deepness of scientific problem analysis is crystal clear. The main propositions of the paper are crystal clear.	Agreed with reviewer
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In conclusion part, the author needs to add some supporting references to express the validity of the results. It is needed to add more references since the year 2012.	Thank you so much. Literature is still scanty about the subject matter. What I was able to lay my hands on are those I cited to the best of my knowledge. However, I will so much appreciate your effort if you can direct me to where additional references can be gotten.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		