



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22243
Title of the Manuscript:	RESPONSE AND ECONOMIC INDICES OF BROILERS ON LOW CRUDE PROTEIN DIETS FORTIFIED WITH LYSINE
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Tenses should be "past" - Results do not support conclusion statement. - Instead of segmentation, additional table including data should cover wk 1-7 to make conclusion.	Noted I believe it did. Reasons being that farmers can focus only on raising broilers for sale at any phase (starter phase only or finisher phase only). Additional table covering wks 1-7 would make this article too lengthy (You may expect that in another article covering other parameters). Thank you.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	None	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None	