



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22110
Title of the Manuscript:	Evolution of total protein content and the ratio of sugar-protein in dry matter of Dactylis glomerata and Festuca pratensis variations depending on the type of soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments "	Line 120, 121 and 122: All sentences can located at end line 126. The MS should be specified statistical reliability in Line 126 and others similar. The MS should be specified the mean of Line in Tables. Example, at table 4. Experiments have shown mean and more clear for researches evaluation but the mean of soil. Thus, the mean of both the varieties of soil types can easily comprehensible. Tablo 5, 6, 7 can similar. Line 31: It should be used "differently" instead of "Differented" Line 34: It should be used "their" instead of "they" Line 34: It should be used "developing" instead of "developin" Line 41: It should be used "they" instead of "thy" Line 45: It should be used "fifty" instead of "fivety"	All comments from reviewer have been taken into account
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		