



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_22101
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT STORAGE CONDITIONS ON RAPESEED OILS QUALITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors did not describe the method used for the determination of acid value, peroxide value and anisidine value. The methods used for the determination of these parameters should be described with the appropriate references.	We agreed with the reviewer and described the methods used for determination anisidine, peroxide and acid values.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) Lines 15-18: Give appropriate references. 2) Line 88: Change GC chromatography to Gas Chromatography (GC). 3) Line 161: write approx. in full. 4) Line 207: Since PCA is mentioned for the first time in this line, it should be written in full and may be subsequently abbreviated.	We agreed with the reviewer and corrected the manuscript. PCA is mentioned in methods (line 120) with its full name.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The study is well designed and documented.	