



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_21980
Title of the Manuscript:	Adverse Effects of Anti-nutritive Constituents of Unprocessed Jack Bean (<i>Canavalia ensiformis</i>) (L) (DC) Seed Meal on Poultry Performance.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I have only minor suggestions as including on my pdf markup of the manuscript. Please see attached.	The minor corrections marked by the reviewer have been effected in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments	This is generally a well written, easy to follow, review of the use of Jackbeans in agriculture feed. It is interesting and should be useful to other researchers.	