



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_21980
Title of the Manuscript:	Adverse Effects of Anti-nutritive Constituents of Unprocessed Jack Bean (<i>Canavalia ensiformis</i>) (L) (DC) Seed Meal on Poultry Performance.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I would like to congratulate the authors for the work. The use of alternative foods is an excellent choice in moments that traditional ingredients are limited or high prices. The work has merit and so recommend its publication. Only suggest the authors remove table 1 of the introduction and redirect to the second part of the review. Another suggestion is for authors replace the word "discussion" of the topic 2 by anti-nutritive constituents Jack Bean.	I have effected the corrections in the manuscript. Table 1 has been redirected to the second part of the review article as suggested by the reviewer. In addition the word discussion has been replaced by anti-nutritive constituents of jack bean.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		