



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_21654
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of irrigation water requirement of maize crop for different tillage practice in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title: Is ok. Abstract: Is ok, only line 14 write 8.3 t ha⁻¹ Introduction: In line 25 Add the importance of maize production in Bangladesh (annual production, acreage and value of the production) Materials and methods: Line 45, robi season or Rabi Line 46, add longitude latitude and altitude (masl) Line 85. Write 'Bari Vuta-6' Line 104, remove etc write all characters evaluated Line 108, economic Line 106, write net return (NT) and benefit cost ratio (BCR) Remove Fig 2 I think is not necessary Results and discussion: The authors needs compare the results with others investigations , there are only one Table 1, write g not gm and write t ha⁻¹. Also line 168 and 169 write g not gm Table 3 write hectare In economic analysis Convert or add the equivalent Tk by dollars because this journal is international	Correction have been made according to reviewer's suggestion. It has been added. Corrections have been made according to reviewer's suggestion. It has been discussed with others results. Taka has been converted in USD.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Conclusion and reference: Are ok	
Minor REVISION comments	Improve figures	It has been done
Optional/General comments		