



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20808
Title of the Manuscript:	Intergenerational Transfers of Managerial Control in U.S. Family Farm Businesses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Expand the Introduction section to briefly state theories of intergenerational transfer and the need for their empirical validation. 2. Describe the literature that exists on intergenerational transfers and the gap for such transfers in farming that this paper fills. 3. Justify the use of binary logit analysis. 4. Justify the use of dated 2001 data and in the section on Conclusions suggest changes to results that might occur if more current data was used.	The authors thank the reviewer for his/her comments. 1. A brief discussion of intergenerational transfer theories and the benefits of their empirical analysis has been added to the introduction section. 2. The literature review has been expanded to discuss additional work on both altruistic and exchange motivated intergenerational transfers. Additionally, a brief discussion of farm transfer research which incorporates intergenerational transfer models has been included in the literature review section. 3. Discussion of the drawbacks of linear probability models for binary dependent variables, as well as how the binary logit analysis corrects these issues has been included in the methodology section. 4. An explanation of the scarcity of farm succession data, thus necessitating the use of 2001 data, has been included in the methodology section. Additionally, potential changes anticipated from the hypothetical use of a more recent dataset have been included in the conclusion section.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		