



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJEA_20577
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenotypic Diversity of Selected Dual Purpose Forage and Grain Sorghum genotypes
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The PCA for the grain and fodder should be done together not separately as presented. The cluster analysis was done using only plant height, what about other measured traits? Other comments are on the pdf document. The manuscript is relevant and should be accepted after the minor corrections are made.	The PCA analysis has been changed as suggested and kept as such because the traits under study still show significant contribution to the observed variations. And its smarter than before .Thanks Cluster analysis was done based on the pooled contribution of the traits. Therefore the coordinate has been rightly labelled as distance to remove the misunderstanding. The comments on the pdf have been incorporated in the revised manuscript where appropriate. We however prefer to maintain "Phenotypic diversity" in the title because the study was done based on field evaluations of naked eye observable and/or measurable traits.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		