SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEA_20434**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMBINING ABILITY STUDY ON HEAT TOLERANT TOMATO (Lycopersicon escullentum Mill.) IN YOLA.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This manuscript on "COMBINING ABILITY STUDY ON HEAT TOLERANT TOMATO (Lycopersicon escullentum Mill.) IN YOLA." is well written.**	Thank you
Minor REVISION comments	**Introduction** Line 38: "worldIn" or "world. In" ? **Methodology** Line 61-62: You had 16 plants per bed. Which testers and lines were combined on a bed? You should provide that information. **Results and discussion** Lines 78-81: Make the sentence more comprehensible. Line 118: "characters" or "character"? Line 121: "ratioobserved" or " ratio observed"?	Corrections effected
Optional/General comments		