



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_19308
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of varying levels of probiotic (Toyocerin®) on the performance of broiler chicks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Commercial name should not be used in title. It may be mentioned in the materials and methods section only. 2. Only three replicates with 10 birds in one replicate do not conform to statistical needs and hence the analysis cannot be accepted. 3. It is clear that the birds were not fed with an optimum diet which could have supported their normal growth. By restricting the growth of the birds through deficient diets it is not possible and not justified to judge the quality of a product. Hence, the acceptability of the study is questionable and the paper can not be accepted unless the authors come up with a specific justification of this particular type of feeding.	Commercial name of the product has been eliminated from the title page. We have done analyses by statistician as per routine. Research was for MSc (Hons.) degree program. So the work should be accepted. Whole work plan was fully scrutinized by the scrutiny committee of the University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. So it should kindly be accepted for publication.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. What was the breed of the chickens used? 2. What was the composition of the probiotic? 3. The performance of T4 group has to be explained. There is lack of linearity and this needs explanation.	Strain of the birds has been included in the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		