



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_18876
Title of the Manuscript:	Replacement value of water soaked Sweet orange (Citrus sinensis) peel meal in Broiler Chicken Diet
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Tables 2 and 3 units in Feed conversion (g/g) or (kg/kg) and protein intake (????). In table 1, energy (metabolizable or digestible). In SEM, do not use * or ns, SEM is variability in your means. Have space in reference 1, please retire.	- Please note that the Tables in question should NOT be 2 and 3 but Tables 3 and 4 - In Tables 3 and 4 feed conversion is expressed in unit weight ratio. The implication of this is that weight will cancel out weight and thus carries no unit. - In Tables 1 and 2 energy is Metabolisable energy - ns and * are deleted as can be seen in Tables 3 and 4. - Space in reference 1 corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		