



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13931
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance, Haematology and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chicks Fed Diets with Varying Energy Levels
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is a good manuscript but I notice some contradictions. 1- Table 4: verify your value especially those of Feed conversion ratio of the first row 2- Please I don't understand how the following value are significantly different 800.00 ± 73.5 and 885.00 ± 73.5 745.00 ± 70.50 and 830.00 ± 37.5 26.61 ± 2.52 and 29.64 ± 1.34	1- table 4: the FCR has been effected for correction. 2-Actually that was the result of the analysis and it indicated that they were significantly different.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some errors: Page 1 Line 20: broiler and not boiler Page 4 Line 75: Neubauer and not Neubaur	Page1 line 20: boiler has been changed to broiler Page 4 line 75: the methodology has been revised properly.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I didn't really get the objective of this work because it is well established that the dietary energy level usually used in broiler feed is 3200 Kcal/Kg (Ravindran, 2013).	The objective of the work has been revised and reconstructed.