



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13918
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of Stability Models in Measuring Stability of Common bean Varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please introduce of stable genotypes based on each stability parameters	Actually it is not my objective. My objective is to see the association of stability models and to explicit the best models. However, I have introduced the stable varieties on page 6 verbally which is supported by table 3 and 4
Minor REVISION comments	Comparer the different methods for recognizing stable genotypes	I have already compared the stability models in recognizing the stable varieties on page 7 and explained by table 5 which tell us the association among the models (the best models correlate with more models than the others)
Optional/General comments		