



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12956
Title of the Manuscript:	Future strategies towards mirid (Hemiptera: Miridae) and other insect pests control in cocoa (<i>Theobroma cacao</i> L.) in Ghana
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The work does not report new scientific information, it seems a technical report. A large part of the information has been published by Adu-Acheampong et al. (2014) on International Journal of Tropical Insect Science. Some useful suggestions for the future of strategies to combat mirids are questionable and not updated.	I disagree with the reviewer. The article by Adu-Acheampong et al. (2014) calls mainly for a revision of the timing of insecticide application: from calendar-base to need-base insecticide treatments
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		