



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12956
Title of the Manuscript:	Future strategies towards mirid (Hemiptera: Miridae) and other insect pests control in cocoa (<i>Theobroma cacao</i> L.) in Ghana
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Severe linguistic errors occur in the manuscript. It is more than essential for an extended linguistic review by an native English speaker is required. I tried to make some improvements, but there are many errors all around text. I suggest changing the title of the manuscript to "Future strategies towards insect – pest control in cocoa in Ghana". Extensive review of the manuscript is needed also in the scientific references. There are no references on the biology parameters and population dynamics of the pests of cocoa in Ghana, which would support authors' recommendations. Also, in order to meet reader expectations generated by the term "Future" used in the title, authors have to suggest new methods and not just to improve existed. In conclusion, a very serious major revision is needed for the present manuscript, in order to be attractive and useful for the readers. Although, I think this could become a useful proposal for the local cocoa industry, and I motivate the authors to make the revision and submit it.	I agree with reviewer's useful suggestions. The queries raised have largely been addressed including the modification of the title
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Revision done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		