



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12517
Title of the Manuscript:	The quality of jute mallow (<i>Corchorusolitorius</i> L.) seeds exposed to different hot water-steeping and cooling protocols
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. water.Seeds in Abstract give space as water. Seeds 2. seedsand give space as seeds and 3. Generally 'Oniyaya' Write it as Generally, 'Oniyaya' 4. <i>Corchorusolitorius</i> Needs space, correct it please 5. <i>etal</i> Need space at many places (<i>et al</i>)	1. Agreed, correction effected 2. Agreed, correction effected 3. Agreed, correction effected 4. Agreed, correction effected 5. Agreed, corrections effected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The corrections are highlighted please follow them.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		