



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_11314
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE RESPONSE AND BLOOD PROFILE OF WAD GOATS FED SHEA BUTTER (<i>Vitellaria paradoxa</i>) LEAVES SUPPLEMENTED WITH DIETS CONTAINING DIFFERENT LEVELS OF SWEET ORANGE (<i>Citrus sinensis</i>) PEELS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is very interesting but: 1- The references should be listed in numbers not by name within the paper 2- In the abstract the author mentioned that he/she used 4 treatments but it was only 3 treatments 3- In 'materials and methods' section --The author didn't mention Table 1 -- write what's PPR stand for and any other abbreviations -- check the spelling of the vaccines and medications you used in this study -- in the statistical analysis, mention the significant level that you used in your analysis 4- In 'results and discussion' section --compare the total protein values with your table 5- For the reference section, check the journal template	1- References listed in numbers not by name within the paper as required. 2- Only three treatments were actually used, change effected. 3. Table 1 is now mentioned. - PPR and any other abbreviations have been written in full. - the spelling of the vaccines and medications you used in this study have been checked and corrected. - The level of significance used in the analysis is (0.05/) 4. The total protein values have been compared with the table, T₃ value is 61.90g/l and not 51.90g/l. correction effected. 5. Journal template has been checked. And corrected as required.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author didn't follow the journal template for the font type and size for the headlines and the entire contents	The journal template for the font type and size for the headlines and the entire contents have been followed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		