

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_44586
Title of the Manuscript:	Dental Myth, Fallacies and Misconceptions in rural population of Bhopal city: A cross sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please provide additional information about the sample (abstract and M&M), the subsequent bias of the method of sampling (discussion), explain the missing numbers in the tables (results) and add proper legends to the tables. In the word document, I already proposed some other sentences / words.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write





PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues</u> here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert J.A.M. de Kanter
Department, University & Country	Radboud university Nijmegen, The Netherlands