#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_44586
Title of the Manuscript:	Dental Myth, Fallacies and Misconceptions in rural population of Bhopal city: A cross sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul> <li>The manuscript requires serious proofreading. The use of punctuation was poor and this distorted the flow of reading and the meaning of the write-up. The results are confusing in the present state. This should be corrected and the manuscript resubmitted.</li> <li>The methodology may not elicit all possible myths and misconceptions in the studied district. The use of a closed ended questionnaire limited the responses.</li> <li>It is not clear how a sample representative of the district was obtained.</li> <li>The authors claimed the calculated minimum sample size for this study is 100. Kindly provide the evidence.</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments	Kindly check some comments in attached notes in the manuscript	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	A.O Ehizele
Department, University & Country	University of Benin, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)