



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Dental Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_45136
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTHETIC CONSIDERATIONS WHEN RESTORING SINGLE MAXILLARY CENTRAL INCISOR.
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Manuscript is adequate for publication. However there are significant grammatical errors and formatting issues throughout.	-All grammatical errors as well as formatting issues were revised by an expert.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	References are not in uniform format. Check all references. Fig 21 needs to be modified since data is cropped. Magne and Cascione (2006) is not cited. There is no reference for the Esthetic Checklist.	-All references were checked and revised in accordance with the rules of the journal .-The figure has been modified to show clearly the data. - Magne and Cascione have been cited as recommended.(reference 14)
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	Patient approval consent was sent with the revised paper.