



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_45136
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTHETIC CONSIDERATIONS WHEN RESTORING SINGLE MAXILLARY CENTRAL INCISOR.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 "A comprehensive Esthetic evaluation was performed according to the asthetic cheklist of MAURO FRADENI ; Facial and dentolabial analysis and data were gathered. " <i>I need a reference here.</i> 2 "The tooth was prepared for all ceramic crown with internal rounded shoulder at subgingival level edges with no sharp angles(Fig 6)." <i>I can t see the shoulder in this photo. i need another one.</i> 3 "According to literatue WES /PES index was essencially recommended to evaluate supported implant restorations , but its rightness for objective evaluation of single tooth crown has been confirmed by recent studies." <i>I need a reference here.</i> 4 <i>Which is the normal scores of the WES/PES? A reference here.</i> 5 <i>Explain the figure 20.</i> 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- As we have been limited by the number of figures, that respond to the guidelines of the journal, this part was completely removed. 2- As recommended, we added another figure showing the shoulder.(Figure 3 in the revised paper) 3- The reference concerning the rightness of WES /PES for objective evaluation of single tooth crown was mentioned : reference 13 (Lanza A, Di Francesco F, De Marco G et al. Clinical Application of the PES/WES Index on Natural Teeth: Case Report and Literature Review. Case Rep Dent. 2017.) 4- We added the necessary explanations concerning the normal scores of the WES/PES. (references 11 and 12) 5- We have explained the figure 20 (Figure 5 in the revised paper)
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Is there a patient's agreement for photography?</p>	Approval consent was sent.