



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_43618
Title of the Manuscript:	To Study The Efficacy Of Ischemia Reversal Program (IRP) In Elderly Patients Of Ischemic Heart Disease With Known History Of Hypertension
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The study submitted to my attention rises the problem of long term therapy of cardiac patients. In my opinion, as a cardiologist, for cardiac patients, and even more coronary heart disease patients, there is no question about the lack of conventional medication, since all the current studies and data available are pointing out the importance of therapeutic measures, pharmacological and non-pharmacological ones. That is why, in my opinion, the conclusions of this study are not realistic, beyond the fact that only a very small number of patients were analysed.	We have limitations of our study that this is observational study without comparative therapy and small number of patients. We will plan study comparative trial/Randomised control trial with larger sample size to prove the efficacy of treatment. Thanks a lot for your opinion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		