



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_40825
Title of the Manuscript:	A Progressive Prolapse of a Left Ventricular Pacing Lead into the Right Ventricle in a Patient with Cardiac Resynchronized Therapy
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Although this case report is interesting, it is surprising that no ECG was added to the figures to understand why lead migration was not detected by the following physicians. ECG modifications should have been noticed as well as electric parameters of the left lead. Details should be added to the report	As the chest X-ray demonstrated, the tip of the LV lead had never moved at all, and only the middle body portion of the lead had migrated. Therefore, the ECGs or electric measurements had not changed. We have added some comments to clarify this.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		