



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_40335
Title of the Manuscript:	A survey of participants satisfaction of a nurse practitioner-led fast-track outpatient clinic for rhythm and conduction disorder
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Line 139: Is possible a statistical comparison between the lead time to diagnosis in the regular outpatient clinic vs FT-RCD (8 weeks vs 5.5 weeks)? Line 202-203: the same comment as in line 139: "Access to a nurse-led outpatient clinic considerably reduces (31%) diagnostic intervals". "Considerable" means a simple observation, but we don't know if the FT-RCD intervention is significant better than the regular outpatient clinic	Line 139: Is possible a statistical comparison between the lead time to diagnosis in the regular outpatient clinic vs FT-RCD (8 weeks vs 5.5 weeks)?: With the application of one-Sample Statistics, the mean of the duration is 5.5 weeks significantly deviates from the lead time of 8 weeks. The mean difference is -2.5 with a confidence interval of the difference from -2.7 to -2.2. The corresponding P value is <0.001. Line 202-203: the same comment as in line 139: "Access to a nurse-led outpatient clinic significantly reduces (31%) diagnostic intervals". "Considerable" means a simple observation, but we don't know if the FT-RCD intervention is significant better than the regular outpatient clinic
Optional/General comments	Is an interesting survey dedicated to improve the logistic and the satisfaction of patients with potential arrhythmia or conduction disorders. More search with comparison is necessary	Fully agree.