



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_42349
Title of the Manuscript:	A rare variation in the branching pattern of the musculocutaneous nerve – A case report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The clinical and surgical importance of anatomical knowledge of the musculocutaneous nerve and its variations is due to the fact that one of the complications in many upper-limb surgical procedures involves injury to this nerve. This is a rare anatomical variation of the musculocutaneous nerve and the awareness of such variation is important for surgeons, anasthetist and orthopedics who are involved in the management of upper limb peripheral nerve lesions in shoulder, axilla and arm region.	noted
Minor REVISION comments	In line 87 you said that :our variation fits into Type II of Le Minor classification. According to Le Minor classification: In type II, the fibres of the lateral root of the median nerve pass through the musculocutaneous nerve and join the median nerve in the middle of the arm, In figure 1, it doesn't seem that the fibres of the lateral root of the median nerve pass through the musculocutaneous nerve. You should correct this.	
Optional/General comments	The references must follow a standard (of the journal)	