



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_42933
Title of the Manuscript:	TRAUMATIC CSF OTORRHOEA WITH SPONTANEOUS CLOSURE- A CASE REPORT AND LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title/ Please write `otorrhoea` in the title instead `otorhoea`	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract/ add `head injury` instead just `injury` in line 8. Delete a sentence beginning at the end of line 9 and in line 10 (sustained a head injury with left cerebrospinal fluid otorrhoea) since it is unnecessary. In line 13 add `spontaneous closure` instead just `closure`. It is not necessary to point out that the patient was teacher, age and gender information is sufficient. Case report/please rewrite the sentence in line 42 (for example/ No history suggestive of ear discharge and hearing loss were present prior the incident). Sentence in line 44 and 45 is not necessary (no bleeding from contralateral ear). When using abbreviations for the first time, they should be explained (GCS, PCV etc.) Line 54 and 55/ instead just `no tragal tenderness` there should be `no tragal tenderness was present` Please rewrite the sentence `nasal cavity has normal pyramidal shape...` in line 59. Please comment whether the antiedematous therapy was administered. It would be nice to know the duration of follow up period after the injury (local otoscopic finding, hearing and balance status). Conclusion/ please rewrite the second sentence/ point out the importance of clinical examination, follow up and conservative treatment in the absence of necessary radiological tools. References should be carefully and uniformly written.	
Optional/General comments	It is hard to value this case presentation since the management of this patient was performed in institution lacking the possibility to perform CT, which is considered a gold standard in diagnosis, not to mention the lack of other diagnostic tools mentioned in the manuscript. As a reviewer, I tried not to take this into account, but tried to look at the importance of this work in such difficult circumstances. Generally the assistance of English native speaker is advised as well.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danijela Dragičević
Department, University & Country	Medical faculty, University in Novi Sad, Serbia