



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJBGMB_42612</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EVALUATION OF SALIVA FOR MONITORING RENAL FUNCTION IN HAEMODIALYSIS PATIENTS AT UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT TEACHING HOSPITAL</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Why was only urea measured and not also creatinine?</p> <p>How was the influence of food (meat) excluded)?</p> <p>Please explain why the level of urea in the blood and saliva post-dialysis are the same which is not the case pre-dialysis.</p> <p>The method of measurement and validation of urea in the saliva should be mentioned in the manuscript.</p> <p>The in- and exclusion criteria and the mode of hemodialyses, filters etc should be mentioned in the method part, also.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>What is the clinically relevance of measuring urea in saliva in haemodialysis patients, if blood samples for measuring are easy to receive.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Nice trial</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Karin Janssen van Doorn</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Department of Nephrology-Hypertension, Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium</b>