



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_42612
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SALIVA FOR MONITORING RENAL FUNCTION IN HAEMODIALYSIS PATIENTS AT UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT TEACHING HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Why did you consider only urea and not creatinin? As we know, creatinin more specific than urea in renal function tests. In which stage of chronic kidney disease were these patients? Can we generalize these findings to all CKD patients? That why I want to know the CKD stage of the patients.	I still intend to conduct a study to evaluate creatinine in saliva soon No, we cannot generalize this findings to all CKD patients but to only end stage kidney disease (stage 5).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	NONE	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Otherwise, very good study.	