



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_39260
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on isolation, screening, characterization of indigenous oleaginous bacteria: Evaluation of various carbon and nitrogen sources as substrates for single celled oil producing bacteria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitles "A study on isolation, screening, characterization of indigenous oleaginous bacteria: Evaluation of various carbon and nitrogen sources as substrates for single celled oil producing bacteria" focused on the cultivation of <i>Rhodococcus Opacus</i> and <i>Gordonia Alkanivoran</i>, meanwhile observation of Nitrogen sources for both microorganism. In general, the manuscript is of importance, however, there are some defects on the manuscript which should be improved by authors, such as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The abstract is not specific. Please rewrite it, especially, regarding the methodology.</li> <li>2. The objective of work should be mentioned clearly in manuscript. State it at the end of paragraph in the Introduction part.</li> <li>3. Fig. 4, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 are not clear due to low resolution. Please upgrade the Figures resolution properly.</li> <li>4. The cited references are not arranged properly, especially in terms of references number. For example: in the line 90, it is suddenly appearance the cited reference.. [42], it should be ....[28]. Please arrange those properly.</li> <li>5. The Fig. 2 (B) does not appear on the manuscript. However, the Fig. 2(A) is available. Please check and improve it.</li> <li>6. The conclusion is not specific as well. Please rewrite it systematically.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	N.A	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is suggested to review manuscript critically to ensure sentences are clear without any grammatical errors.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Azhari Muhammad Syam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Malikussaleh, Indonesia</b>