



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_35852
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VIVO GERMINATION OF ENCAPSULATED ORCHID SEEDS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This paper is good for an article. 2. Has to rewrite again with valid proofs, values and using scientific writing knowledge. 3. No values given in the results and discussion section 4. The references are out dated. Find some new references 5. The following papers are not in the reference list, but include in the text. Beer, 1983; Burgeff, 1911, Noel Bernard 1909 Bernard 1909 Burgeff 1909 6. The following papers are not in the text list but include in the reference list. Arditti, J. 1967 Arditti, J. 1977. Burgeff, H. 1936 Bopaiah A.K. Bopaiah & Jorapur S.M. 1986. Knudson, L. 1922. Knudson, L. 1946 Withner, C.L. 1959 	<p>Yes, i agree it was a manuscript for short communication. Results and discussion is rewritten. Similar work is not carried out earlier. Most of the new references were on <i>in vitro</i> seed germination.</p> <p>All the references were taken from Arditti J 1977 orchid biology- review and perspectives which is quoted in the reference.</p> <p>All the references are included</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	Revision done
Optional/General comments	This paper is good for an article	It is a short communication