



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_35852
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VIVO GERMINATION OF ENCAPSULATED ORCHID SEEDS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The introduction of manuscript does not give adequate information.</p> <p>2. The examined parameters in this study are very poor. The number of parameters must be increased.</p> <p>3. The discussion is poor and has no an original information. In addition, the causes of the findings must be tried to be explained.</p> <p>4. References about the examined subject are inadequate and very ancient. All the references must be updated.</p> <p>5. The length of the manuscript is inadequate.</p> <p>6. Consequently, in my opinion, the manuscript does not provide original data.</p>	<p>The introduction is modified based on the reviewers comment.</p> <p>This work is new and it was for a short communication only.</p> <p>The discussion is rewritten as per the reviewer's comments.</p> <p>Reference updated</p> <p>It is a short communication.</p> <p>The work totally new and similar work is not performed earlier</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. The material and methods section is partly clear.</p>	<p>Material and methods are mentioned in detail</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>1. In this work, in vivo germination of encapsulated orchid seeds had been studied.</p> <p>2. The title is brief and properly describes the contents.</p> <p>3. The abstract is a sufficiently informative summary of the main aspects.</p> <p>4. The key words will allow proper retrieval of the information in data-bases and internet.</p>	