



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_35852
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VIVO GERMINATION OF ENCAPSULATED ORCHID SEEDS
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The language needs improvement, the style is not scientific. The organisation of the paper is needs improvement, there is no flow between sentences. Several important references are missing. Important information in the Materials and Methods are missing. The results are too shallow – there are no numerical data provided. There is practically no Discussion, the Authors should compare their results with other papers (see: Synthetic seeds: A review in agriculture and forestry). The conclusions require rewriting.	Script is modified based on the comments made by reviewers. Additional information is also added in the material & methods. Synthetic seeds are usually prepared using somatic embryoids. In the present investigation zygotic embryos are used. Similar work was not carried out by earlier workers. The conclusion has also been rewritten.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		The present work is a new concept by the authors