COMPARATIVE STUDY ON CONSUMER ACCEPTABILITY OF BREAD PRODUCED WITH COMPOSITE FLOUR FERMENTED BY YEAST ISOLATED FROM PALM WINE AND STALE BREAD

6

1

2

3

4

5

7

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the sensory acceptability of bread produced from composite flour (wheat, 8 9 air potato and cassava) fermented using yeasts from palm wine and stale bread among 10 prospective consumers at the Federal University of Technology (F.U.T), Akure, Nigeria. 11 Different blend ratios (A-F) of composite flour containing wheat, cassava and air potato flours were formulated; proximate and mineral analysis of representative flours were carried out 12 13 according to standard procedures set by A.O.A.C., 2012. A descriptive sensory evaluation was 14 carried out to assess bread products (A-F) produced from the different composite flour blends as sensory evaluators were selected by cross sectional simple random sampling techniques. The 15 16 organoleptic parameters accessed for the bread products include taste, aroma/texture, appeal/appearance and overall consumer acceptability index respectively. Bread products A₁-F₁ 17 were fermented by yeast isolated from palm wine while products A₂-F₂ were fermented by yeast 18 19 from stale bread. Products A_1 - C_2 were adjudged standard controls for Air potato flour (A), Wheat flour (B) and Cassava flour (C) while products D_1 -F₂ were the test bread products of varying 20 formulation blends of the composite flour. All the bread products (A_1-F_2) were evaluated and 21 compared statistically at p ≤ 0.05 level of significance. The Bread products D₂ and F₁ had the 22 highest overall acceptability (99.90±0.10%) followed by E₁(88.89±1.11%) while D₁, E₂, and F₂ 23 stood (66.67±1.33%) acceptance respectively. The findings of this research revealed realistic 24

potentials of air potato flour supplemented with wheat and cassava flours respectively andthe
alternative sources of yeasts isolate clones that can be specifically used in fermenting different
flour blends for production of widely accepted bread products in Nigeria.

28 .Keywords: Air potato, Composite flour, Yeast, Sensory evaluation, overall acceptability.

29 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Bread is an important staple food in Nigeria and all over the world, with an exponential increase 30 in consumption over recent years [1-3]. The rising cost of bread production in Nigeria is due to a 31 32 variety of factors, chief amongst them been the importation of wheat flour, one of the major ingredients in bread production [2-5]. Wheat flour is suitable for bread production because of its 33 gluten content; a protein which aids excellent formation of bread dough during fermentation, 34 however, many locally made Nigerian flour products lacks adequate levels of gluten protein to 35 enable them compete effectively as suitable alternatives to wheat flour [2-4]. There have been 36 therefore lots of researches carried out to discover suitable alternatives to wheat flour that can be 37 used to bake bread thereby reducing the demand for wheat and also improve the economic value 38 of locally made flour products in Nigeria [3-5]. While research efforts were originally geared 39 towards blending several locally made flours from starch derived Nigerian crops with wheat 40 flour to obtainappropriate blends suitable for bread production, efforts are now channeled 41 towards supplementing wheat flour with locally made flours to improve the nutritive value and 42 market acceptability of bread products in Nigeria [1, 3, 5]. There have been reports of bread 43 made from flour of cereal grains such as rye, maize, barley, oats, and flours from root tubers like 44 cassava and cocoyam have also been supplemented in blends with wheat flour for bread 45 production [4, 5]. 46

47 Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) a common root tuber is consumed extensively in Nigeria as a major staple food [2, 6]. Nutritionally, cassava is a major source of dietary energy for low 48 income consumers in many parts of Nigeria [1-3, 7]. Despite being a cheap source of food 49 calories, cassava is nutritionally deficient in essential amino acids but rich in arginine [8]. Its use 50 in the production of bread as composite flour has been reported in [1, 3, 4]. Air potato 51 (Dioscoreabulbivera) is a true yam species in the family Dioscoreacea and it has attracted 52 scientific interests owing to its numerous therapeutic applications which have been attributed to 53 its unique phytochemistry [6, 8]. The yam species is highly underutilized in Nigeria and only 54 consumed in some rural areas despite itsimmense health benefits [8]. Discoreabulbiverahas been 55 reported to be a good source of protein, lipids and crude fibers; making it an alternative suitable 56 flour source for bread production [1-4, 8]. 57 In Nigeria, baked products are fermented by Baker's yeast (Saccharomycesspp), however, 58 59 findings have shown that yeasts from other natural sources can also effect qualitative fermentation processes of baked products for consumption [9, 10]. The use of composite flour 60 and yeasts from different sources has the potential to conserve the foreign exchange spent on 61 62 wheat importation and also add value to indigenous crops like air potato and cassava locally grown in Nigeria [1, 3, 10]. Hence, this study investigated the sensory acceptability of bread 63 produced from composite flour (wheat, air potato and cassava) fermented using yeasts isolated 64 from palm wine and stale bread among prospective consumers at the Federal University of 65 Technology (F.U.T), Akure, Nigeria. 66

67

69 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

70 2.1 Production of Composite flour

The dried unfermented cassava and air potato flour was prepared by adopting the method of [11-13] as indicated in figure 1 and 2 below. Already preparedwheat flour was sourced from commercial vendors at the main market of the Akure metropolis.



75







85 **2.2 Proximate analysis of composite flour**

The Association of Official Analytical Chemist (A.O.A.C, 2012) protocols were adopted to determine the proximate and mineral compositions of the wheat flour, cassava flour and air potato flour respectively [14-16]. The moisture content, ash content, crude fiber content, crude protein content, fat content, carbohydrate content, water and oil absorption capacity and swelling index were all determined using the A.O.A.C, 2012 protocols as described in [13, 15, 17]. Moreso, mineral elements compositions of the composite flour were analyzed for calcium, iron, potassium, manganese, sodium and zinc contents respectively [16, 17].



94





104 **2.3 Isolation of Yeasts from Palm wine**

105 Freshly trapped palm wine samples were obtained from local farmers at the F.U.T. Junction area 106 of the Akure Metropolis into sterile labeled universal bottles and analyzed in the laboratory for mycological investigations within 6hr of collection. The methods described in [5, 7] were 107 108 adopted for water sample preparation and inoculum standardization in which sterile distilled water was used as diluents and a 1ml of the palm wine stock was taken aseptically into 9ml of 109 sterile distilled water for serial dilution procedure in sterile test tubes under aseptic conditions. 110 The third and fourth dilutions were estimated for yeast colony forming units on Potato Dextrose 111 Agar (P.D.A) fortified with 0.1% lactic acid [5, 7]. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 3-5 112 days for optimal growth and the fourth dilution plates were established as inoculum standards for 113 the isolation of yeasts due to easy numerical estimation of the colony forming units. Distinct 114 colonies were identified by macro and microscopic morphology using cotton-blue-in-lacto 115 116 phenol dye and viewed under high power oil immersion lens of the microscope, after which the identified yeast colonies were confirmed as Saccharomycesspp by sub-culturing on freshly 117 prepared Yeast extract Agar and incubated at 30°C for 3-5 days [5, 7]. The obtained pure isolates 118 of the yeast were preserved on P.D.A slants and stored at $4^{\circ}C$ [5, 7]. 119

120 **2.4 Isolation of Yeasts from Stale Bread**

Stale bread samples of about 5-10 days after baking were obtained from local food vendors at the Akure South Local Government Area of the Akure Metropolis into sterile containment packs and analyzed in the laboratory for investigations within 6hr of collection [5, 7]. Inoculum standardization, sample preparation, identification and confirmation of the distinct colonies of *Saccharomycesspp*were done in similar manner as described above for isolation of yeasts from palm wine samples [5, 7]. The obtained pure isolates of the yeastwere also preserved on P.D.A slants and stored at 4°C [5, 7].

128 **2.5** Formulation of Composite Flour Blends

129 Different composite flour blends were obtained using different ratios of Air Potato flour, Cassava flour and Wheat flour respectively by modifying the blend ratios of composite flour described in 130 [1-3, 12-14, 18]. The six flour ratios obtained were 100% Air Potato Flour (A), 100% Cassava 131 flour (B), 100 % Wheat flour (C), Air Potato flour/Wheat flour (50%/50%) (D), Air Potato 132 flour/Cassava flour (50%/50%) (E)and Air Potato flour/Wheat flour/Cassava flour 133 (40%/40%/20%) (F)respectively. The blend ratios are 200g of Air potato flour for blend A, 200g 134 of Cassava flour for blend B, 200g of Wheat flour for blend C, 100g each of Air potato flour and 135 Wheat flour for blend D, 100g each of Air potato flour and Cassava flour for blend E and 80g of 136 Air potato flour, 80g of Wheat flour and 40g of Cassava flour for blend F respectively. 137

138 **2.6 Production of Bread from different composite flour combinations**

The different composite flour combinations A, B, C, D, E and F were all baked into bread products by yeasts isolated from both palm wine and stale bread following standard procedures of dough mixing, fermentation, punching, scaling, moulding, proofing, baking, cooling and depanning respectively as described separately in the findings of [11-14, 17, 18]. The products were labeled $(A_1 - F_1)$ for bread products fermented by yeasts isolated from palm wine while bread products fermented by yeasts isolated from stale bread were denoted $(A_2 - F_2)$ respectively.

145 2.7 Description of Study Area used for Sensory Evaluation

The Federal University of Technology, Akure is found in Ondo State, Nigeria with coordinates $7^{\circ}16$ N $7^{\circ}18$ N/ $5^{\circ}9$ E $5^{\circ}11$ E [17, 19]. It is located at the extreme southern region of the Akure South Local Government Area of Ondo state, Nigeria [17, 19].

149 **2.8 Sensory Evaluation of Bread samples**

150 A simple random sampling method was adopted to obtain 18 students as evaluators in the study area (Federal University of Technology, Akure Campus) to assess the organoleptic properties 151 and the overall consumer acceptability of the different bread samples produced (A-F). The 152 organoleptic properties assessed include the taste, the aroma/texture, appeal/appearance of the 153 products and overall acceptability by the consumers [1-7]. The authors made the evaluators 154 assess the bread products separately at different interval of time to avoid bias, a method adopted 155 and described in the findings of [10-14]. Each evaluator rated the bread products independently 156 of the authors on a hedonic scale ranging from 7 (moderately liked) to 9 (extremely liked) [2-5, 157 18]. The bread samples A (A₁, A₂), B (B₁, B₂), and C (C₁, C₂) served as controls while bread 158 products D₁,D₂, E₁, E₂, F₁ and F₂ were the test products of interest. All bread products were 159 nevertheless rated by the evaluators. The raw scores were expressed in percentages and analyzed 160 161 statistically using the method described in [17, 18].

162 **2.9 Data analysis**

Analyzed bread products were in triplicates; data means obtained for evaluation ratings of the organoleptic properties of the bread products were subjected to a 2-way analysis of variance and the means were separated using Duncan's New Multiple Range test at $P \le 0.05$ level of significance [10-14].

167 **3.0 RESULTS**

The comparative proximate compositions (Carbohydrate, Ash, Fat, Fiber, Moisture and Protein contents) and mineral compositions (Calcium, Iron, Potassium, Manganese, Sodium and Zinc contents) of the different flours (Air potato flour, Wheat flour and Cassava flour) used in 171 composite flour formulations were analyzed separately and reported at P < 0.05 levels of significance as represented in figures 3 and 4. Air potato flour has the highest carbohydrate 172 content (90.35±1.58%) while Cassava flour has the lowest content (37.58±1.64%); The Ash 173 174 contents of Air potato flour was also the highest with (2.51±0.28%) while the ash contents of wheat and cassava flours respectively are not significantly different at the specified level of 175 confidence. The fat contents of the three flours are however not significantly different at $P \le 0.05$ 176 level of significance, although wheat flour has the highest fiber content (2.50±0.25%) while the 177 fat contents of air potato and cassava flours are not significantly different at the specified level of 178 significance. Cassava flour has the highest moisture content (61.40±2.20%) while wheat flour 179 has the lowest moisture content $(3.67 \pm 1.10\%)$; alternatively, wheat flour has the highest protein 180 content of 11.37±1.21%, while cassava flour is very low in protein content with just 1.97±0.28% 181 concentration. 182

183 Moreover, the comparative mineral compositions of the flour samples also gave an insight into their nutritive suitability for bread production. Air potato was discovered to have the highest 184 calcium content at $52.30\pm2.20\%$ while Cassava has the least concentration at $20.00\pm1.50\%$. The 185 186 iron, zinc and manganese concentrations of all the three flours were not significantly different at the specified level of significance while wheat flour has the highest potassium concentration at 187 87.50±2.50% and cassava has the lowest at 30.2±1.80% concentration. On the other hand 188 however, the sodium concentration of air potato flour was the highest $(89.40\pm1.69\%)$ while 189 190 wheat has a comparatively low concentration at $1.73\pm0.24\%$. The results above signified an important edge that air potato flour possess as a suitable alternative for bread production 191 192 compared to wheat flour while cassava flour is comparatively a poor alternative for wheat flour.

193 Furthermore, the sensory evaluation results gave useful information on the preferences of consumers to both the control bread products (A-C) and the test bread products (D-F). The 194 evaluation indexes of the bread products $(A_1 - F_1)$ fermented by yeasts isolated from palm wine 195 are represented in Tables 1 with respect to the organoleptic properties (taste, appeal/appearance, 196 197 texture/aroma) and overall acceptability of the bread products. Alternatively, the evaluation 198 indexes of the bread products $(A_2 - F_2)$ fermented by yeasts isolated from stale bread are represented in Tables 2 with respect to the organoleptic properties (taste, color/appearance, 199 texture/smell) and overall acceptability of the bread products. 200

The evaluation scores of the test bread products are represented in Tables 1 and 2; they are 201 expressed in percentages of the 18 evaluators at $p \le 0.05$ level of significance and the evaluation 202 scores of the hedonic scale 7-9 represents the acceptability of the bread products by the 203 evaluators; a standard described in [1-7, 10-14, 17, 18]. Products F_1 and D_2 have the highest 204 205 evaluation score 99.90 \pm 0.10% for taste of the test bread products followed by E₂ and $F_277.87 \pm 1.23\%$, $E_166.67 \pm 1.33\%$ and $D_155.57 \pm 1.47\%$ respectively (Table 1 and 2). The 206 evaluation score for the appeal/appearance of the bread products were also similar to that of the 207 taste as products F_1 and D_2 have an evaluation score of $77.87{\pm}1.23\%$ followed by F_2 at 208 44.44 \pm 1.56%, E₂ and E₁ at 33.33 \pm 1.67% while D₁ had a very low evaluation score of 209 210 11.11±1.89% (Table 1 and 2).

Conversely, the evaluation score of the texture/aroma of the test bread products also followed the same pattern as bread products F_1 and D_2 had the highest evaluation score in this category too at 99.90±0.10% while E_2 had a score of 77.87±1.23%, F_2 and E_1 had the same score at 66.67±1.33% while product D_1 had its score at 55.57±1.47% respectively (Table 1 and 2). The overall acceptability of the test bread products were also estimated by the evaluators and analyzed at p \leq 0.05 levels of significance as bread products F₁ and D₂ had excellent acceptability at an overall score of 99.90±0.10% while the test product E₁ had an overall acceptability at 88.78±1.12% and products D₁, F₂ and E₂ had the same overall acceptability index at 66.67±1.33% respectively as represented in Table 3

- 220
- 221
- 222





²²⁵ used in composite bread production



228 Figure 4: Comparative Minerals of Air potato flour, Wheat flour and Cassava Flour used in



Table 1: Sensory evaluation scores of the bread products A_1 - F_1 fermented by yeast from palm

234 wine

H.Scale	Sensory	Еv	aluatic	n	Scores	o f	Bread	Pro	ducts	for '	Taste	(%)
	А	1	В	1	С	1	D	1	Е	1	F	1
7	22.22±1.78	^c	22.22±1.7	8 ^c	33.33±1.	69 ^d	33.33±1	.69 ^d	33.33±	:1.69 ^d	11.11±	1.89 ^b
8	11.11±1.89) ^b	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0$	a	22.22±1.	78 ^c	22.22±1	.78 ^c	$0\ 0\ \pm$	$0 \ 0^{a}$	44.44±	:1.56 ^e
9	22.22±1.78	8 ^c	$0\ 0\ \pm\ 0\ 0$	а	$0 \ 0 \pm 0$	0 ^a	$0 \ 0 \ \pm \ 0$	0 ^a	33.33±	1.69 ^d	44.44±	:1.56 ^e
H.Scale	Sensory E	val	uation So	cor	es of Bre	ead I	Products	for	Appeal	/Appe	earance	(%)
	А	1	В	1	С	1	D	1	Е	1	F	1
7	11.11±1.8	39 ^b	22.22±1.	78 ^c	33.33±1	69 ^d	$0 \ 0 \ \pm 0$	$0 0^{a}$	11.11 1	±1.89 ^b	33.33±	1.69 ^d
8	11.11±1.8	89 ^b	$0 \ 0 \pm 0$	0 ^a	11.11±1	.89 ^b	$0 \ 0 \ \pm 0$	0 0 ^a	22.22±	±1.78 [°]	33.33±	1.69 ^d
9	11.11±1.8	89 ^b	$0 \ 0 \pm 0$	0 ^a	$0\ 0\ \pm\ 0$	0 ^a	11.11±	1.89 ^b	$0 \ 0 \pm$	$0 \ 0^{a}$	22.22±	:1.78 ^c
H. Scale	Sensory H	Eva	luation S	Sco	ores of B	rea	d Produ	cts f	or Tex	ture/.	Aroma	(%)
	А	1	В	1	С	1	D	1	Е	1	F	1
7	11.11±1.8	39 ^b	22.22±1.	78 ^c	22.22±1	.78 ^c	11.11±	1.89 ^b	33.33 1	±1.69 ^d	11.11±	1.89 ^b
8	33.33±1.6	59 ^d	22.22±1.	78 ^c	22.22±1	.78 ^c	44.44±	1.56 ^e	33.33±	±1.69 ^d	55.57±	1.43 ^f
9	$0 \ 0 \ \pm \ 0 \ 0$) ^a	$0 \ 0 \pm 0$	0 ^a	$0\ 0\ \pm\ 0$	0 ^a	$0 0 \pm 0$	0 0 ^a	$0 \ 0 \pm$	$0 \ 0^{a}$	33.33±	1.69 ^d

235

Keys: H. Scale- Hedonic scale, 7- moderately liked, 8- like very much, 9- liked extremely, A₁-236 100% air potato flour fermented by yeasts from palm wine, B₁- 100% wheat flour fermented by 237 yeasts from palm wine, C1- 100% cassava flour fermented by yeasts from palm wine, D1- 50% 238 air potato flour + 50% wheat flour fermented by yeasts from palm wine, E₁- 50% air potato and 239 50% cassava flour fermented by yeasts from palm wine, F_1 - 40% air potato flour + 40% wheat 240 flour + 20% cassava flour fermented by yeasts from palm wine. Values with the same superscript 241 have no difference at $p \le 0.05$ level of significance. Bread products A₁- C₁ are control bread 242 products while D_1 - F_1 are test bread products 243

244

Table 2: Sensory evaluation scores of the bread products A_2 - F_2 fermented by yeast from stale

247 bread

H.Scale	Sensory E	valuation	Scores of	Bread Pro	ducts for '	Taste (%)
	A 2	B 2	C 2	D 2	E 2	F 2
7	11.11 ± 1.89^{b}	22.22±1.78 ^c	55.57 ± 1.43^{f}	44.44 ± 1.56^{e}	$22.22 \pm 1.78^{\circ}$	$22.22 \pm 1.78^{\circ}$
8	11.11±1.89 ^b	33.33±1.69 ^d	$0 0 \pm 0 0^{a}$	55.57 ± 1.43^{f}	55.57 ± 1.43^{f}	55.57 ± 1.43^{f}
9	33.33±1.69 ^d	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0^{a}$	$0 0 \pm 0 0^{a}$	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0^{a}$	$0 \ 0 \ \pm \ 0 \ 0^{a}$	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0^{a}$
H.Scale	Sensory Eva	luation Score	es of Bread	Products for	Appeal/Appe	earance (%)
	A	\mathbf{B} 2 \mathbf{B} 2	C 2	D 2	E 2	F 2
7	22.22±1.78	^c 22.22±1.78 ^c	$0\ 0\ \pm\ 0\ 0\ ^{a}$	22.22±1.78 ^c	11.11±1.89 ^b	$0\ 0\ \pm\ 0\ 0\ ^{a}$
8	66.67±1.33	g 0 0 ± 0 0 a	55.57 ± 1.43^{f}	55.57±1.43 ^f	$22.22 \pm 1.78^{\circ}$	44.44 ± 1.56^{e}
9	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0$	^a 33.33±1.69 ^d	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0^{a}$	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0^{a}$	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0^{a}$	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0^{a}$
H. Scale	Sensory Ev	aluation Sco	ores of Brea	d Products f	for Texture/	Aroma (%)
	A	\mathbf{B} 2 \mathbf{B} 2	C 2	D 2	E 2	F 2
7	44.44±1.56	^e 11.11±1.89 ^b	11.11±1.89 ^b	11.11±1.89 ^b	55.57 ± 1.43^{f}	11.11±1.89 ^b
8	55.57±1.43	^f 22.22±1.78 ^c	33.33±1.69 ^d	77.78±1.22 ^g	$22.22 \pm 1.78^{\circ}$	55.57 ± 1.43^{f}
9	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0$	$a 0 0 \pm 0 0^{a}$	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0^{a}$	11.11±1.89 ^b	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0^{a}$	$0 \ 0 \pm 0 \ 0^{a}$

248

Keys: H. Scale- Hedonic scale, 7- moderately liked, 8- like very much, 9- liked extremely, A2-249 250 100% air potato flour fermented by yeasts from stale bread, B₂- 100% wheat flour fermented by yeasts from stale bread, C2- 100% cassava flour fermented by yeasts from stale bread, D2- 50% 251 air potato flour + 50% wheat flour fermented by yeasts from stale bread, E₂- 50% air potato and 252 50% cassava flour fermented by yeasts from stale bread, F_2 - 40% air potato flour + 40% wheat 253 flour + 20% cassava flour fermented by yeasts from stale bread. Values with the same 254 superscript have no difference at p ≤ 0.05 level of significance. Bread products A₂- C₂ are control 255 bread products while D₂- F₂are test bread products. 256

257

	O	verall acceptabili	ity Bread Produc	ets fermented by	Baker's yeast (%))
	А	В	С	D	Е	F
-	11.11±1.33 ^a	11.11±1.33 ^a	77.78±1.22 ^c	55.56±1.44 ^b	88.89±1.11 ^d	99.90±0.10 ^e
260	Keys: A ₁ - 100%	b air potato flou	r fermented by	yeasts from pal	<mark>m wine, B₁- 100</mark>	% wheat flour
261	fermented by ye	easts from palm	wine, C ₁ - 100%	6 cassava flour	fermented by yea	ists from palm
262	wine, D ₁ - 50%	air potato flour +	- 50% wheat flou	ar fermented by	yeasts from palm	wine, E ₁ - 50%
263	air potato and 50	<mark>)% cassava flour</mark>	fermented by yo	easts from palm	wine, F ₁ - 40% air	<mark>: potato flour +</mark>
264	40% wheat flour	r + 20% cassava	flour fermented	by yeasts from	palm wine, A ₂ - 1	00% air potato
265	flour fermented	by yeasts from s	tale bread, B ₂ - 1	00% wheat flou	r fermented by ye	easts from stale
266	bread, C ₂ - 100%	cassava flour f	ermented by yea	ists from stale bi	read, D ₂ - 50% air	potato flour +
267	50% wheat flour	fermented by y	easts from stale	bread, E ₂ - 50% a	air potato and 50%	6 cassava flour
268	fermented by ye	asts from stale b	read, F ₂ - 40% ai	r potato flour +	40% wheat flour	+ 20% cassava
269	flour fermented	by yeasts from s	stale bread, valu	es with the same	e superscript have	e no difference
270	at p≤0.05 level	of significance.	Bread products	A_1 - C_1 and A_2	- C ₂ are control	bread products
271	while D ₁ - F ₁ and	D_2 - F_2 are test b	pread products re	espectively.		

259 Table 3: Overall acceptability of bread products A₁ to F₂

272

273 4.0 DISCUSSION

Recent efforts described in many findings are geared towards supplementing wheat flour with 274 275 other flours from different crops locally produced in Nigeria for cost effective production of 276 bread and improvement of its nutritive value [3, 5, 20]. It was generally observed that variations 277 in the species of the yeast isolate clones used in the fermentation of the different composite flour blends had a direct relationship on the quality of bread products produced; this was also similar 278 279 in the findings of [20, 21]. However, since the identification of the yeast isolates used was only done at the genus level (Saccharomyces spp), several recent Nigerian literature texts from 280 research findings in [2-5, 20, 21] have revealed that different species of *Saccharomyces* ferment 281

flour blends and other carbohydrate substrates differently and hence produce varying degrees oftextures, appeal and aroma of the products fermented.

Moreso, the findings of [5, 7] revealed that the variations in the population of the different 284 isolates in the genus Saccharomyces spp in Palm wine and Stale bread isolated across different 285 286 Nigerian states effected a wide range array of products produced in fermentation of carbohydrate substrates used. In similar findings that agree with the assertion above, high populations of 287 Saccharomyces carlsbengensis and Saccharomyces cerevisae were abundant in palm-wine while 288 Saccharomyces globusus was the most abundant specie of Saccharomyces isolated from stale 289 290 bread; this explains differences in dough formation, fermentation time and arrays of by-products formed in the fermented products of these findings [7, 17]. Therefore, since palm wine and stale 291 bread were the sources of yeasts used in the fermentation of different flour blends adopted in this 292 study, the variations in the taste, aroma, appeal and texture of the bread products is justified as 293 294 the recent findings cited above suggests that the yeasts isolated in this study has varying 295 population clones of Saccharomyces [5, 7, 17].

In the findings of [21-23], the yeast isolated from stale bread *Saccharomyces globusus* was discovered to less osmophilic (less sugar loving affinity) and less hydrophilic; hence products fermented by this yeast had poor dough qualities, less impacted taste flavors and less acceptability. The same finding in [21-23] also suggests that products fermented by isolates of *Saccharomyces carlsbengensis* and *Saccharomyces cerevisae* had better dough qualities, better impacted taste flavors and a favorable acceptability; this mainly because palm wine contains high sucrose levels (10-12%) and these yeast species are highly osmophilic and hydrophilic. 303 Consequently, the bread products F_1 and E_1 are the most acceptable of the bread products fermented by yeasts from palm wine, a closer observation at the composite flour blends of these 304 two products (composite blends E and F) reveals that the two blends (E and F) contains 305 proportionate amount by mass of high sugar rich flour contents with blends E (50% Air 306 potato/50% Cassava) and F (40% Air potato, 40% wheat, 20% cassava) having high combined 307 levels of sugar, fibers and protein levels; hence encouraging optimal dough formation of the 308 bread products F_1 E_1 isolates from palm wine 309 and by the yeast (Saccharomycescarlsbengensisand Saccharomycescerevisae). This was justified in the findings 310 311 of [12-14, 24].

312 Similarly, the product D₂ was the most acceptable test product fermented by yeasts from stale 313 bread; in a recent finding cited earlier [23], it was also discovered that asides been less osmophilic, the yeast from stale bread (Saccharomycesglobusus) requires higher concentrations 314 315 of mineral elements (particularly calcium and sodium) and mild protein levels for its metabolism. Interestingly, the composite blend D (50% Air potato/ 50% wheat) is rich in high mineral 316 element levels and has mild protein concentrations as reflected in the proximate and mineral 317 318 elements evaluation in the results section. This explains the excellent acceptability of the bread 319 D_2 fermented by yeast from stale bread as described also in the findings of [21-23, 25]. A closer look at the acceptability of the control bread products will reveal that bread A2 also had a 320 favorable acceptability index due similar reasons as blend A is composed of 100% Air potato 321 [24-26]. 322

323

324 5.0 CONCLUSION

325 The high acceptability indexes obtained from the different bread products containing air potato 326 flour in the findings of this research consolidated the recent research efforts channeled towards discovering other alternative flour sources to wheat flour. The findings of this research has given 327 328 an insight into the enormous potential of air potato flour supplemented with wheat and cassava flours respectively to produce widely accepted bread products. These findings also reveal the 329 potential alternative sources of yeasts isolate clones that can specifically used in fermenting 330 different flour blends for commercial production of widely accepted bread products by 331 prospective consumers in Nigeria. 332

333

REFERENCES

- Eddy, N., Udofia, P., Eyo, D. Sensory evaluation of wheat/cassava composite bread and
 effect of label information on acceptance and preference in Benin, Nigeria. African
 Journal Biotechnology, 2007; 6(16):123-134.
- Akanbi, T., Nazamid, S., Adebowale, A., Farooq, A., and Olaoye, A. Breadfruit starch wheat flour noodles: preparation, proximate compositions and culinary properties.
 International Food Research Journal, 2011; 18: 1283-1287.
- Aniedu, C., and Agugo, U. Acceptability of bread produced from Hausa-potato and sweet
 potato composite flours in Lagos, Nigeria. Journal of Agriculture and Social Research,
 2010; 10(2): 162-166.
- Oviahon, I., Yusuf, S., Akinlade, J., and Balogun, O. Determinants of Bread Consumers'
 Willingness to Pay for Safety Labels in Oredo Local Government Area, Edo State,
 Nigeria. New York Science Journal, 2011; 4(9): 18-24.

346	5.	Ezenronye, O., and Okerentugba, P. Genetic and Physiological variants of yeast
347		selectively identified from local palm wine in Nsukka, Nigeria. Mycopathologia, 2001;
348		15(2): 85-99.
349	6.	FIIRO 2006. Cassava production, processing and utilization in Nigeria. Federal Institute
350		of Industrial Research, Oshodi, Lagos, Nigeria.
351	7.	Adenaike, O., Ameh, J., and Whong, C. Comparative studies of the fermentative capacity
352		of Baker's yeast and local yeast strains isolated from fermented beverages and stale
353		bread; Nigerian Mycological Society Journal, 2006; 5(1): 18-29.
354	8.	IITA. Cassava Recipes for Household Food Security. Printed in Nigeria by International
355		Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), 2006; 10-25.
356	9.	Eriksson, E., Koch, K., Tortoe, C., Akonor, P., and Oduro-Yeboah, C. Evaluation of the
357		Physical and Sensory Characteristics of Bread Produced from Three Varieties of Cassava
358		and Wheat Composite Flours. Journal of Food and Public Health 2014, 4(5): 214-222.
359	10	Akingbala, J., Falade, K., and Ogunjobi, M. The effect of root maturity, pre-process
360		holding and flour storage on quality of cassava biscuit in Akoko, Nigeria. Food
361		Bioprocess Technology, 2011; 4(2):451-457.
362	11.	Eduardo, M., Svanberg, U., Oliveira, J., and Ahrne, L. Effect of cassava flour
363		characteristics on properties of cassava-wheat-maize composite bread types. International
364		Journal of Food Science; 2013; 2:1-10.
365	12	Igbabul B., Num, G., andAmove, J. Quality Evaluation of Composite Bread Produced
366		from Wheat, Maize and Orange Fleshed Sweet Potato Flours in Makurdi, Nigeria.
367		American Journal of Food Science and Technology, 2014; 2(4):109-115.

368	13. Nwosu, J., Owuamanam, C., Omeire, G., and Eke, C. Quality parameters of bread
369	produced from substitution of Wheat flour with Cassava and Soybean as improvers.
370	American Journal of Research Communication, 2014; 2(3): 99-118.
371	14. Onuegbu, N., Ihediohanma, C., Odunze, O., and Ojukwu, M. Efficiency of wheat: maize
372	composite flour as affected by baking method in bread and cake production in Abakaliki,
373	Nigeria. Sky Journal of Food Science 2013; 2(8):005 – 013.
374	15. Dhingra, S., and Jood, S. Physico-chemical and nutritional properties of cereal-pulse
375	blends for bread making. Nutritional Health, 2002; 16(3): 183-94.
376	16. A.O.A.C. Official Methods of Analysis for food crops, Association of Analytical
377	Chemists, Adingbon, Virginia. 2012, 1(3): 8-45.
378	17. Malomo, S., Eleyinmi, A., and Fashakin, J. Chemical composition, rheological properties
379	and bread making potentials of composite flours from breadfruit, breadnut and wheat in
380	Akure, Nigeria. African Journal of Food Science, 2011; 5(7): 400 – 410.
381	18. Edema, M., Sanni, L., and Sanni, A. Evaluation of maize-soybean flour blends for sour
382	maize bread production in Abeokuta, Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology, 2005;
383	4(9): 911-918.
384	19. Agbelade, A., and Akindele, S. Land Use Mapping and Tree species diversity of Federal
385	University of Technology (F.U.T.), Akure. American International Journal of
386	Contemporary Research, 2013; 3(2): 104-113.
387	20. Hasmadi, M., SitiFaridah, A., Salwa, I., Matanjun, P., Abdul Hamid, M. and Rameli, A.
388	The effect of seaweed composite flour on the textural properties of dough and bread.
389	Journal of Applied Phycology, 2014; 26:1057–1062.

21. Agu, R., Anyanwu, T., and Onwumelu, H. Use of high ethanol resistant yeast isolates
from Nigerian palm wine in lager beer brewing. World Journal of Microbiology and
Biotechnology, 1993; 9:660-665.
22. Chilaka, C., Uchechukwu, N., Obidiegwu, J., and Akpor, D. Evaluation of the efficiency
of yeast isolates from palm wine in diverse fruit wine production. African Journal of
Food Science, 2010; 4(12):764-774.
23. Ukwuru, U., and Awah, J. Properties of palm wine yeasts and its performance in wine
making. African Journal of Biotechnology, 2013; 12(19): 2670-2677.
24. Odebode, S., Egeonu, N., and Akoroda, M. Promotion of Sweet and Air potato for the
food industry in Nigeria. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 2008; 14: 300-308.
25. Husniati, D., and Anastasia, F. Effect of the addition of glucomannan to the quality of
composite noodle prepared from wheat and fermented cassava flours. Journal Basic
Application Science Resources, 2013; 3(1): 1-4.
26. Greene, J., and Bovell-Benjamin, A. Macroscopic and sensory evaluation of bread
supplemented with sweet-potato flour. Journal of Food Science, 2004; 69(4): 167-173.