SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_45774**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Prevalence and predictors of perceived stress: a study among medical students of Ebonyi State University Abakaliki, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Explain why only gender, educational attainment of father, willingness to study Medicine again, satisfaction with medical training and academic workload being much were chosen as predictors of perceived stress as there are much more predictors of stress (various academic, social, personal-emotional, and overall adjustment across time…https://www.questia.com/library/journal/1P3-4071809061/predictors-of-perceived-stress-among-university-students ; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5263159/; https://s3.amazonaws.com/academia.edu.documents/45768618/globalmeas83.pdf?AWSAccessKeyId=AKIAIWOWYYGZ2Y53UL3A&Expires=1543168835&Signature=0kLtQqKqnNo8iSh1%2F5ftioAMnes%3D&response-content-disposition=inline%3B%20filename%3DA_Global_Measure_of_Perceived_Stress.pdf) ? 2. Conclusion- state particular conclusions according your aim. Interpretations, suggestions- to discussion part. 3. Discussion- results of yours (19,8) and other studies (>20) are different as it is less than 20 (border). What about comparison with other part of world medical stress (USA, Europe)? How stress level and prevalence in comparison with other students (nov-medical)?	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Abstract could be shorter particularly methodology and conclusion (do not put interpretation- it is for discussion). Unify text fonts and size. 2. Methodology. a) no need for details about university history if it has no connection with topic (student stress); b) clear statement about study sample- how clinical, pre-clinical, 6 classes, 400-600 levels connected; c) no need to divide methodology in many subsection with one sentence; d) 76-80 line could be within instrument. 3. Results. Line 114-115 description did not fit with table 3. 4. Not only proportions of students important but also intensity of stress (it was biggest in 6th level).	
Optional/General comments	1. Time of the study. PSS measures stress during past month- what about vocations for student, do they were during this period for some class as it can make bias for results? 2. Is sentence in Line 144-145 correct (lower..lower)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Lolita Rapoliene*
Department, University & Country	*Klaipeda university, Lithuania*