



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_45070
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil rhizosphere microbial properties of selected farmlands in Rumuokparali community
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is a very good work, with new data and important data to plant and crop production. The author must attached to only microbial data, however the results shows an important knowledge to understand the differential plant growth and crop production. This paper shows results very, very important to crop production, however the author do not approach these aspects in the paper. The mainly of that is a review of english grammar by specialist and the second one is to observe the journal role when author cite footnotes at tables paper.	
Minor REVISION comments	Author must check some English mistakes, recommend to an english examination by a specialist. The tables at line 111, 126, 128, must be put in the journal pattern. See link http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/127/authors-instruction	
Optional/General comments	I am sending some comment at the PDF file to your appreciation	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eduardo José Azevedo Corrêa
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Company of Minas Gerais State (EPAMIG), Brazil