



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_43958
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES AFFECTING THE ADOPTION OF FARM FORESTRY LAND USE AROUND CHEPALUNGU FOREST
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The article is based on survey. Title and Abstract are appropriate to the study. Sufficient background information is provided with defined problem and objectives. Appropriate methods and procedures were followed. Results are nicely presented in form of tables and graphs. Discussion is scientific based and supported by available evidences. Conclusion is sound having good applicability. References are sufficient, but need revision as per guidelines of the journal.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Research design revised accordingly (lines 11 and 60). ➤ References have been revised based following the journal guidelines (All through the document)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Indicated in the manuscript.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ All the indicated concern in the manuscript addressed adequately
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article having good applicability.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	