



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_43019
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of off-season water supply situation: The case of Abetifi in the Kwahu-East District of Ghana.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "Sustainable water system" has been used in key words but in the text, no findings and suggestions have been found to ensure water sustainability. So it would be appropriate to change or remove it from the key words. Another option may be to present findings and / or suggestions about the sustainable water system in the text. 2. It would be appropriate to provide a location and water resources map for the study area 3. Climate characteristics (rainfall, humidity, etc.), soil structure and vegetation information are informations that affects the water situation in the vicinity, so this information must be given under the heading of the study area. 4. It is necessary to explain the method. Only brief information about the questionnaire was given. The method by which the evaluation was made is not expressed. It should also be stated why the 200 trials were chosen and according to which confidence interval, the number of questionnaire was determined. 5. It is thought that the research findings and the results are not consistent with the purpose stated at the beginning of the study. The problem is also local and no proposal has been developed to solve the problem within the scope of the study. 	<p>(1) "Sustainable water system" has now been removed from the revised manuscript.</p> <p>(2) A location map showing water sources has now been inserted in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>(3) Climatic characteristics (rainfall, humidity), vegetation and soil structure of the study area have now been inserted under the heading "Description of the study area" in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>(4) The method by which evaluation was made has now been inserted and highlighted with yellow colour in the revised manuscript. The 200 trials really represented the entire study population as the stratification sampling technique was employed. With this technique, the 200 trials were actually taken out by simple random sampling from a sample frame of 750 respondents who were all contacted.</p> <p>(5) The specific objectives of the study have now been inserted which now bring consistency in the purpose and results of the study. Recommendation section has now been included in the revised manuscript and highlighted with a yellow colour with suggestions to ameliorate the problem of off-season water supply accessibility in the study area.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. 2. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. Despite that, reference [15] has not present in the text 3. Full form of each abbreviation should be given in parentheses at first use in the text (Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) etc.) 4. No tables or figures should be given without discussion or reference inside the text but for Table 2 there is no reference inside the text 	<p>All four (4) comments have been corrected and highlighted in yellow colour in the manuscript.</p> <p>The authors would like to say they are grateful for your constructive criticisms and queries which have helped enriched the quality of the manuscript.</p>