## **Original Research Article**

## Nutrients and leaf plucking effect on growth and yield of Cabbage

## ABSTRACT

9 10

1

2

3

4568

The experiment was carried out at the Horticulture Farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during September 2016 to December 2016 to find out the growth, yield and economic benefit of cabbage as influenced by nutrients and leaf plucking. The research comprises of two factors: Factor A: NPK nutrients (four levels) as- N<sub>0</sub> = control,  $N_1 = N_{120}P_{30}$  $K_{100}$ kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub> = N<sub>140</sub>P<sub>40</sub> K<sub>120</sub>kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>3</sub> = N<sub>160</sub>P<sub>50</sub> K<sub>140</sub>kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and Factor B: leaf plucking (three levels) as- L<sub>0</sub> = No leaf plucked, L<sub>1</sub> = 4-leaves plucked and L<sub>2</sub> = 6-leaves plucked. The experiment was set up in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. In case of nutrients, the highest gross yield (90.53 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and marketable yield (68.95 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained from  $N_3$ , while the lowest gross yield (60.26 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and marketable yield (44.24 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) from N<sub>0</sub>. For dissimilar levels of leaf plucking, the highest gross yield (80.64 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and marketable yield (62.08 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded from L<sub>1</sub>, whereas the lowest gross yield (74.13 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and marketable yield (56.96 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) from L<sub>0</sub>. Due to combined effect, the highest gross yield (94.38 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and marketable yield (71.91 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded from  $N_3L_1$ , whereas the lowest gross yield (58.75 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and marketable yield (41.15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) from N<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub>. From the economic point of view, the highest benefit cost ratio (BCR) was2.35 noted from  $N_3L_1$  and the lowest (1.63) from  $N_0L_0$ . It is evident that the  $N_3L_1$  gave the best performance for the growth, yield and economic benefit of cabbage. So,  $N_{140} P_{50} K_{120}$ kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with 4-leaves plucked may be considered as an optimum dose for cabbage production.

11

#### 12

13

Keywords: Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR), Cabbage, Leaf plucking, NPK nutrients, Yield

## 14 1. INTRODUCTION

15 Cabbage (Brassica oleraceavar, capitataL.) is one of the most significant and nutritious winter leafy vegetables which belongs to the family Cruciferae. It is a biennial crop that is 16 17 grown as an annual, unless it is grown for seed production [1, 2]. It can grow simply under 18 wide range of environmental condition in all temperate, tropical and sub-tropical regions, but 19 cool moist climate is most suitable [3]. The origin of cabbage is the Western Europe and 20 North shores of the Mediterranean Sea [4]. The edible portion of cabbage plant is head 21 which is formed by the fleshy leaves overlapping one another. It has been reported that 100 22 g of green edible portion of cabbage contains 92% water, 24 kilocalories of food energy, 1.5 23 g of protein, 4.8 g of carbohydrate, 40 mg of calcium, 0.6 mg of iron, 600 IU of carotene, 24 0.05 mg of riboflavin, 0.3 mg of niacin and 60 mg of vitamin C [5]. It has been documented 25 as a very necessary vegetable to the farmers in providing income and nutrition worldwide [6]. 26 Cultivation of cabbage is mainly done in winter season in Bangladesh. It is cultivated in 16.6 27 thousand hectares with a production of 220 thousand metric tons and the average yield is 28 about 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> [7]. Now, India is the second largest manufacturer of cabbage in the world,

next to China, accounting for 16.55 per cent of the world area and 12.79 per cent of the world production [8]. Cabbage can play a critical role in elevating the nutritional status of Bangladesh, as it is rich in vitamins and minerals such as ascorbic acid, contains appreciable quantities of thiamin, riboflavin, calcium and iron [9]. Among the vegetables, it covers about 5% production under vegetable crops in Bangladesh [10]. However, low yield in Bangladesh may be attributed to a number of reasons viz. lack of quality seeds, nutrients unavailability, disease and insect infestation, improper or limited irrigation facilities etc.

36 The demand of cabbage as leafy vegetable is plentiful but the productivity of cabbage per 37 unit area is quite low in Bangladesh due to excessive use of nutrients. Use of imbalanced nutrients in the soils may be harmful and causing our agricultural soil degraded and 38 39 unproductive [11]. Nutrients may be applied through two sources viz., organic and inorganic 40 sources. It enhances plant growth by providing amendments to the soil via various nutrients ultimately obtaining higher yield of cabbage. It is compulsory to ensure availability of crucial 41 42 nutrient components for getting higher production and guality yield in any crop [12]. Nitrogen, 43 phosphorus and potassium have profound effect on crop productivity and quality. Nitrogen is 44 an essential plant nutrient, which is involved in physiological processes and enzyme activity 45 [13, 14]. It plays a significant role in the building up of protoplasm and protein which induce 46 cell division and initiate meristematic activities of plant when applied in optimum quantity. On 47 the other hand, shortage of nitrogen during early growth may lead to the condition known as 48 "buttoning" in Chinese cabbage when plant becomes stunted with reduce leaf development 49 [15]. Phosphorus is concerned in energy transfer and nutrient movement within the plant. 50 Adequate availability of phosphorus stimulates root development, increases stalk and stem 51 strength and improves flower formation, fruiting and seed production. It also enhances 52 uniform and early crop maturity, increases the nitrogen fixing ability of legumes, improves crop guality and increases resistance to plant diseases [16, 17 and 18]. Potassium also 53 54 increases better yield and improves quality during translocation of carbohydrate within crops. 55 It exerts balancing role on the effects of both nitrogen and phosphorus, consequently it is 56 especially important in multi-nutrient fertilizer application [19].

57 Leaf plucking in cabbage is an important factor for higher growth and yield of cabbage 58 production. It means the removal of unfolded leaves or basal leaves without affecting the 59 source-sink balance for proper head development. It is recommended that the successful cabbage production is possible by the application of basal 4-leaves plucking [20]. The 60 61 plucked leaves may be positive either as vegetables or fodder as increased total biomass 62 production. As cabbage heading begins, leaves become broader and sessile, and more 63 erect in their posture [21]. After the formation and development of cabbage heads, the basal leaves are occasionally harbor of pathogen and insect which is normally induced decay 64 65 before the time of harvest. Older or unfolded leaves are also competitive in nutrients 66 requirement with younger leaves in cabbage head. Those impacts on slowly head 67 development and maturation or sometimes cabbage head also indiscriminately infested with insect or fungal infection. The assemblage of layers of leaves over the growing point 68 69 requires the maintenance of a short stem during the heading period [22]. But the possibility 70 of leaf plucking of cabbage has not been explored earlier in Bangladesh. The present 71 exploration was undertaken to evaluate the performance of nutrients and leaf plucking on 72 growth, yield and economic return of cabbage.

73 74

## 75 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

## 77 2.1 Experimental site

78

76

79 The experiment was conducted at the Horticulture Research Farm of Sher-e-Bangla 80 Agricultural University (SAU), Dhaka, Bangladesh during September 2016 to December 81 2016. Experimental site situated an elevation of 8 meters above the sea level in Agroecological zone of "Madhupur Tract" (AEZ-28) [23]. The soil was sandy loam and medium
 high land in texture having pH 5.46- 5.62.

84 85

## 2.2 Experiment Frame Work

86

87 The research was consisted of two factors: Factor A: NPK nutrients (four levels) as-  $N_0$  = control,  $N_1 = N_{120} P_{30} K_{100} \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ,  $N_2 = N_{140} P_{40} K_{120} \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ,  $N_3 = N_{160} P_{50} K_{140} \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  and 88 Factor B: leaf plucking (three levels) as-  $L_0$  = No leaf plucked,  $L_1$  = 4-leaves plucked and  $L_2$  = 89 6-leaves plucked. The two factors experiment was laid out following Randomized Complete 90 91 Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The experiment was divided into three equal blocks where each block was divided into 12 plots. Then 12 treatment combinations were 92 93 allotted at randomly in each block. The size of the each unit plot was 1.8 m × 1.6 m. The 94 distance maintained between two blocks and two plots were 0.5 m and 0.5 m, respectively. 95 The seedlings were transplanted with maintaining distance row to row 60 cm and plant to 96 plant 40 cm.

97

## 2.3 Application of manure and fertilizers

98 99

About 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> well decomposed cow dung was applied only control (as No treatment) plot 100 and properly incorporated to the soil during final land preparation whereas others plot were 101 102 applied with inorganic fertilizer as per treatment. Doses of inorganic fertilizers (Urea, Triple super phosphate and Muriate of potash) were applied in the experimental plot according to 103 104 the treatments (Table 1). Whole amount of TSP and half amount of MoP were also applied 105 as basal dose before seedlings transplanting in the main field. 1st top dressing of urea was 106 applied when seedlings established in the main field about 10days after seedling 107 transplanting. 2nd top dressing of urea and rest amount of MoP was applied about 25days 108 after 1st top dressing. Then rest amount of urea was applied as 3rd installment about 40 days after transplanting. Each top dressing was followed by manual irrigation. 109

110 111

### Table 1. Doses of nutrients application in the main field as per treatment

112

Treatments		ble nutri kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	ents	Fertiliz	ers (kg h	na⁻¹)	Dose	es (g plot	<sup>-1</sup> )
-	N	P	K	Urea	TSP	MoP	Urea	TSP	MoP
N <sub>1</sub>	<mark>120</mark>	30	100	260.87	150	200	75.13	43.2	57.6
N <sub>2</sub>	<mark>140</mark>	40	120	304.35	200	240	87.65	57.6	70.0
N <sub>3</sub>	<mark>160</mark>	50	140	347.83	250	280	100.00	72.0	80.6

### 113

## 114 2.4 Application of Leaf Plucking

115

Leaf plucking was stunted only when the head grew 12-15cm in diameter. Initially, two bottom leaves were plucked normally which was followed by further leaf plucking as per treatment. Older and unfolded leaves were plucked at 30DAT and 40DAT as per treatment of leaf plucking. The plucked leaves may be useful either as vegetables or fodder as increased total biomass production.

121

## 122 **2.5 Cost analysis of cabbage production**

The cost of production was analyzed in order to find out the most economic treatment in respect of NPK nutrients as chemical fertilizers and labour requirement for leaf plucking. All input cost, cost of land and running capital were considered for computing cost of production. The cost and return analysis was done in details according to the procedure of Alamet al.[12]. The benefit cost ratio (BCR) was calculated as follows: BCR = Gross return per hectare (Tk.) ÷ Cost of production per hectare (Tk.)
129

## 130 **2.6 Statistical Analysis**

The data obtained for different parameters were statistically analyzed by MSTAT-C
 computer package. The significance of the difference among the treatment combinations
 means was compared by LSD testat 5% level of probability.

135 136

131

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

137 138

## 139 3.1 Plant height (cm)140

141 Considerable variation was found among the different levels of nutrients in respect of plant 142 height of cabbage (Table 2). At 40 DAT and 50 DAT, the tallest plant (34.42cm and 143 39.86cm, respectively) was recorded from  $N_3$  while the shortest plant (25.76cm and 30.48cm. respectively) from N<sub>0</sub>. At harvest, the tallest plant (45.68cm) was observed in N<sub>3</sub> 144 145 while the shortest plant (34.95cm) was in N<sub>0</sub>. Tekasanglaet al. [24], Mankaret al. [25], Kumar et al. [26] and Farooque and Mondal [27] also noticed the related findings of the present 146 study. At 50 DAT, the tallest plant (37.79cm) was observed in  $L_2$  while the shortest plant 147 148 (33.20cm) was in  $L_0$  (Table 3). At harvest, the tallest plant (43.50cm) was in  $L_2$  while the 149 shortest plant (37.06cm) was in  $L_0$  (Table 3).

150

# 151Table 2.Effect of nutrients on growth parameters at different growth stages of152cabbage

153

Treatments	Pla	Plant height (cm)			Number of loose leaves			
	40 DAT	50 DAT	At harvest	40 DAT	50 DAT	At harvest	required for head maturity	
N <sub>0</sub>	25.76	30.48	34.95	9.20	11.20	12.68	60.32	
N <sub>1</sub>	29.27	34.77	41.03	10.26	12.18	13.51	62.45	
$N_2$	32.45	37.95	42.42	11.21	12.94	14.43	63.75	
$N_3$	34.42	39.86	45.68	11.87	14.00	15.74	65.29	
CV %	5.41	7.67	7.25	11.60	10.58	7.45	4.88	
LSD (0.05)	1.91	1.87	2.82	0.64	0.83	0.69	1.47	

154

The interaction between nutrients and leaf plucking treatments affects significantly on plant height (Table 4). At 40 DAT, the tallest plant (34.67cm) was obtained from  $N_3L_2$  which was statistically identical to  $N_3L_1$  while the shortest plant (25.53cm) was in  $N_0L_0$ . At 50 DAT, the tallest plant (41.70cm) was observed in  $N_3L_2$  while the shortest plant (27.90cm) was in  $N_0L_0$ . At harvest, the tallest plant (48.47cm) was obtained from  $N_3L_2$  whereas the shortest plant (31.60 cm) was in  $N_0L_0$ .

161

# 162Table 3.Effect of leaf plucking on growth parameters at different growth stages163of cabbage

Treatment Plant height (cm)	Number of loose leaves	Days
-----------------------------	------------------------	------

	<mark>40</mark>	<mark>50</mark>	At	<mark>40</mark>	<mark>50</mark>	At	
	DAT	DAT	harvest	DAT	DAT	harvest	
LO	30.38	33.20	37.06	10.05	11.93	13.48	61.92
L1	30.65	36.30	42.50	11.16	13.26	14.93	63.50
L2	30.40	37.79	43.50	10.68	12.55	13.85	63.43
CV %	5.41	7.67	7.25	11.68	10.58	7.45	4.88
LSD (0.05)	NS	2.11	2.44	0.55	0.59	0.60	1.22

165

## 166 **3.2 Number of loose leaves plant**<sup>-1</sup>

167

168 At 40, 50 DAT, the maximum number of loose leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> (11.87 and 14.00, respectively) 169 was recorded from N<sub>3</sub> while the lowest (9.20 and 11.20 respectively) was in N<sub>0</sub> (Table 2). At 170 harvest, the maximum (15.74) was recorded from N<sub>3</sub> while the minimum (12.68) was in N<sub>0</sub>. At 171 40 DAT and 50 DAT, the maximum (11.16 and 13.26, respectively) was observed in L<sub>1</sub> while 172 the minimum (10.05 and 11.93) was in L<sub>0</sub> (Table 3). At harvest, the maximum (14.93) was 173 recorded from L<sub>1</sub> while the minimum (13.48) was in L<sub>0</sub>.

#### 174

# Table 4. Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking on growth parameters at different stages of cabbage

177

	Pla	ant height (	cm)	Numb	er of loose	leaves	Days
Treatments	40 DAT	50 DAT	At harvest	40 DAT	50 DAT	At harvest	required for head maturity
N <sub>0</sub> L <sub>0</sub>	25.53	27.90	31.60	8.76	10.43	12.07	59.25
$N_0L_1$	25.96	30.73	35.86	9.76	11.86	13.30	60.91
$N_0L_2$	25.80	32.80	37.40	9.06	11.30	12.67	60.80
$N_1L_0$	28.80	31.97	36.73	9.86	11.80	13.03	61.96
$N_1L_1$	29.66	35.50	42.73	10.70	12.73	14.10	62.93
$N_1L_2$	29.33	36.86	43.63	10.20	12.03	13.40	62.47
$N_2L_0$	32.26	35.60	39.16	10.40	12.23	13.93	62.91
$N_2L_1$	32.56	38.46	43.56	11.83	13.53	15.30	64.25
$N_2L_2$	32.53	39.80	44.53	11.40	13.06	14.07	64.08
$N_3L_0$	34.20	37.36	40.76	11.16	13.26	14.90	63.57
N <sub>3</sub> L <sub>1</sub>	34.40	40.53	47.83	12.36	14.93	17.03	65.90
N <sub>3</sub> L <sub>2</sub>	34.67	41.70	48.47	12.06	13.80	15.30	66.40
CV %	5.41	7.67	7.25	11.68	10.58	7.45	4.88
LSD (0.05)	3.44	2.23	3.88	1.11	1.11	1.20	1.11

<sup>178</sup> 

Different levels of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant differences due to their interaction effect on number of loose leaves per plant of cabbage at 40, 50 DAT and at harvest. At 40 DAT, the maximum (12.36) was recorded from  $N_3L_1$  while the minimum (8.76) was in  $N_0L_0$  (Table 4). At 50 DAT, the maximum (14.93) was counted in  $N_3L_1$  whereasthe minimum (10.43) was in  $N_0L_0$ . At harvest, the maximum (17.03) was obtained from  $N_3L_1$ while the minimum (12.07) was in  $N_0L_0$ .



Figure 1. Plots after leaf plucking

## 187 3.3 Days required to head maturity

188

The maximum days required to head maturity (65.29) was obtained from N<sub>3</sub> while the minimum (60.32) was in N<sub>0</sub> at harvest(Table 2). Different levels of leaf plucking showed significant variation on days required to head maturity. The maximum days required to head maturity (63.50) was observed in L<sub>1</sub> while the minimum (61.92cm) was in L<sub>0</sub> at harvest (Table 3). Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking gives the maximum (66.40) days required to head maturity was recorded from N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>2</sub> which was statistically similar to N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>1</sub>, while N<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub> showed the minimum (59.25) days required to head maturity (Table 4).

196

## 197 **3.4 Diameter of stem (cm)**198

The maximum diameter of stem of cabbage (3.57cm) was observed in N<sub>3</sub> while the minimum (2.56cm) was in N<sub>0</sub> (Table 8). Different levels of leaf plucking showed significant influence on diameter of stem of cabbage. The maximum (3.47cm) was observed in L<sub>1</sub> whereasthe minimum (2.93cm) was in L<sub>0</sub> (Table 9). Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant effect on diameter of stem of cabbage. The maximum diameter of stem (3.86cm) was recorded from N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>1</sub> while N<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub> gave the minimum (2.36cm) diameter of stem (Table 10).

206

Table 8. Effect of nutrients on growth and yield contributing parameters at
 harvest stage

	Diameter		Head (	Characteris	tics	
Treatments	of stem (cm)	Diameter of head (cm)	Head height (cm)	Head weight (kg)	Head thickness (cm)	%Dry matter
N <sub>0</sub>	2.56	16.60	10.65	1.20	7.30	6.33
$N_1$	3.17	18.24	12.26	1.46	9.34	6.76
$N_2$	3.42	19.47	13.40	1.69	10.35	7.33
N <sub>3</sub>	3.57	20.38	14.17	1.92	10.75	8.02
CV %	8.13	9.56	5.39	6.31	8.87	10.93
LSD (0.05)	0.19	0.19	0.32	0.151	0.39	0.37

210

## 211 **3.5 Diameter of head (cm)**

211 212

213 The highest diameter of head (20.38cm) was recorded from N<sub>3</sub> while the lowest (16.60cm)

214 was in N<sub>0</sub> (Table 8). Similar findings on diameter of head are reported by Hossain *et al.* [11],

215 Mankaret al. [25] and Naheret al. [28]. The highest (19.05cm) was observed in L1 whereas 216 the lowest (18.13cm) was recorded from L<sub>0</sub> (Table 9). Combined effect of different levels of 217 nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant effect on diameter of head of cabbage (Table 218 10). The highest diameter of head (20.93cm) was observed in  $N_3L_1$  while the lowest 219 (15.98 cm) was in N<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub>.

220

#### 221 Table 9. Effect of leaf plucking on growth and yield contributing parameters at 222 harvest stage

223

	Diameter		Head	Characteris	tics	
Treatments	of stem (cm)	Diameter of head (cm)	Head height (cm)	Head weight (kg)	Head thickness (cm)	%Dry matter
L <sub>0</sub>	2.93	18.13	11.96	1.44	8.60	6.86
L <sub>1</sub>	3.47	19.05	13.05	1.68	9.89	7.28
$L_2$	3.13	18.85	12.85	1.58	9.80	7.19
CV %	8.13	9.56	5.39	6.31	8.87	10.93
LSD (0.05)	0.16	0.17	0.28	0.081	0.34	0.32

224

#### 225 3.6 Head height (cm)

226

227 The maximum head height of cabbage (14.17cm) was obtained from N<sub>3</sub> while the minimum 228 (10.65cm) was recorded in N<sub>0</sub> (Table 8). Hossain et al. [11] and Singh et al. [29] reported 229 that the similar views on head height of cabbage of the present experiment. The maximum 230 (13.05cm) was observed in  $L_1$  while the minimum (11.96cm) was found in  $L_0$  (Table 9). 231 Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant effect on head height of cabbage (Table10). The maximum (14.73cm) was recorded from N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>1</sub> which was statistically 232 233 similar to  $N_3L_2$  (14.40cm), while  $N_0L_0$  gave the minimum (10.16cm).

234

#### 235 Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking on growth and yield Table 10. contributing parameters at harvest of cabbage 236

	Diameter	L	Head C	Characterist	ics	
Treatments	of stem (cm)	Diameter of head (cm)	Head height (cm)	Head weight (kg)	Head thickness (cm)	%Dry matter
N <sub>0</sub> L <sub>0</sub>	2.36	15.98	10.16	1.09	6.80	6.13
$N_0L_1$	2.80	16.91	10.96	1.26	7.63	6.53
$N_0L_2$	2.50	16.92	10.83	1.24	7.46	6.33
$N_1L_0$	2.96	17.63	11.73	1.32	8.43	6.43
$N_1L_1$	3.40	18.59	12.86	1.56	9.83b	6.97
$N_1L_2$	3.13	18.52	12.20	1.49	9.76b	6.90
$N_2L_0$	3.06	18.94	12.56	1.56	9.23	7.00
$N_2L_1$	3.80	19.77	13.66	1.80	10.96	7.53
$N_2L_2$	3.40	19.72	13.96	1.71	10.86	7.47
$N_3L_0$	3.33	19.96b	13.40	1.09	9.96	7.90
$N_3L_1$	3.86	20.93	14.73	2.08	11.15	8.10
$N_3L_2$	3.50	20.25	14.40	1.89	11.13	8.06
CV %	8.13	9.56	5.39	6.31	8.87	10.93
LSD (0.05)	0.33	0.34	0.56	0.138	0.69	0.69

## 239 **3.7 Head weight (kg)**

240

241 The highest head weight of cabbage (1.92 kg) was recorded from  $N_3$  whereas the lowest 242 (1.20 kg) was in N<sub>0</sub> (Table 8). Similar findings of head weight were observed with Mankaret 243 al. [25]. The results under the present experiment were also fairly supported by Hasan and 244 Solaiman [30]. The highest (1.68 kg) was observed in  $L_1$  while the lowest (1.44 kg) was in  $L_0$ 245 (Table 9). The findings obtained from the experiment were partially conformed to Begum 246 [20]. Due to combined effect of different levels of nutrients and leaf plucking,  $N_3L_1$  produced 247 the highest head weight (2.08 kg) which was statistically similar to  $N_3L_1$  while the lowest 248 (1.09 kg) was in N<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub> (Table 10). 249

## 250 **3.8 Head thickness (cm)**

251

The highest head thickness (10.75cm) was found from  $N_3$  while the lowest (7.30cm) Naher*et al.* [28] suggested that the similar results on head thickness of the present study (Table 8). The highest head thickness (9.89cm) was attained from L<sub>1</sub> whereas the lowest (8.60cm) was in L<sub>0</sub> (Table 9). Interaction effect of different levels of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant differences on thickness of head of cabbage. The highest head thickness (11.15cm) was recorded from N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>1</sub> which was statistically identical to N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>2</sub> (11.13cm) while the lowest (6.80 cm) was found from N<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub> (Table 10).

259

## 260 **3.9 Dry matter content of head (%)**

261

The maximum dry matter content (8.02%) was observed from N<sub>3</sub> while the minimum (6.33 %) was in N<sub>0</sub> (Table 8). The maximum dry matter content (7.28%) was observed in L<sub>1</sub> which was statistically similar to L<sub>2</sub> (7.19%) whereas the minimum (6.86%) was found from L<sub>0</sub> (Table 9). Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant effect on % dry matter content of head. The maximum dry matter content (8.10%) was recorded from N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>1</sub> which was statistically identical to N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>2</sub> (8.10%) while the minimum (6.13%) was in N<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub> (Table 10).

## 270 3.10 Weight of whole plant (kg)

271

269

272 Different levels of nutrients showed significant effect on weight of whole plant of cabbage 273 under the present study (Table 11). The highest (2.46 kg) was obtained from  $N_3$  while the 274 lowest (1.39 kg) was in N<sub>0</sub>. This result of the present study was partially supported by 275 Mankaret al. [25] and Bojokalfaet al. [31]. The highest (2.09 kg) was recorded from L<sub>1</sub> 276 whereas the lowest (1.88 kg) was in  $L_0$  (Table 12). Combined effect of different levels of 277 nutrients and leaf plucking showed significant variation on weight of whole plant of cabbage 278 (Table 13). The highest (2.60 kg) was observed from  $N_3L_1$  which was statistically similar to 279  $N_3L_2$  while the lowest (1.30 kg) was in  $N_0L_0$ .

280 281

 Table 11.
 Effect of nutrients on yield parameters at harvest stage of cabbage

Treatments	Weight of whole plant (kg plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Marketable yield (t ha⁻¹)	Economic production (kg plant <sup>-1</sup> )
N <sub>0</sub>	1.39	60.26	44.24	1.34
<b>N</b> <sub>1</sub>	1.91	75.66	60.79	1.52
N <sub>2</sub>	2.20	83.07	64.35	1.74
N <sub>3</sub>	2.46	90.53	68.95	1.90
CV %	9.34	9.63	10.27	11.43

LSD (0.05)	0.141	3.56	3.79	0.116
------------	-------	------	------	-------

## 284 **3.11 Gross yield (t ha**<sup>-1</sup>)

285 The highest gross yield (90.53 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from N<sub>3</sub> while the lowest (60.26 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) 286 was in N<sub>0</sub> (Table 11). Jothiet al.[32] and Rahman [33] stated same views of the present 287 study. It is evident that the highest gross yield (80.64 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in L<sub>1</sub> while the 288 lowest (74.13 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was in  $L_0$  (Table 12). Begum [20] observed that 4-leaves plucking of 289 290 cabbage was performed the highest gross yield which is similar to this result of the present study. Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking had significant effect on gross yield of 291 cabbage. The highest gross yield (94.38 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>1</sub> (94.38 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) while the 292 293 lowest (58.75 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was in  $N_0L_0$  (Table13).

294

283

295 296

290 297 298

 Table 12.
 Effect of leaf plucking on yield parameters at harvest stage of cabbage

Treatments	Weight of whole plant (kg plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Marketable yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Economic production (kg plant <sup>-1</sup> )
L <sub>0</sub>	1.88c	74.13 c	56.96c	1.53c
L <sub>1</sub>	2.09a	80.64 a	62.08a	1.72a
L <sub>2</sub>	2.01b	77.36 b	59.71b	1.62b
CV %	9.34	9.63	10.27	11.43
LSD (0.05)	0.072	3.08	2.18	0.082

299

301

## 300 **3.12 Marketable yield (t ha**<sup>-1</sup>)

The highest marketable yield  $(68.95 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$  was observed in N<sub>3</sub> while the lowest  $(44.24 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$ was in N<sub>0</sub> (Table 11). The results of the present study were partially supported by Singh [29]. The highest (62.08 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from L<sub>1</sub> while the lowest (56.96 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was in L<sub>0</sub> (Table 12). The highest (71.91 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>1</sub> while the lowest (41.15 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was in N<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub> (Table13).

Table 13. Combined effect of nutrients and leaf plucking on yield parameters at
 harvest stage

Treatments	Weight of whole plant (kg plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross yield (t ha⁻¹)	Marketable yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Economic production (kg plant <sup>-1</sup> )
N <sub>0</sub> L <sub>0</sub>	1.30	58.75	41.15	1.25
$N_0L_1$	1.43	62.43	46.98	1.42
$N_0L_2$	1.44	60.07	44.58	1.35
$N_1L_0$	1.76	71.32	58.78	1.42
$N_1L_1$	2.02	79.44	63.06	1.63
$N_1L_2$	1.96	76.18	60.49	1.50
$N_2L_0$	2.08	79.27	62.33	1.66
$N_2L_1$	2.31	86.77	66.32	1.84
$N_2L_2$	2.21	83.16	64.76	1.73
$N_3L_0$	2.36	87.15	65.90	1.80
$N_3L_1$	2.60	94.38	71.91	2.00
$N_3L_2$	2.42	90.03	68.99	1.90
CV %	9.34	9.63	10.27	11.43

LSD (0.05) 0.049 6.16	6.57	0.038
-----------------------	------	-------

## 312 **3.13 Economic production (kgplant<sup>-1</sup>)**

313

311

The highest economic production  $(1.90 \text{ kg plant}^{-1})$  was recorded from N<sub>3</sub> whereas the lowest (1.34 kg plant<sup>-1</sup>) was in N<sub>0</sub> (Table 11). The findings of the present study are partially supported with Sharma [34]. The highest economic production (1.72 kg plant<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in L<sub>1</sub> whereas the lowest (1.53 kg plant<sup>-1</sup>) was in L<sub>0</sub> (Table 12). Combined effect of different levels of nutrients & leaf plucking had significant effect on economic production of cabbage. The highest economic production (2.00 kg plant<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>1</sub> which was statistically similar to N<sub>3</sub>L<sub>2</sub>, while the lowest (1.25 kg plant<sup>-1</sup>) was in N<sub>0</sub>L<sub>0</sub> (Table 13).

322



323

324 325

Figure 2. Some pictures of cabbage head as per treatment at harvest

## 326 4. CONCLUSION

327

328 Both crop yield and economic benefit of crop are important for the crop production. Leaf 329 plucking represents higher yield in cabbage plant than without no leaf plucking According to 330 the results of the present experiment, it may be concluded that efficient production of cabbage is increased by the application of nutrients and leaf plucking. Thus, the combined 331 332 application of nutrients and leaf plucking may be helpful for higher andbetter qualitative 333 cabbage production in considering crop productivity and economic return of cabbage. On the basis of benefit cost ratio, it may be suggested that  $N_{160} P_{50} K_{140} kg ha^{-1}$  nutrients with 4-334 335 leaves plucked gave maximum and profitable yield of cabbage head.

## 337 COMPETING INTERESTS

338

339 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

340 341

343

## 342 **REFERENCES**

- Ryder EJ. Leafy salad vegetables. AVI publishing company. Inc., Westport, Connecticut;
   1979.
- Pierce LC. Vegetables; Characteristics, production and marketing. John Wiley and sons.
   Toronto, Canada; 1987.
- Kibar B, Karaağaço, Hayati K. Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis of Yield and Yield Components in Cabbage (*Brassicaoleracea*Var. *capitata*L.) Acta Sci. Pol.2014:13 (6): 87-97.

4. Chauhan OVS. Vegetable production in India. Ram Prasad and sons, India; 1986.

- 352 5. Rashid MM. SobjiBijjan. Bangla Academy, Dhaka, Bangladesh; 1993. Bangla.
- 3536. FAOSTAT.FoodandAgricultureOrganization,UnitedNations.3542007.http://faostat.fao.org.
- BBS. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics of plan10. Yearbook of Agricultural Statistics of
   Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics of Plan., Govt. of the People's Republic of
   Bangladesh, Dhaka; 2010.
- NHB. Final Area and Production Estimates for Horticulture Crops for 2013-2014.
   National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, India; 2015.
- 360 9. Thompson HC, Kelly WC. Vegetable crops. 5<sup>th</sup> edition, New York, Tornoto, London:
   361 McGraw Hill Book Co.;1985.
- 362 10. BBS. Monthly Statistical Bulletin of Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of
   363 Planning, Govt. of the people's republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka; 2015.
- 11. Hossain D, Abuyusuf MAHM, Riad MM, Hussain AI. Response of cabbage to different levels of fertilizer application in salna silty clay loam soil. Bangladesh Res. Publication J. 2011:6(2): 155-166.
- 367 12. Alam MS, Iqbal TMT, Amin M, Gaffer MA.*Krishitattikfosholerutpadonunnayan*. Sirajgonj,
   368 Bangladesh; 1989. Bangla
- 369 13. Kodithuwakku DP, Kirthisinghe JP. The effect of different rates of nitrogen fertilizer on 370 the growth, yield and postharvest life of cauliflower. Tropical Agric. Res. and Exten. 371 2009:21 (1):110-114.
- 14. Neethu MT, Tripathi SM, Narwad AV, Sreeganesh S. Effect of N and P levels on growth and yield parameters of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var.*italica*) under South Gujarat soil conditions. Int. J. Trop. Agric. 2015:33 (2): 913-917.
- Tindall HD. Vegetable in the Tropics. Macmillan Education Ltd. Houndmills. Basingstoke
   Hampshiirc. RhRI 2 \* S and London;1983.[Cited from Hort. Abstr., 50 (6): 3791-1984]
- 16. Mitchell RL. Crop growth and culture. Ames, USA: Iowa State University Press; 1970.
- 17. Plaster EJ. Soil science and management. New York, USA: Delmar Publishers Inc.; 1985
- 18. Plaster EJ. Soil science and management. 4<sup>th</sup>ed. New York, USA: Thomson Delmar
   Learning; 2003
- Bardy NC. The Nature and properties of Soils. 10<sup>th</sup> edition, New York, USA: McMillan
   Publishing Company; 1990.
- 383 20. Begum HA. Effect of manuring and leaf plucking on growth and yield of cabbage. MS
   384 thesis, Department of Horticulture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh,
   385 Bangladesh; 2005.
- 386 21. Kato T, Sooen A. Physiological studies of head formation on cabbage. J. Jap.Soc. Hort.
   387 Sci. 1978:48 (4): 426-434.
- 388 22. North C. Studies in morphogenesis of *Brassica oleracea*L. Growth and development of
   389 cabbage during the vegetative phase. J. Exp. Bot.,1957:8: 304-312.

- 390 23. UNDP. Land resource apprisal of bangladesh for agricultural development report 2:
   391 Agro-ecological Regions of Bangladesh, FAO, Rome, Italy; 1988.
- 392 24. Tekasangla SP, Kanaujla, Singh PK. Integrated nutrient management for quality
   393 production of cauliflower in acid alfisol of Nagaland. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2015:28 (2):
   394 244-247.
- 395 25. Mankar A, Kumari C, Karuna K. Effect of nitrogen levels and microbial inoculants on
   396 growth, yield and quality of cabbage. Prog. Hort. 2015: 47(2): 296-299.
- Kumar S, Singh JP, Rajbeer RN, Mohan B, Kaushik H, Kumar D. Influence of integrated
  nutrient management on growth and yield of cauliflower (*Brassica oleraceavar. botrytis*L.) Int. J. Agric. Sci. 2013:9 (2):747-749.
- 400 27. Farooque, A. M. and Mondal, F. Effect of spacing and levels of nitrogen on growth and
   401 yield of cabbage. Bangladesh Hort. 1987:15 (2):1-6.
- 402 28. Naher MNA, Alam MN, Jahan N. Effect of nutrient management on the growth and yield
  403 of cabbage (*Brassica oleraceavar. capitata* L.) in calcareous soils of bangladesh. The
  404 Agriculturists. 2014:12 (2): 24-33.
- Singh KM, Chand T, Kumar M, Singh KV, Lodhi SK, Singh VP, Sirohi SV. Response of different doses of NPK and boron on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica*). IJBSM. 2015:6 (1): 108-112.
- 408 30. Hasan MR, Solaiman AHM. Efficacy of organic and organic fertilizer on the growth of
   409 *Brassica oleracea* L. (Cabbage). Intl. J. Agri. Crop Sci. 2012:4 (3): 128-138.
- 31. Bojokalfa MK, Kavak S, Ugur A, Yagmur B. Effect of phosphorus fertilizer application on
  yield and qulity characteristics in savoy cabbage (*Brassica Oleraceavar.sabudabL.*)
  arkeyEge/Universiteesi. 2003:40 (I): 17-24.
- 413 32. Jothi LJ, Papiah CM, Ryagopalati R. Influnce of NPK and *Azospirillum* on the yield of 414 cabbage. South Indian Hort. 1993: 41 (5): 270-272.
- 33. Rahman MM. Effects of cowdung and NPK fertilizers on growth and yield of cabbage.
  MS thesis, Department of Horticulture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202; 2005.
- 34. Sharma V. Effect of nutrient management on growth and yield of cauliflower (*Brassica oleraceavar. botrytis*) inside low cost polyhouse. Himachal J. Agric. Res. 2016: 42 (1): 88-92.
- 421