



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43025
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF SOME PRODUCTION PRACTICES ON THE QUALITY OF COCOA BEANS (<i>Theobroma cacao</i>): A CASE STUDY OF FOREST SAVANNA TRANSITION AND SEMI-DECIDUOUS RAINFOREST ZONES OF GHANA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title of Manuscript : "Effects of Some Production Practices on The Quality Of Cocoa Beans (Theobroma Cacao): A Case Study of Forest Savanna Transition and Semi-deciduous Rainforest Zones Of Ghana." The title of this looks far from the main findings of this study. The research is more of descriptive than looking at the effect of production practices. You need to really make your readers understand what gap your study is set to fill in the body of knowledge concerning the subject matter. You may need to really simplify the data presented in your tables for better understanding on the part of your readers both in you field of study and those outside your field of study. The tables contained so many acronyms that are not given any meaning which may make it very difficult for your readers to understand your results and discussion section. You may need to state some hypotheses to make it clear what you wanted to do in this regard. The ANOVA table leading to the LSD you used should also be presented for better clarity on the findings of this study. You may need to show vivid results on the effect of production practices on the quality of cocoa beans in the study area in a way that is very clear to your readers. You may need to use graphs to show interaction effects and charts to further explain the results of your findings. There are many acronyms and signs contained in the table that are not clear. You may need to give meanings of this acronyms and signs for better understanding. You may need to do a lot of changes to the tables used to make it clearer to your readers. Other comments are contained in the original version of the manuscript for proper revision on the grey areas of this study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	All the minor revision comments are already given in the original manuscript	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nil	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Olutosin A. Otekunrin</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria</i>