

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_45808
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of three ash-based storage media on the physical quality characteristics and shelf life of three cultivars of tomato the greenhouse
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Last line on the abstract, please, indicates the extended shelf-life. From? to? Are there some works on this (ash-based storage media)? please , briefly referenciate in your INTRODUCTION. Talk more about or discuss the results on 3.1. (for example: Are these temperature and relative humidity expected? They have influenced on the effect of ash-based?) and these results are missing in the abstract. Be consistent on your statistic probability. Is it p<0.01? or p≤0.01? Include, in the MATERIAL AND METHODS, how did you assess? Fruit firmness, Pericarp thickness, Moisture content, Postharvest decay and Shelf life. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Move the phrases from line 32 to 37 to make smart the INDRODUCTION. Delete the phrase on line 69.	
Optional/General comments	 Give space between line 88 and 89. Format table 2 in order to fit in the window. Table 3 to table 7 doesn't make sense on putting the column and line of mean. Delete it (each ash-based and cultivar are completely different). Be consistent on the references. Example: Some journal names are written in italic and others NO. Other article titles are written with capital letters others NO. Separation by COMMA and others by COLON. 	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dovel Branquinho Ernesto
Department, University & Country	Superior Politécnico De Manica (ISPM), Mozambique

to (Lycopersicon esculentum, Mill) grown in

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her