

Potential of *Tithonia diversifolia* Residue as a Sustainable Alternative for  
Inorganic Fertilizers to Improve Soil Fertility and Production of African  
Nightshade (*Solanum nigrum* L.)

**ABSTRACT**

Poor soil fertility is a major constraint for crop production that is corrected with fertilizers, but high fertilizer costs with environmental and human health concerns have necessitated alternative soil amendment strategies. Hence, *Tithonia* residue was assessed as a sustainable alternative resource to improve the fertility of volcanic soils for production of African nightshade. A field experiment was setup as randomized complete block design with four treatments (Control – No fertilizer, Urea, NPK and *Tithonia*) and four replications, to evaluate their impact on soils and the performance of *Solanum nigrum*. Soil available phosphorus ranged from 10.3–16.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and differed significantly across treatments, with the highest in *Tithonia* and NPK treatments that differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) from urea and control. Compared to the baseline soil, residual soil phosphorus increased by 7.7% for *Tithonia*, but decreased by 1.7% for NPK, 27.7% for control, and 46.3% for urea. Yield of African nightshade ranged from 8.6–14.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> fresh and 1.5–2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> dry biomass across treatments, with the highest in NPK treatment that differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) from control. Both fresh and dry biomass yields correlated significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) with treatments and soil phosphorus. Plant height ranged from 24.6–33.2 cm and differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )

across treatments, with the highest in NPK that differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) from the other treatments. The number of leaves ranged from 80–117 per plant and differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) across treatments, with the highest in NPK that differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) from urea. Both Tithonia and inorganic fertilizers increased performance of *Solanum nigrum* compared to the control, but their comparable performance demonstrates the potential of Tithonia as a sustainable alternative resource for soil fertility management.

**Keywords:** African nightshade, Fertilizers, Leafy vegetables, Soil fertility, Sunflower.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) accounts for about 9% of the global population with 40% under malnutrition [1]. Vegetables are the most affordable and accessible source of micronutrients, vitamins and health-promoting secondary metabolites [2,3]. Indigenous African leafy vegetables such as African nightshade (e.g. *Solanum scabrum*) are important for food and nutrition security, but the method of cultivation and processing influences their nutritional value and health-promoting potential [4]. However, poor and declining soil fertility resulting from continuous cultivation is a major constraint to crop production in SSA, with nitrogen and phosphorus as the most limiting elements [5,6]. This is exacerbated by low fertilizer inputs that result in low productivity with huge gaps of over 30% between actual production and the attainable potential [7,8]. Inorganic fertilizers are commonly used to improve soil fertility and plant nutrition, but their enduring use eventually damages the

48 soil [9,10]. According to The Economist [11], simply using more fertilizers to  
49 produce more food is not sustainable for the feeding of about nine billion  
50 people on earth by 2050. This requires gains from narrowing the yield gaps  
51 through sustainable cost-effective techniques [11]. Meanwhile, high cost of  
52 fertilizers and the need for resource conservation has led to renewed interest  
53 in alternative nutrient resources for soil fertility management [12].

54       Alternatively, organic inputs enhance soil organic matter and nutrients  
55 with improved soil structure, aeration, moisture holding capacity and  
56 infiltration [9,10,13]. Plant residues have demonstrated efficacy for use as  
57 mulch to improve soil health and foster sustainable development [14,15].  
58 However, reliance on plant residues for soil fertility management largely  
59 depends on the residue quality and availability. Mexican sunflower (*Tithonia*  
60 *diversifolia*) is abundant in Buea Cameroon and rich in essential elements,  
61 with demonstrated potential to improve soil fertility and tomato production [16].  
62 *Tithonia* residue possesses both fertilizer attributes and allelopathic or  
63 phytotoxic growth inhibiting qualities [17,18]. *Tithonia* has high biomass with  
64 nutrient contents of about 3.5% nitrogen, 0.4% phosphorus and 4.1%  
65 potassium [19,20]. *Tithonia* also contains low recalcitrant compounds with  
66 6.5% lignin and 1.6% polyphenol [21]. *Tithonia* demonstrated strong potential  
67 for soil rejuvenation and crop protection due to sesquiterpene lactones  
68 (tagitinins-terpene) and other antimicrobial substances against pests and  
69 diseases [20,22]. Hence, this study was conducted to assess the potential of  
70 *Tithonia* as a sustainable alternative for inorganic fertilizer to improve  
71 production of African nightshade on volcanic soils of Buea Cameroon. It was  
72 hypothesized that *Tithonia* residue will enhance soil status leading to a

73 greater performance of African nightshade as compared to the inorganic  
74 fertilizer amendments.

75

## 76 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 77 **2.1 Experimental site**

78 This experiment was conducted at the teaching and research farm of the  
79 Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, University of Buea. The site is  
80 located at Bulu Mile 16–Buea, situated between latitudes 4°3'N and 4°12'N  
81 and longitudes 9°12'E and 9°20'E. The soil is derived from weathered volcanic  
82 rocks dominated by silt, clay and sand [23,24]. Buea has mono-modal rainfall  
83 regime with less pronounced dry season and 86% relative humidity. The dry  
84 season starts from November to March, with mean annual rainfall of 2800 mm  
85 and monthly air temperature ranging from 19–30 °C. Soil temperature at 10  
86 cm depth decreases from 25–15 °C with increasing elevation from 200–2200  
87 m, respectively, above sea level [23,25,26].

88

### 89 **2.2 Experimental setup**

90 The experiment was conducted from April to August 2018, and layout as a  
91 randomized complete block design with four treatments each replicated four  
92 times, giving a total of 16 experimental plots measuring 4×4 m each. 1-m  
93 buffer zone the experimental plots from each other and surrounding areas.  
94 The four treatments used in this experiment were Control – No fertilizer, and  
95 three fertilizer amendments (Urea, NPK and Tithonia). Urea and NPK  
96 fertilizers are commonly used in this study area for cultivation of *Solanum*

**Comment [SM1]:** The study needs to be repeated over a number of seasons and/or sites to draw valid conclusions

**Comment [SM2]:** Laid out???

*nigrum*, while *Tithonia diversifolia* (Mexican sunflower) was considered for use as cost-effective and environmentally friendly organic alternative to inorganic fertilizers. The field site was manually cleared using a cutlass and tilled at about 15 cm depth using a hoe.

### **2.3 Crop cultivation**

Seeds of the traditional African leafy vegetable (African nightshade – *Solanum nigrum* L.) were purchased from the local market and pre-germinated on nursery beds before transplanting on the experimental plots. The nursery comprised three (1×10 m each) raised-beds produced by tilling at about 15 cm depth using a hoe. 0.2 kg of MOCAP (active ingredient as Ethoprop: O-EthylmS, S-Dipropyl Phosphorodithioate; Amvac®, USA) was broadcasted on nursery plots to control nematodes and insect pests. *Solanum nigrum* seeds were planted at about 1 cm soil depth, covered lightly with soil and mulch. Depending on rainfall regime, the nursery was watered twice a day in the morning and evening for three weeks, starting on the day of sowing. After three weeks, watering was reduced to once a day or once in two days depending on the frequency of local rainfall. Vigorous *Solanum nigrum* seedlings were transplanted to the experimental plots after four weeks in the nursery. Seedlings were planted at 40×40 cm spacing between and within rows, giving a total of 81 plants on each experimental plot. The seedlings that did not survive after transplanting were immediately replaced with supplies from the nursery.

## 2.4 Fertilizer amendments

A total of 1.5 kg (0.5 kg per bed) inorganic fertilizer NPK 20:10:10 + CaO (ADER® Cameroon) was applied on the three nursery beds (83.3 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Tithonia biomass was broadcasted on the respective plots two weeks before seedlings were transplanted. The inorganic urea and NPK 20:10:10 + CaO (ADER® Cameroon) fertilizers were broadcasted on respective plots after seedlings were transplanted. Both inorganic (Urea and NPK) and organic (Tithonia) amendments were applied at the rate of 312.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (e.g. 0.5 kg per plot). Urea and NPK fertilizers were purchased from a local agro-shop, while Tithonia biomass (leaves and stems) were harvested from roadsides within the university of Buea and sun-dried for two weeks.

**Comment [SM3]:** I don't understand this – 83.3 t/ha of inorganic fertilizer???

**Comment [SM4]:** Broadcast would be for a granular or powder – in what form was this Tithonia? Do you mean spread?? Provide more detail about the processing of the Tithonia

**Comment [SM5]:** So what is the 83.3 t/ha above for?

**Comment [SM6]:** Per plot is not a standard unit of measure – rather use per square metre which is easily converted to per ha

**Comment [SM7]:** Was the chemical composition of the Tithonia analysed?

## 2.5 Crop protection

The African nightshade seedlings were protected against nematodes and insect pests in the nursery by broadcasting a mixture of 2 kg wood ash and 0.2 kg MOCAB (ingredient as Ethoprop: O-EthylmS, S-Dipropyl Phosphorodithioate; Amvac®, USA) while dry grass was used as mulch. The nursery plants were protected against snails with Mocid (active ingredient as Metaldehyde; SAVANA-Horizon Phyto Plus®, Cameroon) broadcasted thrice with 0.1 kg every two weeks (i.e. total of 0.3 kg). Meanwhile, a total of 1 kg Mocid was broadcasted four times to protect plants at experimental site against snails, with 0.25 kg every two weeks. For pest and disease control, 40 ml K-Optimal (active ingredient Lambda-cyhalothrine 15g/l plus Acetamipride 20g/l; SCPA SIVEX International®, France) was mixed in 16L water and applied on plants using a knapsack sprayer. This was performed on all

experimental plots one week after seedlings were transplanted. The experimental plots and alleys were regularly monitored for weed emergence and weeded manually using a hoe.

## **2.6 Sampling and data collection**

One day after the experimental site was cleared, five soil sub-samples were collected at 0–15 cm depth in Z-form (using 3.5 cm diameter auger) and bulked to form a composite baseline sample. Sampling at crop maturity was conducted two days before the first crop harvest (64 and 50 days after application of Tithonia and inorganic fertilizers, respectively). Five sub-soil samples were randomly collected at 0–15 cm depth (using 3.5 cm diameter auger) and bulked together to form a composite sample for each experimental plot, giving a total of 16 soil samples. The soil samples were air-dried and sieved using a 2-mm sieve, and the soil particle size was determined using the pipette method with sodium hexametaphosphate as the dispersing agent [27]. Soil pH was determined potentiometrically in both water (H<sub>2</sub>O) and one molar potassium chloride (1 M KCl) solutions after 24 hours in soil suspension (soil/liquid = 1/2.5 w/v). Exchangeable bases were extracted with neutral ammonium acetate solution. Calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry while potassium (K) and Sodium (Na) were determined by flame photometry [28]. Exchangeable acidity was determined by KCl extraction method [28]. Total nitrogen was determined by macrokjeldahl digestion method [29], while available phosphorus (P) was determined by Bray II method [28]. Soil organic carbon was determined by Walkley-Black method [30].

During the experimentation, five plants were randomly selected from each treatment replicate and tagged for assessment of growth parameters at three to five weeks after transplanting. Plant height (cm) was measured from the soil surface to the apical tip of the plant using a measuring tape. The number of fully developed leaves on the nodes of the main stem and the number of branches were visually observed and counted per plant. Plant girth was measured using a rope wrapped round the plant stem at 5 cm from the soil surface and the rope stretched on a 30 cm ruler to record the girth. Three manual harvests were conducted using a sharp knife every two weeks over four weeks to determine the cumulative yield of *S. nigrum*. Harvesting was done by cutting the main stem at about 6 cm from the soil surface and the primary branches were cut at about 3 cm from the main stem. The three harvests of *S. nigrum* were weighed using a top-loading balance and the data reported in tons per hectare fresh and dry (after oven-drying at 60 °C for 72 hours) weights.

Comment [SM8]: Stem

Comment [SM9]: String???

Comment [SM10]: More detail is needed here  
– was all that was harvested classified as marketable or there was sorting of the yield

## 2.7 Statistical analysis

Data sets were subjected to statistical analyses using STATISTICA 9.1 for Windows [31]. Dependent variables as performance of *S. nigrum* (e.g. yield, plant height, stem girth, number of leaves or branches) were subjected to univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ) to test effects of treatments ( $n=4$ ) as categorical predictors. Significant data means were compared by Tukey's HSD ( $P < 0.05$ ). Where applicable, Spearman Rank Correlation ( $P < 0.05$ ) was performed to determine the degree of association between dependent variables and categorical predictors.



196

### 197 3. RESULTS

#### 198 3.1 Influence of treatments on soil properties

199 Soil texture at the experimental site before tillage and application of  
200 treatments indicated that the site is dominated by clay (47.19%), silt  
201 (44.44%) and sand (8.37%). The soil was highly acidic and ranged from 4.1–  
202 5.4, but soil pH was not affected by the different treatments (Table 1A,B). The  
203 content of soil available phosphorus differed (ANOVA:  $F_{3,15} = 21.17$ ,  $P <$   
204  $0.001$ ; Fig. 1) significantly across treatments, ranging from 10.3–16.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.  
205 The highest soil available phosphorus occurred in the Tithonia and NPK  
206 treatments, which differed (Turkey's HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ; Fig. 1) significantly from  
207 urea and control, but urea and control did not differ (Turkey's HSD,  $P > 0.05$ ;  
208 Fig. 1) significantly. The soil available phosphorus correlated significantly ( $P <$   
209  $0.05$ ) with the fresh ( $r = 0.67$ ) and dry yield ( $r = 0.75$ ) of African nightshade.  
210 Compared to the baseline soil phosphorus (Table 1A), the residual soil  
211 phosphorus (Fig. 1) increased by 7.7% for Tithonia plots, but decreased by  
212 1.7% for NPK, 27.7% for control and 46.3% for urea after treatments were  
213 applied (Fig. 2). Meanwhile, all the other soil parameters that were assessed  
214 (including macronutrients – nitrogen and potassium) did not differ significantly  
215 across treatments ( $P > 0.05$ ; Table 1B).

216

#### 217 3.2 Impact of treatments on performance of *Solanum nigrum*

Comment [SM11]: Tukey?

The yield of African nightshade ranged from 8.6–14.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> fresh biomass (Fig. 3a) and 1.5–2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> dry biomass (Fig. 3b) across treatments. The fresh biomass yield differed (ANOVA:  $F_{3,14} = 4.53$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ; Fig. 3a) significantly across treatments, with the highest in NPK treatment that differed (Turkey's HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ) significantly from the control. Similarly, the dry biomass yield differed (ANOVA:  $F_{3,14} = 4.34$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ; Fig. 3b) significantly across treatments, with the highest in NPK treatment that differed (Turkey's HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ) significantly from the control. Moreover, the fresh biomass yield correlated significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) with the treatments ( $r = 0.68$ ) and soil available phosphorus ( $r = 0.67$ ). Similarly, the dry biomass yield correlated significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) with the treatments ( $r = 0.72$ ) and soil available phosphorus ( $r = 0.75$ ).

The average plant height ranged from 24.6–33.2 cm and differed significantly (ANOVA:  $F_{3,15} = 7.77$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ; Table 2) across treatments, with the highest in NPK that differed (Turkey's HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ) significantly from the other treatments. The number of leaves ranged from 80–117 per plant that differed (ANOVA:  $F_{3,15} = 4.09$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ; Table 2) significantly across treatments, with the highest in NPK that differed (Turkey's HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ) significantly from the urea treatment. The number of branches ranged from 11–15 per plant, but did not differ ( $P > 0.05$ ; Table 2) significantly across treatments. The stem girth ranged from 1.4–2.1 cm per plant, but did not differ ( $P > 0.05$ ; Table 2) significantly across treatments.

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1 Effect of treatments on soil properties

According to the guidelines for tropical soils elaborated by Landon [32], high, medium and low soil contents correspond to >10, 4–10 and <4% for organic carbon; >0.5, 0.2–0.5 and <0.2% for total nitrogen; 10–15, 5–10 and 0–5 cmol/kg for phosphorus; >0.4–0.8, 0.2–0.4 and <0.03–0.2 cmol/kg for potassium. Therefore, organic carbon and total nitrogen contents were low in this study, while phosphorus was high and potassium was medium [32]. Meanwhile, the observed highly acidic soil pH corresponds to the standard tropical acid soil pH range [33]. Liasu et al. [34] reported high nutrient contents in Tithonia residue, but the ability to exert effects on soil fertility depends on the quantity of material used and the rate of decomposing and mineralization. Therefore, the low amount of Tithonia used did not adequately supply the essential nutrients as compared to Ngosong et al. [16] who reported an increase in soil fertility and tomato yield for Tithonia than NPK. In addition, Odhiambo [35] reported reduced nitrogen mineralization in high clay soils, which might have influenced the mineralization of Tithonia residue in the present study with high clay content of 47.19%. Ngosong et al. [16] reported 0.55% phosphorus content in Tithonia residues from Buea, which means that by applying 312.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Tithonia, the total phosphorus input was only 1.72 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as compared to the 78.13 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> phosphorus supplied by the 312.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> NPK fertilizer applied. This suggests that much of the phosphorus from NPK fertilizer was either leached or adsorbed by the highly acidic tropical soil [6]. The two highest peaks of phosphorus fixation occur in the acid range of pH 4 and 5.5, where phosphorus precipitates with iron and aluminium. Also

the clay fraction of the soil (47.19%) is likely to be the main site of phosphorus fixation. Lower phosphorus content observed in the control and urea treatment is likely due to high phosphorus sorption capacity of the highly acidic tropical soil in this study area with 47.19% clay composition, since clay particles were strongly correlated to phosphorus sorption [36]. The increased soil available phosphorus recorded for Tithonia compared to the other treatments might be as a result of increase in the labile pools of soil phosphorus by Tithonia [21]. Net phosphorus mineralization rate increased because of the concentration of phosphorus in residues in relation to critical levels required for mineralization of soil organic phosphorus to inorganic phosphorus [37–39]. The low phosphorus content in the control soil is likely due to high phosphorus sorption capacity of the tropical acidic soil in this study area with 47.2% clay composition, since clay particles were strongly correlated to phosphorus sorption [36]. Accordingly, the interaction of phosphorus sources and different soil types reportedly influenced phosphorus availability [40]. However no significant differences were observed in the other soil parameters in all the treatments.

#### **4.2 Impact of treatments on performance of African nightshade**

The low yield of African nightshade recorded in control demonstrates the lack of soil essential nutrients for optimum crop performance, while the highest yields in Tithonia and inorganic fertilizer treatments is likely due to enhanced nutrient supply that favoured plant growth and yield. The similarity in *Solanum nigrum* yield for Tithonia and inorganic fertilizers does not support the hypothesis of this study, which advocated greater performance for Tithonia

than inorganic fertilizers. However, the similarity in *Solanum nigrum* yield for Tithonia and inorganic fertilizers indicates strong potential of Tithonia as a sustainable alternative amendment for soil fertility management. The high yield recorded for Tithonia residue that is comparable to NPK treatment can be attributed to high nutrient status of Tithonia, fast decomposition and nutrient release [22,37]. In addition, Tithonia residue might have created a favourable soil environment with constant nutrient supply that probably favoured root growth and nutrient acquisition [41]. Moreover, application of higher amounts of Tithonia residues might have increased the performance of *Solanum nigrum* more than the inorganic fertilizers as reported by other studies [16,42]. Hence, similarity in *Solanum nigrum* yield for Tithonia and inorganic fertilizers compared to higher performance of Tithonia reported by other studies is likely because of the lower amount of Tithonia residue used in this study [16,42]. Meanwhile, the release of readily available and balanced macronutrients in the NPK fertilizer likely stimulated the growth and yield of *Solanum nigrum* [43]. Overall, the observed yield is consistent with the report of Yengoh [44] that nutrient inputs and farm management are important determinants of yield differences in small-scale food crop farming systems in Cameroon.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Tithonia and inorganic fertilizers increased the performance of *Solanum nigrum* as compared to the control. The comparable performance of Tithonia and inorganic fertilizers demonstrate the potential of Tithonia as a sustainable alternative for inorganic fertilizers to improve the fertility of volcanic soils and

enhance the production of *Solanum nigrum*. The results strongly indicate the need for fertilizer inputs to improve soil fertility, which highlights the need for cost-effective and sustainable alternative resources in arable systems.

## REFERENCES

1. Biesalski HK. Hidden Hunger. Springer-Verlag. 2013;245.
2. Thomson B, Amoroso L. Combating micronutrient Deficiencies: Food-Based Approaches. CAB International and FAO.2011.
3. Grubben G, Klaver W, Nono-Womdim R, Everaarts A, Fondio L, Nugteren JA, Corrado M. Vegetables to Combat the Hidden Hunger in Africa. *Chronica Horticulture*.2014;54:24-32.
4. Odongo GA, Schlotz N, Baldermann S, Neugart S, Huyskens-Keil S, Ngwene B, Trierweiler B, Schreiner M, Lamy E. African Nightshade (*Solanum scabrum* Mill.): Impact of Cultivation and Plant Processing on Its Health Promoting Potential as Determined in a Human Liver Cell Model. *Nutrients*.2018;10:1532.
5. Kadiata BD, Lumpungu K. Differential Phosphorus Uptake and Use Efficiency among Selected Nitrogen-Fixing Tree Legumes over Time. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*.2003;26:1009-1022.
6. Tening AS, Foba-Tendo JN, Yakum-Ntaw SY, Tchuenteu F. Phosphorus fixing capacity of a volcanic soil on the slope of mount Cameroon. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*.2013;4:166-174.
7. Sanchez P, Jama B. Soil fertility replenishment takes off in east and southern Africa. p. 23-46. In B. Vanlauwe, J. Diels, N. Sanginga, and R.

- 340 Merckx (ed.) Integrated plant nutrient management in sub-Saharan Africa:  
341 From concept to practice, CABI, Wallingford, UK.2002.
- 342 8. Bekunda B, Sanginga N, Woomer PL. Restoring soil fertility in Sub-Sahara  
343 Africa. *Advances in Agronomy*.2010;108:184-236.
- 344 9. Thy S, Buntha P. Evaluation of fertilizer of fresh solid manure, composted  
345 manure or biodigester effluent for growing Chinese cabbage (*Brassica*  
346 *pekinensis*). *Livestock Reserves and Rural Development*.2005;17:149-154.
- 347 10. Albiach R, Canet R, Pomares F, Ingelmo F. Microbial biomass content  
348 and enzymatic activities after the application of organic amendments to a  
349 horticultural soil. *Bioresearch Technology*.2000;75:43-48.
- 350 11. The Economist. The 9 Billion-People Question. A special report on feeding  
351 the world.2011;February 26.
- 352 12. Suge JK, Omunyin ME, Omami EN. *Archives of Applied Science*  
353 *Research*.2011;3:470-479.
- 354 13. Wang F, Wang Z, Kou C, Ma Z, Zhao D. Responses of Wheat Yield,  
355 Macro- and Micro-Nutrients, and Heavy Metals in Soil and Wheat following  
356 the Application of Manure Compost on the North China Plain. *China*  
357 *Agricultural research system*.2016;11:146-153.
- 358 14. Payam P, Tehranifar A, Nemat H, Llakzian A, Kharrazi M. Effect of  
359 different mulching materials on soil properties under semi-arid conditions in  
360 Northeastern Iran. *Wudpecker Journal of Agricultural Research*.2013;2:80-85.
- 361 15. Adekiya AO. Legume Mulch Materials and Poultry Manure Affect Soil  
362 Properties, and growth and fruit yield of tomato. *Agriculturae Conspectus*  
363 *Scientificus*.2018;83:161-167.

- 364 16. Ngosong C, Mfombep PM, Njume, AC, Tening, AS. Comparative  
365 Advantage Of *Tithonia* And *Mucuna* Residues For Improving Tropical Soil  
366 Fertility And Tomato Productivity. International Journal of Plant And Soil  
367 Science.2016;12:1-13.
- 368 17. Ayeni AO, Lordbanjou DT, Majek BA. *Tithonia diversifolia* (Mexican  
369 sunflower) in south-western Nigeria: occurrence and growth habit. Weed  
370 Germination and growth inhibitory sesquiterpene lactone and a flavone from  
371 *Tithonia diversifolia* Phytochemistry. Research University of Oxford.1997;36:  
372 29-36.
- 373 18. Boureima S, Diouf M, Diop TA, Diatta M, Leye EM, Ndiaye F, Seck D.  
374 Effect of *arbuscular mycorrhiza* inoculation on the growth and the  
375 development of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L. African Journal of Agricultural  
376 Research.2007;3:234-238.
- 377 19. Olabode OS, Ogunyemi S, Akanbi WB, Adesina GO, Babajide PA.  
378 Evaluation of *Tithonia diversifolia* (Hemsi). A Gray for soil improvement. World  
379 Journal of Agricultural Sciences.2007;3:503-507.
- 380 20. Agbede TM, Afolabi LA. Soil fertility improvement potentials of Mexican  
381 sunflower (*Tithonia diversifolia*) and Siam weed (*Chromolaena odorata*) using  
382 okra as test crop. Archives of Applied Science Research.2014;6:42-47.
- 383 21. Jama B, Palm CA, Buresh RJ, Niang A, Gachengo C, Nziguheba G,  
384 Amadalo B. *Tithonia diversifolia* as a green manure for soil fertility  
385 improvement in western Kenya: A review. Agroforestry systems.2000;49:201-  
386 221.



- 387 22. Ojeniyi SO, Odedina SA, Agbede TM. Soil productivity improving  
388 attributes of Mexican sunflower (*Tithonia diversifolia*) and siam weed  
389 (*Chromolaena odorata*). *Emirates Journal of Food and*  
390 *Agriculture*.2012;24:243-247.
- 391 23. Proctor J, Ian DE, Robert WP, Laszlo N. Zonation of Forest Vegetation  
392 and soils of Mount Cameroon, West Africa. *Plant Ecology*.2007;192:251-269.
- 393 24. Manga VE, Agyingi CM, Suh CE. Trace Element Soil Quality Status of Mt.  
394 Cameroon Soils. *Advances in Geology*.2014;8:894-103.
- 395 25. Payton RW. Ecology, altitudinal zonation and conservation of tropical  
396 rainforest of Mount Cameroon. Final Project-Report R4600, ODA,  
397 London.1993.
- 398 26. Fraser PJ, Hall JB, Healing JR. Climate of the Mount Cameroon Region,  
399 long and medium term rainfall, temperature and sunshine data. School of  
400 Agricultural and Forest Sciences, University of Wales Bangor, MCP-LBG,  
401 Limbe.1998;56.
- 402 27. Kalra YP, Maynard DG. Methods manual for forest soil and plant analysis,  
403 Northwest Region. Information Report NOR-X319.1991.
- 404 28. Benton J, Jones Jr. Laboratory guide for conducting soil tests and plant  
405 analysis, CRC Press, Boca Raton, London, New York, Washington, D.C.  
406 2001.
- 407 29. Bremner JM, Mulvaney CS. Total nitrogen In: Black CA, (Ed.) Methods of  
408 soil analysis. Part 2, Agronomy 9. American Society of Agronomy Inc.  
409 Madison, Wisconsin.1982;1149-1178.

- 410 30. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the detjareff method for  
411 determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification to the chromic  
412 acid titration method. *Soil Science*.1934;37:29-38.
- 413 31. StatSoft. STATISTICA 9. 1 for Windows. StatSoft Inc., Tusla, USA.2010.
- 414 32. Landon JR. Booker Tropical Soil Manual. Longman Scientific and  
415 Technical Essex, UK.1991;474.
- 416 33. Li L, Yang T, Redden R, He W, Zong X. Soil fertility map for food legumes  
417 production areas in China. *Scientific Reports*.2016;6:26102.
- 418 34. Liasu MO, Ogundare AO, Ologunde MO. Effect of soil supplementation  
419 with fortified Tithonia mulch and directly applied inorganic fertilizer on growth  
420 and development of potted okra plants. *American-Eurasian Journal of*  
421 *sustainable Agriculture*.2008;2:264-270.
- 422 35. Odhiambo JJO. Decomposition and nitrogen release by green manure  
423 legume residue in different soil types. *African Journal of Agricultural*  
424 *Research*.2010;5:90-96.
- 425 36. Olatunji OO, Oyediran GO, Kolawole GO, Obi JC, Ige DV, Akinremi OO.  
426 Phosphate sorption capacity of some tropical soils on basement complex of  
427 southwestern Nigeria. *International Journal of Current Research*.2012;4:17-  
428 20.
- 429 37. Nziguheba G, Palm CA, Buresh RJ, Smithson PC. Soil phosphorus  
430 fractions and adsorption as affected by organic and inorganic sources. *Plant*  
431 *and Soil*.1998;247:159-168.

432 38. Laboski CAM, Lamb JA. Changes in soil test phosphorus concentration  
 433 after application of manure or fertilizer. Soil Science Society of America  
 434 Journal.2003;67:544-554.

435 39. Spychaj-Fabisiak E, Dlugosz J, Zamorski R. The effect of the phosphorus  
 436 dosage and incubation time on the process of retarding available phosphorus  
 437 forms in a sandy soil. Polish Journal of Soil Science.2005;38:23-30.

438 40. Torres-Dorante, LO, Norbert C, Bernd S, Hans-Werner O. Fertilizer-use  
 439 efficiency of different inorganic polyphosphate sources: effects on soil P  
 440 availability and plant P acquisition during early growth of corn. Journal of Plant  
 441 Nutrition and Soil Science.2006;169:509-266.

442 41. Boukcim H, Pages L, Mousain D. Local NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> supply modifies  
 443 the root system architecture of *Cedrus atlantica* seedlings grown in a split root  
 444 device. Journal of Plant Physiology.2006;163:1293-1304.

445 42. Agbede TM, Adekiya AO, Ogeh JS. Effects of Chromolena and Tithonia  
 446 Mulches on Soil Properties, Leaf Nutrient Composition, Growth and Yam  
 447 Yield. West African Journal of Applied Ecology.2013;21:15-29.

448 43. Okunlola AI, Adeona AP. Effects of fertilizer types on the Growth, Yield  
 449 and Pigment Concentration of Black Nightshade (*Solanum Nigrum*) in  
 450 Southwestern Nigeria. International Journal of Research in Agriculture and  
 451 Forestry.2016;3:2394-5907.

452 44. Yengoh GT. Determinants of yield differences in small-scale food crop  
 453 farming systems in Cameroon. Agriculture and Food Security.2012;1:19.

454

Table 1. A – Baseline soil properties of the experimental site before tillage and application of treatments. B – Effect of treatments (Control – No fertilizer, Urea, NPK and Tithonia) on soil properties at crop harvest (Mean  $\pm$  SD).

A													
	Moisture [%]	Organic carbon [%]	Total nitrogen [%]	C/N	pH(H <sub>2</sub> O)	pH(KCl)	Sodium [cmol/kg]	Potassium [cmol/kg]	Magnesium [cmol/kg]	Calcium [cmol/kg]	Aluminium [cmol/kg]	ECEC [cmol/kg]	Available phosphorus [mg/kg]
Baseline soil	9.5	2.0	0.14	14.0	5.4	4.5	0.33	0.22	1.5	4.0	0.32	6.4	15.0


B												
Treatments	Moisture [%]	Organic carbon [%]	Total nitrogen [%]	C/N	pH(H <sub>2</sub> O)	pH(KCl)	Sodium [cmol/kg]	Potassium [cmol/kg]	Magnesium [cmol/kg]	Calcium [cmol/kg]	Aluminium [cmol/kg]	ECEC [cmol/kg]
Control	17.2 ± 1.8a	2.5 ± 0.3a	0.14 ± 0.02a	18.3 ± 3.8a	4.9 ± 0.5a	4.4 ± 0.2a	0.19 ± 0.11a	0.52 ± 0.22a	1.6 ± 0.5a	4.5 ± 1.0a	0.56 ± 0.37a	7.3 ± 1.2a
Urea	16.3 ± 1.8a	2.8 ± 0.4a	0.13 ± 0.02a	22.3 ± 4.8a	4.5 ± 0.2a	4.1 ± 0.1a	0.11 ± 0.11a	0.30 ± 0.13a	1.4 ± 0.3a	3.4 ± 1.4a	1.13 ± 0.47a	6.3 ± 1.5a
NPK	16.4 ± 1.3a	2.4 ± 0.2a	0.14 ± 0.01a	17.3 ± 4.1a	4.6 ± 0.3a	4.2 ± 0.1a	0.21 ± 0.12a	0.49 ± 0.13a	1.9 ± 0.9a	4.7 ± 1.3a	0.92 ± 0.51a	8.3 ± 1.6a
Tithonia	15.5 ± 0.5a	2.4 ± 0.3a	0.16 ± 0.01a	15.0 ± 2.7a	4.8 ± 0.4a	4.3 ± 0.1a	0.23 ± 0.14a	0.36 ± 0.10a	1.7 ± 0.6a	4.7 ± 1.1a	0.71 ± 0.39a	7.8 ± 1.2a

Values within columns with the same letters are not significantly different (Tukey's HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ).


Table 2. Effect of treatments (Control – No fertilizer, Urea, NPK and Tithonia) on the growth parameters of African nightshade (Mean  $\pm$  SD).

Treatments	Plant girth [cm]	Plant height [cm]	Number of leaves	Number of branches
Control	1.8 $\pm$ 0.3a	27.6 $\pm$ 0.7b	90 $\pm$ 12ab	12 $\pm$ 1a
Urea	1.4 $\pm$ 0.3a	24.6 $\pm$ 2.4b	80 $\pm$ 12b	11 $\pm$ 3a
NPK	2.1 $\pm$ 0.5a	33.2 $\pm$ 2.2a	117 $\pm$ 21a	15 $\pm$ 4a
Tithonia	1.9 $\pm$ 0.2a	26.6 $\pm$ 4.1b	83 $\pm$ 20ab	13 $\pm$ 4a


Values within columns with different letters are significantly different (Tukey's HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ).



**Fig. 1** Effect of treatments (Control – No fertilizer, Urea, NPK and Tithonia) on soil available phosphorus (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Mean ± SD). Values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey's HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ).



**Fig. 2** Percentage [%] difference (increase or decrease) between field soil baseline and residual soil available phosphorus after treatments.



**Fig. 3** Impact of treatments (Control – No fertilizer, Urea, NPK and Tithonia) on the biomass yield of African nightshade ( $\text{t ha}^{-1}$ , Mean  $\pm$  SD); A – Fresh biomass, B – Dry biomass. Values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey's HSD,  $P < 0.05$ ).