

IMPACT OF SOME THINNING PRACTICES ON YIELD AND FRUIT QUALITY OF SEWI DATE PALM GROWN IN FARAFRA REGION

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out during two successive seasons (2016 and 2017) at El-Frafra Oasis district, Egypt. Female Sewi date palms of healthy, nearly homogenous in growth as well as fruiting ability were selected. The selected palms were pruned after harvesting and leaf bunch ratio was adjusted to 8 leaves/bunch during both seasons of study. The effect No. of bunch/palm (8, 10 or 12) and thinning % by cutting out a number of strands from the center of the bunch enough to remove about (0, 10, 20 and 30%) and their combinations were investigated. Anyhow, the influence was evaluated through the response of the different (fruiting aspects, fruit quality) to the specific and interaction effects of both investigated factors. The obtained results revealed the greatest fruit weight per bunch was always in significant concomitant to 8 bunches per palm + zero thinning % during both seasons. Moreover, the highest fruit weight per palm was significantly coupled to 12 bunches/palm + zero thinning level. However, the highest values of fruit weight (g) and fruit dimensions were significantly induced by 8 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning %. Anyhow, the heaviest fruit pulp weight was significantly coupled with (8 bunches /palm + 30 % thinning) the highest fruit seed weight was exhibited significantly by (8 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning level) and (10 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning level). Meanwhile, the lowest fruit moisture content was significantly coupled with the 8 bunches/palm + 30% thinning level. However, the highest value of TSS % and total sugars % was significantly coupled with 8 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning. Overall, the use of 8 bunches/palm with 30% thinning level of strands from the center of the bunch was the best in most of the measurements studied..

Keywords: {Date palm, Sewi, El-Frafra Oasis, thinning, bunches, fruit quality and yield}

1. INTRODUCTION

Date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) belongs to the family ~~palmaceae~~ [Palmaceae](#) and it is considered the tree of life in the desert, because it tolerates high temperatures, drought and salinity more than many other fruit crops [1]. Date Palm is one of the most successful and commercially important ~~crop~~ [crops](#) in Egypt. Numbers of date palm trees in Egypt are about 12,827,235 trees producing about 1,465,030 tons/year [2].

Egypt is considered the top ten date palm producers, Zaghloul, Samany, Hayany and Sewi are the most economically important date palm cultivars grown in Egypt [\(add reference\)](#). Presently, the date palm growers are facing many difficulties to produce high quality date fruits for economic reasons and to compete with the international market. ~~one~~ [One](#) of the most important factor affecting fruit quality and productivity of date palm is fruit thinning. So, it is needed to find the best thinning practices are necessary to increase quantitative, qualitative and economic output of date production in palm growing [3] and [4].

Thinning practice is an important managerial approach in date palm to improve fruit size, fruit weight, fruit quality and reduce chances of bunch breaking and alternate bearing. Several methods were used to thin date palm trees, i.e. bunch thinning, bunch strands thinning and individual fruit removal. Combination of removal of individual fruits and strands had substantially improved fruit quality [5], [6], [7] and [8]. Flower or fruit thinning is a critical cultural practice in the date palm production chain that affects fruit development, quality, yield and regulate the tree yearly bearing. Thinning process is generally practiced either manually or chemically. There is much concern regarding the use of

chemicals on the environmental pollution and health aspects. Therefore, development of a more save and economic thinning agent for the date palm is critically required especially under harsh conditions. Fruit thinning treatments may lead to a decrease of the total yield, soluble tannins %, crude fibers % and total acidity % and are responsible for improving the weight, size and dimensions of fruit, pulp weight %, total soluble solids %, total and sugar contents [9], [10] and [11]. Thinning by removing 10-30% of bunches number significantly increased the bunch weight, advancing ripening and best fruit quality compared to un-thinning [5], [12], [13], [14] and [8].

Frafra oasis lies in the western desert of Egypt. It is characterized by its excellent cultivars of date palm and olive. These two crops represent the main source of income to the farmers. Generally, date palms of the oasis are divided to three types according to its fruit moisture content, *i.e.* dry, semi dry and soft [15]. These cultivars are well adapted to the local environmental conditions. Among these cultivars there are two main famous and more frequent ones, *i.e.*, Ferehy (dry) and Siwi (Semi dry). The other seeded palms can have considered as local varieties that are local consumed (although some of them have good fruit characteristics). They are found in very low frequency, and their productions are not enough for external market.

Thus, this study aimed to investigate some thinning practices on yield and fruit quality of Sewi date palm grown in Farafra region.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out during two successive seasons (2016 and 2017) at El-Frafra Oasis district, Egypt. Female Sewi date palms of healthy, nearly homogenous in growth as well as fruiting ability were selected. The selected palms were pruned after harvesting and leaf bunch ratio was adjusted to 8 leaves/bunch during both seasons of study. The selected Sewi palms were subjected to all Horticultural practices applied to the date palms in this region except those ones under study. Anyhow, it was dealing with investigating the response of female Sewi date palms to different thinning treatments.

Values of the daily temperatures, relative humidity and wind speed during the period of flowering and fruit set are shown in **Table (A)**

Table A. The main air temperature, relative humidity and wind speed during 2016 and 2017 seasons at Egypt -New valley -Farafra.

Climate of Egypt -New valley - Farafra / 2016-Monthly								
Latitude: 27.05 Longitude: 27.96 Altitude: 78								
Climatic mean values					Monthly means and totals:			
Months	SRAD	TMAX	TMIN	RAIN	WIND	TDEW	TMean	RH
January	15.36	17.42	5.30	0.00	3.83	0.99	10.65	52.90
February	18.57	22.05	7.62	0.00	3.60	0.18	14.40	41.21
March	20.35	25.22	11.58	0.00	4.22	-0.05	17.96	32.03
April	25.23	32.56	15.70	0.00	4.10	-0.63	23.84	21.00
May	27.07	34.53	19.16	0.00	4.38	2.91	26.81	22.29
June	29.61	39.01	23.42	0.00	4.34	5.00	31.23	20.17
July	29.72	32.07	18.43	0.00	4.40	4.57	25.35	22.58

August	27.88	36.68	22.86	0.00	4.08	9.22	29.80	28.33
September	24.62	34.12	20.70	0.00	4.44	9.55	27.14	34.28
October	20.53	29.77	16.45	0.00	4.23	9.50	22.71	44.27
November	16.12	23.96	12.58	0.00	3.77	7.61	17.70	53.36
December	14.18	17.19	5.95	0.00	3.73	2.82	10.81	58.14
Average and Sum	22.44	28.72	14.98	0.00	4.09	4.31	21.53	35.88

Interpretation

SRAD	(MJ/m^2/day)							
TMAX	Maximum Air Temperature (degrees C)							
TMIN	Minimum Air Temperature (degrees C)							
RAIN	Average Precipitation (mm/day)							
WIND	Wind Speed (m/s)							
TDEW	Dew/Frost Point Temperature (degrees C)							
TMean	Average Air Temperature (degrees C)							
RH	Average Relative Humidity (%)							

Climate of Egypt -New valley - Farafra / 2017-Monthly

Latitude: 27.05 | Longitude: 27.96 | Altitude: 78

Monthly means and totals:

Climatic mean values

Months	SRAD	TMAX	TMIN	RAIN	WIND	TDEW	TMean	RH
January	14.67	16.85	4.76	0.00	3.41	-0.34	10.01	50.00
February	18.68	18.94	5.16	0.00	3.62	0.02	11.48	46.79
March	21.65	23.74	9.28	0.00	4.39	0.27	16.15	35.95
April	24.65	29.68	13.68	0.00	4.03	-0.04	21.45	25.64
May	26.75	34.02	18.49	0.00	4.20	3.65	26.33	24.05
June	29.64	37.18	21.98	0.00	4.31	5.96	29.71	23.06
July	29.57	38.19	23.57	0.00	4.18	8.25	30.99	25.19

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

August	27.90	37.26	23.22	0.00	4.13	9.81	30.26	28.57
September	24.81	29.94	15.67	0.00	3.97	4.23	22.46	27.06
October	20.82	28.31	14.67	0.00	3.96	6.37	21.03	39.22
November	16.87	23.10	10.08	0.00	3.19	3.47	15.86	44.91
December	13.88	19.55	8.14	0.00	3.34	4.25	13.03	56.51
Average and Sum	22.49	28.06	14.06	0.00	3.89	3.83	20.73	35.58
Interpretation								
SRAD	(MJ/m ² /day)							
TMAX	Maximum Air Temperature (degrees C)							
TMIN	Minimum Air Temperature (degrees C)							
RAIN	Average Precipitation (mm/day)							
WIND	Wind Speed (m/s)							
TDEW	Dew/Frost Point Temperature (degrees C)							
TMean	Average Air Temperature (degrees C)							
RH	Average Relative Humidity (%)							

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Central Laboratory for Agricultural climate (CLAC) (2016 and 2017).

To review this table, put the legends out of the table!

Formatted: Font: 14 pt

Formatted: Font: 14 pt

Thirty-six female date palms cv., Sewi were pollinated by male date palms from El-Farafra Oasis as pollen grain source at suitable time (early) of pollination by using hand pollination (manual method) during March and April in both seasons and subjected to the specific effect of No. of bunch/palm (8, 10 or 12) and thinning % by cutting out a number of strands from the center of the bunch enough to remove about (0, 10, 20 and 30%) and their combinations.

Data and Measurements:

1- Total yield / palm: By weighing all the bunches per the palm (kg).

2- Fruit quality: Samples of 30 date palm fruits were taken randomly from each bunch at picking time for determining the different characteristics after removing their calyxes, wiping, and cleaning from dirty.

2.1. Fruit physical properties

Thirty fruits were randomly taken, at harvest time, as a sample for each palm during both seasons of study. Samples fruits were divided into three groups; each of 10 fruits treated as a replicate to determine the following characteristics:

1. Fruit weight (g).

It was calculated by weighing each of 10 fruits as a replicate. The average fruit weight, in grams, was tabulated.

2. Flesh weight (g).

It was calculated by weighing each of 10 fruits, as a replicate, after removing seeds. The average fruit weight, in grams, was tabulated.

3. Seed weight (g).

It was estimated by the differences between fruit weight and flesh fruit weight, and the average seed weight (in grams) was tabulated.

4. Fruit weight/seed weight ratio.

It was calculated by dividing the average of fruit weight by the average of seed weight and tabulated.

5. Fruit dimensions.

Fruit length and diameter were measured using individual fruits of each replicate (10 fruits) by using vernier caliper. In addition, fruit length (L) per fruit diameter (D) was calculated as L/D ratio for each palm tree.

6. Fruit firmness.

It was estimated by using pressure tester apparatus (Kg/cm^2) (drill diameter, 0.3 cm) for the individual 10 fruits of each replicate per date palm tree in both seasons. The average fruit firmness was calculated.

2.2. Fruit chemical properties.

Thirty fruits were randomly taken at harvest time as a sample for each palm during both seasons of the study. Samples fruits were divided into three groups (10 fruits of each). Each group was treated as a replicate to determine the following characteristics.

1. Total soluble solids (T.S.S. %).

It was determined in fruit juice using Carl Zeiss Refractometer as described in [16].

2. Fruit acidity percentage

It was determined as described in [16]. and the titratable acidity was calculated as malic acid according to [add here authors](#)[17].

3. Total sugars content.

It was determined according to [16]. in the methanol extract using the phenol sulfuric acid method; and the concentration was calculated as g/100 g fresh weight.

4. Reducing sugars content

It was determined in the methanol extract according to [add here authors](#) [16] and the percentage was calculated as g /100 g fresh weight.

5. Non-reducing sugars content

It was determined by differences between total and reducing sugars.

6- Moisture percentage: Fruits were cleaned then seeds were removed, fruit flesh was dried at 60 - 65 °C for 48 hours according to [add here authors](#) [16] method and moisture percentage was calculated.

Statistical analysis:

All data obtained during both seasons were subjected to analysis of variance and significant differences among means were determined according to [add here authors](#) [18]. Capital and small letters were used for distinguishing between means of specific effect of two investigated factors and interaction between them, respectively, according to [add here authors](#) [19].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fruits weight/bunch (kg):

Specific effect: -

With regard to the specific effect of No². of bunches per palm it is quite evident as shown from tabulated data in Table (1) that three investigated numbers (8,10 and 12) were significant as compared each other. Hence, the greatest values of fruit weight/bunch were significantly coupled with 8 bunches/ palm followed by 10 and 12 bunches per palm, respectively. Such trend was true during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Referring the specific effect of thinning % (0, 10,20 and 30 %), Table (1) display obviously that the highest fruit weight per palm was significantly in concomitant to zero thinning percentage during both seasons

of study, significantly followed in an ascending order by 10, 20 and 30 %, respectively during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Interaction effect: -

As for the interaction effect of different combinations between two studied factors, i.e., (3 number of bunches per palm x thinning %), data obtained during two seasons as shown in Table (1) and revealed obviously that each investigated factor reflected clearly its own specific effect on their various combinations. Anyhow, the greatest fruit weight per bunch was always in significant concomitant to 8 bunches per palm +zero thinning percentage during 2016 and 2017 seasons, whereas, the lowest values of fruit weight per bunch was exhibited by 12 bunches per palm +30 % thinning level during both seasons of study.

The present results go parallel in the line of those found by [\(name of the author or authors\) El-Hammady et al. \[20\]](#) on Hayany dates, [Hussein et al. \[21\]](#) on Zaghloul dates, [author \[22\]](#) and [authors \[23\]](#) on Sewy dates, [authors \[24\]](#) on "Nabtet Ali" dates. They mentioned that fruit thinning substantially decreased the total yield as compared with the control (no thinning). Besides that, [authors \[25\]](#) found that cutting back 25% of strands significantly reduced yield per palm of "Zaghloul" cultivar as compared with the control. Moreover, [authors \[26\]](#) on "Haiany" and "Halawy" date cultivars, stated that 20% removal of total number of bunch strands by either thinning out or cutting back before pollination was beneficial method to regulate the yield. Meanwhile, the reduction in yield increased by increasing of the thinning degree, that when done 30 days after pollination of Khalas cv. [27] and Succary cv. [28]. In addition, [authors \[29\]](#) studied the effect of different thinning ways 30 days after hand pollination on bunch weight and yield of Segae date palm cultivar by removing (10 and 20 cm) of strands length per bunch, the middle of the bunch, middle of the bunch + removing 10 cm of strands length per bunch and control treatment (no thinning). They indicated that all thinning ways significantly decreased bunch weight and yield than the control in second season. Also, thinning ways by removing the middle of the bunch and 10 cm of strands length per bunch gave the highest values of bunch yield compared with either the other ways.

On the contrary, [author \[30\]](#) revealed that bunch thinning for "Zaghloul" dates by removing 20% from the tips of strands after pollination significantly increased bunch weight and yield/palm comparing with control.

Table 1. Fruit weight / bunch of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches /palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruits weight/bunch (kg)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	15.18a	14.80b	14.57c	14.85A
10	14.70bc	14.33d	13.93e	14.32B
20	13.81e	13.33f	13.07g	13.40C
30	13.38f	12.65h	11.68i	12.57D
Mean*	14.27A	13.78B	13.31C	
Second season: 2017				
0	12.61a	12.39a	12.10b	12.37A
10	12.04bc	11.81c	11.54d	11.80B
20	11.52de	11.28e	10.65fg	11.15C
30	10.72f	10.61fg	10.40g	10.58D
Mean*	11.72A	11.52B	11.17C	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold, Italic

Formatted: Font: Bold

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Fruits weight (g) per palm: -

Specific effect: -

With regard to the specific effect of No. of bunches per palm, it is quite clear as shown from Table (2) that highest values of fruits weight per palm was significantly increase with 12 bunches per palm both seasons. The reverse was true with 8 bunches per palm in this concern. Such trend was true during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Referring the specific effect of thinning percentage. Table (2) display that zero thinning percentage gave significantly the highest values of fruits weight per palm during 2016 and 2017 seasons. Whereas, 30% thinning percentage had significantly the lowest weight of fruits per palm during both seasons of study.

Interaction effect: -

Table (2) display obviously that interaction effect of two investigated factors on fruit weight per palm is a real reflection of their specific effect in this respect. Anyhow, the highest fruit weight per palm was significantly coupled to 12 bunches/palm + zero thinning level, descendingly followed by 12 bunches/palm and 10 % thinning level, 12 bunches per palm + 30 % thinning level, respectively. Such trend was true during both 2016 and 2017 seasons. On the contrary, 8 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning level ranked statistically last in this concern during both seasons of study.

Table 2. Fruit weight/palm (kg) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches /palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit weight/palm (kg)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	121.5i	146.3d	174.8a	147.5A
10	117.6j	143.3e	167.2b	142.7B
20	110.5k	133.3g	148.8c	130.9C
30	107.1l	126.5h	140.1f	124.6D
Mean*	114.1C	137.4B	157.7A	
Second season: 2017				
0	100.9i	123.9e	144.8a	123.2A
10	96.35j	118.1f	138.4b	117.6B
20	92.19k	112.8f	131.8c	112.3C
30	86.27l	106.1h	124.8d	105.7D
Mean*	93.92C	115.2B	134.9A	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Fruit weight (g): -

Nevertheless, fruit weight of Sewi date palm in response to specific effect and interaction effect of two investigated factors (No. of bunches /palm and thinning level) and their combinations, data obtained during both 2016 and 2017 seasons are tabulated in Table (3).

Specific effect: -

Referring the specific effect of No. of bunches per palm, data obtained during both 2106 and 2017 seasons declared that the greatest fruit weight of Sewi date palm was recorded (10.98 and 11.33g), followed by 10 bunches per palm was recorded (10.65 and 10.82 g). Such trend was true during both 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Concerning, the specific effect of thinning % on fruit weight, Table (3) display clearly that the highest values of fruit weight (g) (11.26 and 11.56 g) was exhibited by 30 % thinning level, followed by 20% thinning level (10.80 and 10.96 g) which ranked statistically second. Such trend was true during both seasons of study. Meanwhile, zero % thinning level (10.18 and 10.31 g) came statistically last in this concern during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Interaction effect: -

Table (3) display that the specific effect of each investigated factor (no. of bunches/palm and thinning %) was reflected on their combination effect (interaction) whereas the highest values (11.64 and 12.22 g) of fruit weight (g) was significantly induced by 8 bunches / palm + 30 thinning %) followed in an ascending order by those subjected to (8 bunches/palm + 20 % thinning level in the first season and (10 bunches/palm + 30 5 thinning level in the second season, Meanwhile, differences were significant as the various combinations of No. of bunches per palm and thinning levels were compared to each other during both seasons of study. In addition, (12 bunches per palm + zero thinning %) ranked statistically last in this concern. Such trend was true during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Anyhow, the earlier findings of several investigators gave support to our results pertaining the increase of fruit weight exhibited by the two investigators gave support to our results pertaining the increase of fruit weight exhibited by the two investigated factors and their interactions effects. Many investigators, [authos \[31\]](#), [authos \[32\]](#) and [authos \[22\]](#) working on several date palm cultivars, found that the fruit properties either physical or chemical properties of thinned palm trees were significantly higher than un-thinned ones. In this regard, fruit thinning of several date palm cultivars in the opinion of many investigators was found to greatly improve and increase the fresh weight of date fruit [\[33\]](#) and [\[34\]](#). Also, [authos \[35\]](#) and [authos \[36\]](#) on "Zaghloul" date palms, [authos \[37\]](#) , [authos \[38\]](#) and [authos \[39\]](#) on "Succary" date cv., [authos \[40\]](#) on khdrawi cv., [authos \[27\]](#) on "Khalas" date palms. They observed that bunch thinning treatments significantly increased fruit weight as compared with control treatment. Additionally, [authos \[42\]](#) studied the effect of strand thinning on yield of "Kur" date palm cultivar. Four treatments were applied such as T1: control (without thinning), T2: removing 10% of strands, T3: 25% and T4: 50% randomly from total strands number per bunch after 5 weeks from pollination. The results showed that all strand thinning treatments significantly increased fruit weigh as compared to control. Maximum fruit weight was obtained at 50% strand thinning, followed by the treatment where 25% strands were removed.

On the contrary, [authos \[7\]](#) reported that all thinning treatments at 6 weeks after pollination of Barhee date grown in Khouzesan province in Iran had no significant effect on fruit weight compared to control.

Table 3. Fruit weight (g) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches/palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit weight (g)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	10.50g	10.16i	9.87j	10.18D
10	10.73f	10.43h	10.13i	10.43C
20	11.06c	10.86e	10.48gh	10.80B
30	11.64a	11.15b	10.99d	11.26A
Mean*	10.98A	10.65B	10.37C	
Second season: 2017				
0	10.62e	10.31g	10.01i	10.31D

10	11.01d	10.59e	10.21h	10.60C
20	11.48b	11.01d	10.39f	10.96B
30	12.22a	11.38c	11.08d	11.56A
Mean*	11.33A	10.82B	10.42C	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

fruit length (cm):

Specific effect:-

With respect to specific effect of No. of bunches per palm , data obtained during both seasons declared that fruit length of Sewi date palm followed typically the same trend previously detected with the average fruit weight . Such trend was true during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Concerning, the specific effect of thinning % (0,10, 20 and 30%) increased significantly fruit length, followed by 20 % thinning which ranked statistically second during two seasons of study.

Interaction effect: -

Concerning the inter action effect of various combinations between the investigated two factors (3 No. of bunches /palm x 4 thinning level) on fruit length of date palm Sewi cv. Table (4) shows obviously that the trend of response followed typically the same trend previously discussed with average fruit weight. Herein, the tallest fruit length was always closed relationship to those Sewi date palm treated with (8 bunches /palm +30 % thinning), followed in an ascending order by (8 bunches / palm + 20 % thinning), (8 bunches /palm +10 %thinning) and (10 bunches /palm + 30 %thinning level) during both 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Table 4. Fruit length (cm) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches /palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit length (cm)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	3.62de	3.53h	3.46i	3.54D
10	3.66c	3.55g	3.53h	3.58C
20	3.71b	3.62e	3.57f	3.63B
30	3.84a	3.64d	3.64d	3.71A
Mean*	3.71A	3.58B	3.55C	
Second season: 2017				
0	3.61e	3.53f	3.45g	3.53D
10	3.68d	3.61e	3.52f	3.60C
20	3.79b	3.69d	3.60e	3.70B
30	3.89a	3.74c	3.68d	3.77A
Mean*	3.74A	3.64B	3.57C	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Fruit diameter (cm):-

- Specific effect: -

As for the specific effect of No. of bunches /palm, Table (5) reveals obviously that the fruit diameter increased significantly by 8 bunches/palm which gave statistically the highest values of fruit diameter during two seasons of study. Meanwhile, 12 bunches/palm ranked statistically last in this concern during both seasons.

With regard to the specific effect of thinning level. Table (5) display that 30 %thinning ranked statistically the first in this concern, followed by 20 % thinning while ranked statistically the second. Such trend was during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Interaction effect: -

Table (5) display that the specific effect of each factor was reflected on their combination effect interaction whereas the highest values of fruit diameter was significantly induced by (8 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning) and (8 bunches/palm +20 % thinning) they ranked statistically second, third and fourth, respectively. On the other hand, (12 bunches / palm + zero thinning %) ranked statistically last in this concern. Such trend was true during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Table 5. Fruit diameter (cm) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches/palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit diameter (cm)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	2.18e	2.13f	2.07g	2.13D
10	2.23cd	2.19e	2.10f	2.17C
20	2.26bc	2.23cd	2.18e	2.22B
30	2.30a	2.28b	2.22d	2.27A
Mean*	2.24A	2.21B	2.14C	
Second season: 2017				
0	2.20d	2.13e	2.11f	2.15D
10	2.25c	2.20d	2.21d	2.22C
20	2.30b	2.23c	2.23c	2.26B
30	2.33a	2.29b	2.25c	2.29A
Mean*	2.27A	2.22B	2.20C	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Fruit shape index:

Specific effect: -

Data obtained during both seasons revealed obviously that the response to specific effect of No. of bunches per palm was not so pronounced, where differences in most cases were not only too slight to reach level of significance. However, it could be safely concluded that 8 bunches/palm increased it slightly during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

As for the specific effect of thinning %, it was quite clear that differences in most cases were not only too slight to reach level of significance. Moreover, zero thinning % was the superior in this concern during two seasons of study.

Interaction effect: -

It was so clear no firm trend could be detected with date palm Sewi cv. Herein, difference was completely absent from statistical point of view. Anyhow, the present results regarding the slight response of

fruit shape index to various investigated combination could be logically explained upon the parallel rate of response exhibited in both fruit diameters (length and diameter) by a given treatment.

The present results on fruit dimensions and fruit shape index goes partially with the finding of [authos \[33\]](#), [authos \[42\]](#) and [authos \[34\]](#). They found that fruit thinning increased the average fruit length and diameter as compared with the control. Moreover, [\[43\]](#) found that removing of 25% strands/bunch treatment produced the highest increase in fruit length and diameter than the control and other thinning treatments for Sakkot, Shamaia and balady date cultivars in both seasons. Also, [\[37\]](#) thinned bunch of "Succary" date cultivar with shortening or removal of strands. They observed that bunch thinning treatments at pollination time led to significant increase in length and diameter as compared with control treatment. Moreover, shortening 20 and 40% of strands gave the highest values in this concern as compared with removal of the strands. In addition, [\[40\]](#) when thinned the bunches of date palm "Khadrawy" cv. by removing 10,20,30 and 40% of total number of strands from the center of each bunch after pollination, found that fruit size improved with thinning practices over control. Thinning at the rate of 40% was the best treatment in this regard.

Table 6. Fruit shape index of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches/palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit shape index				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	1.661a-c	1.657b-d	1.671ab	1.663A
10	1.641e-g	1.621fg	1.681a	1.648B
20	1.642e-g	1.623fg	1.638e-g	1.634C
30	1.670ab	1.596h	1.640d-f	1.635C
Mean*	1.653A	1.625B	1.657A	
Second season: 2017				
0	1.643a-c	1.655ab	1.636bc	1.645A
10	1.638bc	1.637bc	1.596d	1.624B
20	1.647ab	1.654ab	1.616cd	1.639A
30	1.668a	1.632bc	1.639bc	1.646A
Mean*	1.649A	1.644A	1.622B	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Fruit pulp weight (g):

Specific effect: -

Concerning the specific effect of No. of bunches/palm on fruit pulp weight, Table (7) reveals obviously that the heaviest pulp weight induced significantly by 8 bunches/palm, discendingly followed by 10 bunches/palm and 12 bunches/palm during first and second seasons, respectively.

Concerning the fruit pulp weight in response to specific effect of thinning %, data obtained during 2016 and 2017 seasons as shown in Table (7) display obviously that 30 % thinning surpassed statistically the other thinning levels, followed by 20 % thinning level and 10 % thinning during 2016 and 2017 seasons, respectively.

Interaction effect: -

With regard to the interaction effect of two investigated factors on average pulp weight date palm Sewi cv., it is quite clear that specific effect of each factor was reflected directly on its own combinations. Anyhow, the heaviest fruit pulp weight was significantly coupled with (8 bunches /palm + 30 % thinning). On the contrary, the lightest average fruit pulp weight was always in significant concomitant with (12 bunches/palm + zero thinning %). In addition, other combinations were in between the abovementioned two extents. Such trend was so firm and true during 2016 and 2017 seasons for Sewi date palm.

Table 7. Fruit pulp weight (g) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches/palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit pulp weight (g)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	9.11g	8.80i	8.42k	8.78D
10	9.30f	9.000h	8.68j	8.99C
20	9.60c	9.40e	8.99h	9.33B
30	10.13a	9.69b	9.49d	9.77A
Mean*	9.53A	9.22B	8.90C	
Second season: 2017				
0	9.27d	8.93e	8.64f	8.95D
10	9.61c	9.22d	8.87e	9.23C
20	10.01b	9.57c	8.36g	9.32B
30	10.75a	9.95b	9.62c	10.11A
Mean*	9.91A	9.42B	8.88C	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Fruit pulp weight (%):

-Specific effect:-

As for the specific effect of No. of bunches/palm, Table (8) reveals that the response followed typically the same trend previously discussed with the average pulp weight during two seasons for Sewi date palm. Herein, 8 bunches/palm were significantly the superior in this concern than the others.

Concerning the specific effect of deferential investigated thinning levels. It is so clear that 30 % thinning level was statistically the superior in this concern during both seasons followed by 20 % thinning level in the first season and 10 % thinning in the second season.

Interaction effect: -

Concerning the interaction effect of two studied factors (No. of bunches/palm x thinning levels), it was so obvious that (8 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning levels) had generally the highest fruit pulp weight percentage, discendingly followed by (10 bunches / palm + 20 % thinning level) and (8 bunches/palm + zero thinning level), which ranked second, third and fourth from the statically point of view respectively. On the contrary, (12 bunches /palm + zero thinning level) which statistically the inferior combinations and exhibited generally the least fruit pulp percentage during 2016 and 2017 seasons. In addition, other combinations were in between the aforesaid two extremes.

The detected trends regarding the effect of different treatments on fruit pulp weight and fruit pulp % are supported by several investigators. In this respect findings of [authors\[42\]](#) on "Zaghloul" dates and [authors\[22\]](#) on Sewi dates. They, observed that pulp weight of fruits and flesh percentage were increased in thinned bunch compared with un-thinned bunches of "Zaghloul" date palm. These effects might be due to more accumulation of carbohydrates and other substances in bunches that were treated with thinning [21]. Moreover, [\[37\]](#)-thinned bunch of "Succary" date cultivar with shortening or removal of strands [\[37\]](#). [Authors \[? \]](#). They [\(??\)](#) observed that bunch thinning treatments at pollination time led to significant increase in flesh % as compared with control treatment. Moreover, shortening 20 and 40% of strands gave the highest values in this concern as compared with removal of the strands.

Table 8. Fruit pulp weight (%) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and inter action effects of No. of bunches /palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit pulp weight (%)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean
First season: 2016				
0	86.73a-c	86.64bc	85.37f	86.25B
10	86.70a-c	86.26d	85.75e	86.23B
20	86.80ab	86.56b-d	85.76e	86.37B
30	87.03a	86.91ab	86.38cd	86.77A
Mean*	86.81A	86.59B	85.81C	
Second season: 2017				
0	87.27bc	86.55ef	86.38f	86.73C
10	87.29bc	87.01cd	86.91c-e	87.07B
20	87.23bc	86.93c-e	80.50g	84.88D
30	87.97a	87.49b	86.83de	87.43A
Mean*	87.44A	86.99B	85.15C	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Fruit seed weight (g).

Specific effect: -

Data obtained during both 2016 and 2017 seasons in Table (9)) revealed obviously that the response of fruit seed weight to the specific effect of No. of bunches/palm was not so pronounced, where differences in most cases were not only to slight to reach level of significance. Such trend was true during 2016 and 2017 seasons. As for the specific effect of thinning levels or %, it was quite clear that (30% thinning) was exhibited statistically the highest values of seed weight during two experimental seasons of study. Discendingly followed by (20% thinning) and (10% thinning level), respectively. during both seasons Meanwhile (zero thinning) ranked statistically last in this concern during two seasons.

Interaction effect:-

Table (9) shows clearly that the seed weight of Sewi data palm responded significantly to the various investigated treatments (3 No. of bunches/palm x 4 thinning levels). Herein, the highest fruit seed weight was exhibited significantly by (8 bunches/palm +30 % thinning level), (10 bunches/palm +30 % thinning level) and (12bunches/palm +30% thinning level). Such trend was true during 2016 and 2017 seasons, respectively. Moreover, (8 bunches/palm + 20 % thinning) ranked statistically the second followed by (10 bunches/palm + 20 %thinning level) which ranked statistically the third in this concern. On the contrary, (12 bunches/palm + zero thinning level) cam statistically last in this regarding during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Table 9. Fruit seed weight (g) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches/palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit seed weight (g)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	1.43bc	1.41c	1.42bc	1.42B
10	1.44bc	1.42bc	1.43bc	1.43B
20	1.47a-c	1.46a-c	1.47a-c	1.47A
30	1.50a	1.48ab	1.50a	1.49A
Mean*	1.46A	1.44A	1.46A	
Second season: 2017				
0	1.38f	1.39f	1.39ef	1.39D

10	1.41de	1.42d	1.39f	1.41C
20	1.47b	1.45c	1.41de	1.45B
30	1.50a	1.48ab	1.49ab	1.49A
Mean*	1.44A	1.44A	1.42B	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Fruit seed weight (%):

-Specific effect:

With regard to the specific effect of No. of bunches/palm Table (10) display that 12 bunches/palm was significantly the superior, discendingly followed by 10 bunches/palm and 8 bunches /palm. Such trend was true during both seasons of study.

As for the specific effect of thinning level, it is quite evident that zero thinning level was significantly higher than the other thinning level in this concern during the two seasons. On the contrary, 30 % thinning level ranked statistically last in this concern during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

-Interaction effect:

The response of seed weight percentage to the interaction effect of two investigated factors was clearly showed in Table (10). Herein, the highest values of seed weight percentage were exhibited significantly by (12 bunches/palm + zero thinning %), discendingly followed by (12 bunch/palm +10 % thinning percentage) during 2016 and 2017 seasons. The reverse was true with (8 bunches/palm +30 % thinning level) which ranked statistically last in this concern during both seasons of study. Moreover, the present result goes parallel in the line of those founded by authors [44] on "Zaghloul" dates. Who found that bunch thinning treatments of "Zaghloul" dates, increased pulp/seed ratio. The fresh weight of seed and seed percent appeared to decrease. Additionally strand tips removal was better than and equivalent amount of thinning by removing entire strands from the center. Also, El-Makhtoun *et al.*[42] observed that all bunch thinning treatments increased flesh/seed weight ratio of "Zaghloul" date cultivar. Thinning by removing 25% of the number of strands from the center plus cutting back strand tips to remove 25% of their fruits was the most promising thinning treatment.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Table 10. Fruit seed weight (%) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches/palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit seed weight (%)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	13.61b-d	13.88bc	14.39a	13.96A
10	13.42cd	13.61b-d	14.12ab	13.72AB
20	13.29de	13.44cd	14.02ab	13.58B
30	12.88e	13.27de	13.65b-d	13.27C
Mean*	13.30C	13.55B	14.04A	
Second season: 2017				
0	12.96de	13.48bc	13.92a	13.45A
10	12.80e	13.44bc	13.58b	13.27B
20	12.83e	13.19cd	13.57b	13.20B
30	12.25f	13.01de	13.42bc	12.89C
Mean*	12.71C	13.28B	13.62A	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Fruit moisture (%):

-Specific effect:

Referring the specific effect of No. of bunches/palm, data obtained during both seasons declared that fruit moisture percentage of Sewi date palm fruits decreased significantly by decreasing No. of bunches/palm.

Herein, the highest moisture content was significantly coupled with (12bunches/palm) during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Concerning, the specific effect of thinning levels, Table (11) displays clearly that fruit moisture content decreased significantly by increasing thinning level. Anyhow, the lowest moisture content (%) was exhibited by 30% thinning during both seasons of study. On the other hand, the highest moisture content was recorded by zero thinning level during 2016 and 2017 seasons. However, the other thinning levels were in between the aforesaid extremes.

Interaction effect:

Table (11) reveals that the interaction effect of different combinations between two investigated factors was real reflection to the abovementioned two discussed trends of the response for each factor. Anyhow, the lowest fruit moisture content was significantly coupled with the 8 bunches/ palm + 30% thinning level, followed in an ascending order by those subjected to 8 bunches /palm +20 % thinning level, 10 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning level, 8bunches/palm + 10% thinning level, 10bunches /palm + 20 thinning level and 10 bunches/palm +10 thinning level. Such trend was true during 2016 and2017 experimental seasons. The reverse was true with (12 bunches/palm + zero thinning level) which induced the highest fruit moisture content (%) during both seasons of study.

Table 11. Fruit moisture (%) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and inter action effects of No. of bunches /palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit moisture (%)				
No. of bunch/palm \ Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	13.16c	13.23b	13.31a	13.23A
10	13.00f	13.02e	13.05d	13.02B
20	12.73i	12.76h	12.87g	12.79C
30	12.55k	12.61j	12.62j	12.59D
Mean*	12.86C	12.90B	12.96A	
Second season: 2017				
0	13.15d	13.27b	13.40a	13.27A
10	13.01f	13.19c	13.25b	13.15B
20	12.84i	13.01f	13.12e	12.99C
30	12.61j	12.92h	12.95g	12.84D
Mean*	12.91C	13.10B	13.18A	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Total soluble solids (TSS%):

- Specific effect:

With regard to the specific effect of two investigated factors (No. of bunches/palm and thinning %), as well as interaction of their possible combinations on TSS % of Sewi date palm, data obtained during 2016 and 2017 seasons are presented in Table (12). With regard to the specific effect of No. of bunches/palm it is quite clear that a negative relationship was obviously detected between No. of bunches per palm and TSS %. Anyhow, the highest values of TSS % were recorded with 8 bunches / palm which statistically ranked the first. Moreover, 10 bunches/ palm and 12 bunches/palm ranked statistically second and third respectively during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Referring the specific effect of thinning level on TSS % Table (12) display clearly that the highest TSS % was exhibited by 20% thinning in the first season and 30 % thinning in the second season. Meanwhile, the lowest TSS % was by zero thinning level during both seasons of study.

Interaction effect:

The response of TSS % to the interaction effect of two investigated factors followed typically the contrary trend previously discussed with fruit moisture %. Hence the highest value of TSS % was significantly coupled with 8 bunches/palm + 30% thinning level during 2016 and 2017 seasons, followed descendingly by 10 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning level, 12 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning level and 8 bunches/palm + 20 % thinning level. Such trend was true during both seasons of study. On the contrary, 12 bunches/palm + zero thinning level and 12 bunches/palm + 10 % thinning level ranked statistically last in this concern during two seasons.

Table 12. Total soluble solids (TSS) % of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches /palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Total soluble solids (TSS) %				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	77.39g	77.13h	76.99h	77.17D
10	78.36f	78.53f	77.35g	78.08C
20	79.14d	78.94de	81.52a	79.87A
30	79.84b	79.56c	78.85e	79.42B
Mean*	78.68A	74.54B	78.68A	
Second season: 2017				
0	77.22h	77.05i	76.99i	77.09D
10	77.79f	77.65g	77.32h	77.59C
20	78.55c	78.34d	77.96e	78.28B
30	79.18a	79.03b	78.65c	78.95A
Mean*	78.18A	78.02B	77.73C	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Fruit titratable acidity (%):

Specific effect:

Data obtained during both 2016 and 2017 seasons in Table (13) revealed obviously that the response of fruit titratable acidity % to the specific effect of No. of bunches/palm was not so pronounced, where differences in most cases were not only to slight to reach level of significance. Such trend was true during 2016 and 2017 seasons. As for the specific effect of thinning levels or %, it was quite clear that (zero % thinning) was exhibited statistically the highest values of fruit titratable acidity % during two experimental seasons of study. Descendingly followed by (10% thinning) and (20% thinning level), respectively. during both seasons. Meanwhile (30 % thinning) ranked statistically last in this concern during two seasons.

- Interaction effect:-

Table (13) shows clearly that the fruit titratable acidity of Sewi date palm responded significantly to the various investigated treatments (3 No. of bunches/palm x 4 thinning levels). Herein, the highest Fruit titratable acidity % were exhibited significantly by (12 bunches/palm + zero % thinning level). Such trend was true during 2016 and 2017 seasons, respectively. Moreover, (12 bunches/palm + 10% thinning) ranked statistically the second followed by (12 bunches/palm + 20 % thinning level) which ranked statistically the third in this concern.

On the contrary, (12 bunches/palm + 30% thinning level) came statistically last in this regard during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Table 13. Fruit titratable acidity (%) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and inter action effects of No. of bunches /palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Fruit titratable acidity (%)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	0.251bc	0.261b	0.279a	0.264A
10	0.230e	0.237c-e	0.259b	0.242B
20	0.189f	0.232de	0.249b-d	0.223C
30	0.189f	0.200f	0.233c-e	0.207D
Mean*	0.215C	0.232B	0.255A	
Second season: 2017				
0	0.274b-d	0.278bc	0.300a	0.284A
10	0.264b-e	0.270b-d	0.282b	0.272B
20	0.261c-e	0.262c-e	0.281b	0.268B
30	0.250e	0.250e	0.259de	0.253C
Mean*	0.262B	0.265B	0.281A	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.
Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

TSS/acid ratio:

-Specific effect: -

With regard to the specific effect of No. of bunches per palm it is quite evident as shown from tabulated data in Table (14) that three investigated treatments (8,10 and 12) were significant as compared each other. Hence, the greatest values of TSS/acid ratio were significantly coupled with 8 bunches/palm followed by 10 and 12 bunches per palm, respectively. Such trend was true during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Referring the specific effect of thinning % (0, 10,20 and 30 %), Table (14) display obviously that the highest TSS/acid ratio was significantly in concomitant to 30% thinning percentage during both seasons of study, significantly followed in an ascending order by 20, 10 and zero %, respectively. during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

-Interaction effect: -

As for the interaction effect of different combinations between two studied factors, i.e., (3number of bunches per palm x thinning %), data obtained during two seasons as shown in Table (14) revealed obviously that the greatest TSS/acid ratio was always in significant concomitant to 8 bunches per palm + 30 % thinning during 2016 and 2017 seasons, whereas, the lowest values of TSS/acid ratio was exhibited by 12 bunches per palm + zero % thinning level during both seasons of study.

Table 14. TSS/acid ratio of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches/palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

TSS/acid ratio				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	308.3h	295.5j	275.9k	293.3D
10	340.7d	331.4f	298.6i	323.6C
20	418.7b	340.3c	327.4g	362.1B
30	422.4a	397.8c	338.4e	386.2A
Mean*	372.5A	341.2B	310.1C	

Second season: 2017				
0	281.8g	277.2h	256.6j	271.9D
10	294.7e	287.6f	274.2i	285.5C
20	301.0c	299.0d	277.4h	292.5B
30	316.7a	316.1a	303.7b	312.2A
Mean*	298.5A	295.0B	278.0C	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

-Specific effect: -

As for the specific effect of No. of bunches/palm Table (15) reveals that the response followed typically the same trend previously discussed with the TSS % during two seasons for Sewi date palm fruit. Herein, 8 bunches/palm were significantly the highest in this concern as compared with the others (10 and 12 bunch/palm). Such trend was true during both seasons.

Concerning the specific effect of differential investigated thinning levels. It is so clear that 30 % thinning level was statistically the superior in this concern during both seasons followed by 20 % thinning level in both seasons.

-Interaction effect: -

Table (15) concerning the interaction effect of two studied factors (No. of bunches/palm x thinning levels), it was so obvious that (8 bunches/palm + 30 % thinning levels) had generally the highest Total sugars %, discendingly followed by (10 bunches / palm + 30 % thinning level) and (8 bunches/ palm + 20% thinning level), which ranked second and third from the statically point of view, respectively. On the contrary, (12 bunches /palm + zero thinning level) which statistically the inferior combinations and exhibited generally the least total sugars % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Table 15. Total sugars (%) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches /palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Total sugars (%)				
Thinning (%) \ No. of bunch/palm	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	71.15h	71.09i	70.86j	71.03D
10	72.32d	72.23e	71.45g	72.00C
20	73.47c	72.31d	71.71f	72.49B
30	73.74a	73.53b	72.35d	73.21A
Mean*	72.67A	72.29B	71.59C	
Second season: 2017				
0	70.99i	71.06h	70.59j	70.88D
10	71.12h	71.56g	71.10h	71.26C
20	72.57d	72.05e	71.68f	72.10B
30	73.31a	73.14b	72.70c	73.05A
Mean*	72.00A	71.95B	71.52C	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Reducing sugars (%):

-Specific effect: -

Concerning the specific effect of No. of bunches / palm on reducing sugars %, Table (16) reveals obviously that the highest reducing sugars % induced significantly by 8 bunches / palm, discendingly followed by 10 bunches / palm and 12 bunches / palm during 2016 and 2017 seasons, respectively.

Concerning the reducing sugars % in response to specific effect of thinning %, data obtained during 2016 and 2017 seasons as shown in Table (16) display obviously that 30 % thinning surpassed statistically the other thinning levels, followed by 20 % thinning level and 10 % thinning during 2016 and 2017 seasons, respectively.

-Interaction effect:-

With regard to the interaction effect of two investigated factors on reducing sugars % date palm Sewi cv., it is quite clear that specific effect of each factor was reflected directly on its own combinations. Anyhow, the highest reducing sugars % was significantly coupled with (8 bunches /palm +30 % thinning). On the contrary, the lightest average fruit pulp weight was always in significant concomitant with (12bunches / palm + zero thinning %). In addition, other combinations were in between the abovementioned two extents during both 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Table 16. Reducing sugars (%) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches/palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Reducing sugars (%)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	60.87i	60.77j	60.69k	60.78D
10	61.69f	61.53g	61.49h	61.57C
20	62.66a	61.89d	61.76e	62.10B
30	62.67a	62.46b	62.33c	62.49A
Mean*	61.97A	61.66B	61.57C	
Second season: 2017				
0	61.44g	61.31j	59.91k	60.89D
10	61.40h	61.43gh	61.37i	61.40C
20	62.62d	62.58e	61.47f	62.22B
30	63.04a	62.90b	62.66c	62.87A
Mean*	62.13A	62.06B	61.35C	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

Non-reducing sugars (%): -

-Specific effect: -

With respect to specific effect of No. of bunches per palm, data obtained during both seasons declared that non-reducing sugars (%) of Sewi date palm followed typically the same trend previously discussed with reducing sugars (%) especially in the first season. Meanwhile, the reverse was true in the second season.

Concerning, the specific effect of thinning % (0, 10, 20 and 30%). 30% thinning level increased significantly non-reducing sugars (%) during 2016 and 2017 seasons, followed by 20 % thinning which ranked

statistically second during the first season also zero % thinning level ranked statistically second during the second season.

-Interaction effect: -

Concerning the inter action effect of various combinations between the investigated two factors (3 No. of bunches /palm x 4 thinning level) non-reducing sugars % of date palm Sewi cv. Table (17) shows obviously that the trend of response followed typically the same trend previously discussed with reducing sugars % especially in the first season. Meanwhile, the reverse was true in the second season. Herein, the highest non-reducing sugars (%) was always closed relationship to those Sewi date palm treated with (8 bunches /palm +30 % thinning) in the first season and (12 bunches /palm +zero %thinning) in the second season, followed in an ascending order by (10 bunches / palm + 30 % thinning) in the first season and (8 bunches /palm +30 %thinning) in the second season.

Anyhow, the total soluble solids, total sugars and reducing sugar contents were also increased as a result of fruit thinning on "Amhat" dates [45], "Zaghloul" dates [44] and [42]. Moisture content was decreased in "Barhi" dates and "Samani" dates [46] , while acidity content did not affect by fruit thinning in "Shaman" date fruit [33]. As well as, [22]-thinned "Seewy" bunches with different thinning degrees at various times[22]. Results indicated that thinning treatments improved and increased significantly TSS % than the control. Meanwhile, the best treatment was thinning 30 % of the total number of strands from the center of bunches, 4 weeks after pollination. Beside,authors [41] found that removing 10 % of strands, 25% and 50% randomly from total strands number per bunch of "Kur" date palm cultivar after 5 weeks from pollination, significantly increased TSS content as compared to control. Also, the highest value was obtained by thinning at 50 %, followed by 25 and 10% strand removal from the bunch.

Table 17. Non-reducing sugars (%) of Sewi date palm as influenced by specific and interaction effects of No. of bunches /palm and thinning % during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Non-reducing sugars (%)				
No. of bunch/palm Thinning (%)	8	10	12	Mean**
First season: 2016				
0	10.28e	10.32e	10.17f	10.26C
10	10.63c	10.70c	9.96g	10.43B
20	10.81b	10.41d	9.95g	10.39B
30	11.07a	11.07a	10.02g	10.72A
Mean*	10.69A	10.63B	10.02C	
Second season: 2017				
0	9.55h	9.75g	10.68a	9.99B
10	9.72g	10.13d	9.72g	9.86C
20	9.95f	9.47i	10.21c	9.87C
30	10.26b	10.24bc	10.04e	10.18A
Mean*	9.87C	9.90B	10.16A	

*and ** refer to specific effect of No. of bunches /palm and thinning %, respectively.

Means of specific and interaction effects followed by the same capital and small letter/s, respectively did not significantly differ at 5 % level.

4. CONCLUSION

[It can be recommended from the results of this study that, the use of 8 bunches/palm with 30% thinning level of strands from the center of the bunch was the best in most of the measurements studied so we recommend the use this treatment on the date palm Sewi under the same conditions]

REFERENCES

1. Lunde, P., (1978). A History of Dates. Saudi Aramco World, 29(2): ~~pp~~ 176-179.
2. Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation (2015). Total Area, Yield and Production for Palm Dates, Economic Affairs, Stats. Sector. P. 352.
3. El-Salhy, A.; H.A. Abdel-Galil; A.A. El-Bana and E.F. Ahmed (2010). Effect of pollen grains suspensions spraying on yield and fruit quality of Saidy date palm cultivar. Acta Horti. 882 Dec. 329-336 Pro. of 4th Iner. Date Palm Con.
4. Iqbal, M; M.Q. Khan; M. Munir; S. Rehman; H. Rehman and M. Niamatullah. (2010). Effect of different pollination techniques on fruit set pomological characters and yield of Dhakki date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L) in Dera Ismail Khan, KP. Sarhad. J. Agric., 26 (4): 515-51
5. Abdel-Hamid, N. (2000). Effect of time, rate and patterns of thinning, leaf/bunch ratio and male type on "Zaghloul" date yield and quality. Arab Universities Journal of Agricultural Sciences. Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. 8(1), 305-317.
6. Ali-Dinar, H.M.; A.A. Alkhateeb; I. Al-Abdulahadi; A. Alkhateeb; K.A. Abugulia and G.R. Abdulla (2002). Bunch thinning improves yield and fruit quality of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.). Egypt. J. Applied Sci., 17 (11): 228-238.
7. Marashi, S. and A. Mousavi (2007). Effects of different methods and degrees of fruit thinning on yield and fruit characteristics of Barhee date cultivar. Acta Hort. (ISHS) 736: 187-192.
8. Mostafa R.A.A. and M.M. El Akkad (2011). Effect of fruit thinning rate on yield and fruit quality of Zaghloul and Haiany date palms. Australian J. of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5(12): 3233-3239.
9. Khalifa, A.S., Z.H. Hamdy, H.M. El-Masry, M.R. Tadros and G. Said. (1984). Effect of some growth regulators on thinning "Amhat" date palm. Agricultural Research Review. 62(3A): 255-266.
10. Awad, M.A. (2006). Accelerating ripening of date palm fruit (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) cv. "Helaly" by some pre and post-harvest treatments. Abstracts of the International Conference on Date Palm Production & Processing Technology. Muscat, Oman. May 2006. p. 13.
11. Abdel-Galil, H.A.; A.M. El-Salhy; M.M. El-Akkad and Y.M. Diab (2008). Effect of different methods and dates of fruit thinning on "Sewy" date yield and quality under New Valley conditions. The 3rd Int. Conf. on Date Palm, 25-27 April, El-Arish, Egypt.
12. Akl, A.M.; M.A. Ragab and A.Y. Mohamed (2004). Yield and fruit quality of Sewy date palms in response to some fruit thinning treatments. The Second Inter. Conf. on Date Palm Faculty of Envir. Agric. Sci., El-Arish, Suez Canal Univ. Egypt. 6-8 Oct.
13. El-Assar, A.M. (2005). Response of "Zaghloul" date yield and fruit characteristics to various organic and inorganic fertilization types as well as fruit thinning models in a rich carbonate soil. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30(5):2795-2814.
14. Al-Wasfy, M.M. and R.A.A. Mostafa (2008). Effect of different methods of fruit thinning on Zaghloul date palm production and fruit quality. Assiut J. of Agric. Sci., 39(1): 97-106.
15. Selim, H.A., El-Mahdi, M.A.M. and El-Hakeem, M.S. (1968). Studies on the evaluation of 15 local date varieties grown under desert condition in Siwa Oasis, UAR. Bull. De l'institut du Desert d'egypt, T. XVIII, No.1.
16. A.O.A.C. (1995). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis, 15th ed. Washington, D.C., USA.
17. Abd-El-Rahman, M. H. (1974). Studies on physiological and physical changes in the fruit of some date varieties after maturity. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.
18. Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran. (1980). "Statistical Methods", Seventh edition. Iowa State Univ. Press, Amer. Iowa, U.S.A.
19. Duncan, D.B. (1995). Multiple range and multiple F-test. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
20. El-Hammady, M.M.; A.S. Khalifa and A.M. El-Hammady. (1983). Fruit thinning in date palm with ethephon. Proc. Of the First Symp. On the Date Palm. Al-Hassa, Saudi Arabia. Jan., 1983. vol. 1: 284-294.
21. Hussein, M.A., S.Z. El-Agamy, Kamelia, I.A. Amin and S. Galal. (1993 b). Physiological studies for prolonging harvest date of "Samani" dates under Assiut Governorate conditions. B- Effect of ethephon and fruit thinning. Proc. Of the Third Symposium On Date Palm. Al-Hassa, Saudi Arabia. Jan., 1993. vol. I: 435-444.

643 22. Moustafa, A. A. (1993). Effect of fruit thinning on yield and fruit quality of "Seewy" date palms
644 under El-Fayoum Governorate conditions. Proc. of the Third Symp. On the Date Palm. Al-Hassa,
645 Saudi Arabia. Jan., v. I: 239-246.

646 23. Harhash, M.M. (2001). Effect of fruit thinning and potassium fertilization on "Seewy" date palms
647 grown at Siwa Oasis. Abstracts of the Second International Conference on Date Palms. Al-Ain, United
648 Arab Emirates. March, 2001. p.29.

649 24. El-Shazly, S.M. (1999). Effect of fruit thinning on yield and fruit quality of "Nabtet Ali" Saudi date
650 palm. The International Conference on Date Palm. Nov., Assiut Univ. Center for Environmental
651 Studies. Conference book, 17-32.

652 25. Bassal, M. A. (2003). Effect of thinning and some growth regulators on yield and fruit quality of
653 Zaghloul date palm. Proceedings of the International conference on Date palm Sep.16-19: 419:433.

654 26. Amin, K.I.A.; A.M. El-Salhy; H.M. Marzouk and A.M. El-Kady (2007). Effect of fruit thinning on
655 yield, fruit development and its quality of "Haiany" and "Halawy" date palm cultivars. Abstracts of the
656 Fourth Symposium on Date Palm. Al-Hassa, Saudi Arabia. May 2007. p. 114.

657 27. Soliman, S. S.; R. S. Al-Obeed and M. M. Harhash (2011). Effects of bunch thinning on yield and
658 fruit quality of khalas date palm cultivar. World J. of Agric.Sci., 7(1): 42-46.

659 28. Soliman S. S. and M. M. Harhash (2012). Effects of strands thinning on yield and fruit quality of
660 Succary date palm. African J. of Biotechnology. 11(11): 2672-2676.

661 29. Soliman, S. S.; R. S. Al-Obeed and M. M. Harhash (2011). Effects of bunch thinning on yield and
662 fruit quality of khalas date palm cultivar. World J. of Agric.Sci.7(1): 42-46.

663 30. Moustafa, A.A. (1998). Studies on pollination of date palms. Proc. The First International
664 Conference on Date Palms. Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates. March, 1998. pp. 39-48.

665

666 31. El-Tanahy, M.M., E.H. Agamia and N.M.G. Abel-Hamid. (1982). Effect of ethrel and pyrogallol on
667 physical and chemical properties of "Hayany" date fruits. Annals of Agric. Science. Moshtohor, 18:
668 235-249.

669 32. Moustafa, A.A. and S.A. Seif. (1993). Effect of ethrel and GA3 treatments on yield and fruit quality
670 of "Seewy" date palms, Grown in El-Fayoum Governorate. Proc. Of the First Symp. On the Date
671 Palm. Al-Hassa, Saudi Arabia. Jan.,1993. vol-1: 379-388.

672

673 33. Godara, R.K.; Godara, N.R. and N.S. Nehra (1990). Effect of level of thinning on ripening of date
674 palm fruit (Phoenix dactylifera L.) cv. Shamran. Research-and-Development Reporter, 7: 1-2, 21-25.

675 34. Shabana, H.R., R.M.K. Al-Shariqui, M.I. Mansoor, A.M. Nasar and W.M. Al-Safadi. (1998). Effect
676 of bunch thinning on fruit quality of some date palm cultivars. Proc. of the First International
677 Conference on Date Palms. Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates. March, 1998. p.379-384.

678 35. Badran, M.A.F.M. (1999). Effect of bagging and fruit thinning treatments on yield and fruit quality
679 of "Zaghloul" dates under Aswan conditions. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ.

680 36. Mahmoud, H. M; El-Mandy, T. k. and Fouad, M. A. (2003). Effect of bagging and fruit thinning
681 treatments on yield and fruit quality of "Zaghloul" under Aswan conditions. Proceedings of the
682 International conference on Date palm 247:259.

683 37. Al-Obeed, R.S.; M.A. Harhash and N.S. Fayeze (2003 a). Effect of bunch thinning on yield and fruit
684 quality of "Succary" date palm cultivar grown in Riyadh region. Abstracts of the International
685 Conference on Date Palm. Qassem, Saudi Arabia. Sep., 2003. p. 18.

686 38. Al-Obeed, R.S., M.A. Harhash and N.S. Fayeze (2003 b). Effect of chemical thinning on yield and
687 fruit quality of "Succary" date palm cultivar grown in Riyadh region. Abstracts of the International
688 Conference on Date Palm. Qassem, Saudi Arabia. Sep., 2003. p. 15.

689 39. Al-Obeed, R.S.; M.A. Harhash and N.S. Fayeze (2005). Effect of bunch thinning on yield and fruit
690 quality of Succary date palm cultivar grown in the Riyadh region. J. King Saud Univ. Agric. Sci. 17(2):
691 235-249.

692 40. Kaur, N., J.S. Josan and P.K. Monga. (2006). Fruit thinning of adtes in relation to fruit size and
693 quality. II. Abstracts of Poster sessions. Third International Date Palm Conference. Feb., 2006. Abu
694 Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. p. 56.

695

696 41. Bashir, M.A.; M. Ahmad; F. Altaf and K. Shabir (2014). Fruit quality and yield of date palm
697 (Phoenix dactylifera L.) as affected by strand thinning. J. Anim. Plant Sci., 24 (3): 951-954.

698 42. El-Makhtoun, F.M.; A.M. Abd El-Kader and A.A. Abd El-Aal (1995). Effect of different fruit thinning
699 methods on yield and fruit characteristics of "Zaghloul" dates. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 22(1): 143-149.

700 43. Osman, S.M. and Soliman, S.S (2001). Effect of thinning on fruiting and fruit characteristics of
701 some dry date palm cultivars under Aswan conditions. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. Vol. 26 No. 3: 845-858.

- 702 44. El-Kassas, S.E. (1986). Manual Bunch and chemical thinning of "Zaghloul" dates. Proc. of the
703 second Symposium On Date Palm. Al-Hassa, Saudi Arabia. March,1986. vol. I: 187-196.
704 45. Azzouz, S. and Z. Hamdy (1976). Effect of fruit thinning on fruit quality and trees production of
705 dates (Var. Amhat). Agric. Res. Rev. 52 (3): 9-14.
706 46. Hussein, M.A.; S.Z. E-Agamy, Kamelia I.A. Amin and S. Galal (1993). Physiological studies for
707 prolonging harvest date of "Samani" dates under Assiut Governorate conditions. A- Effect of GA3 and
708 fruit thinning. Proc. Of the Third Symposium On Date Palm. Al-Hassa, Saudi Arabia. Jan.,1993. vol. I:
709 423-433.
710

UNDER PEER REVIEW