



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_44724
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Traditionally used Wild Edible Plants for its Impact on Health and Livelihood of the Ethnic Communities of Tripura.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1.Abstract - 15 line-require sustainable use of the plant resources by control on their harvest and conservation of the wild edible plant resources 2. Please check paragraph alignment throughout the manuscript 3.Background-48 line-Central India 4.Materials and Methods-59 line-North-East 5. Materials and Methods-74 line-2100 mm (remove bold) 6.Glimpses of survey- can include name of wild plants, location of plant collection 7.Table.1- can mention meaning of khar pani in English 8.Results and Documentation-130 line-(2009) documented 9.Table.3- <i>Basella alba</i> - can mention community, <i>Euryale ferox</i> Salisb - nutritious 10.Results and Discussion-146 line- explain WEP 11. Results and Discussion-165 line-use, management and conservation of wild edible plants 12.References-185 line- Deb D, Sarkar A, Deb Barma B, Datta BK and- remove italic	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.Mahalakshmi
Department, University & Country	India