



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJHR_45766 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | In vivo effect of aqueous extract of Moringa leaves on postharvest shelf life and quality of tomato fruits inoculated with fungal pathogens |
| New Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of aqueous extract of <i>Moringa</i> leaves on postharvest shelf life and quality of tomato fruits inoculated with fungal pathogens in Makurdi |
| Type of Article: | Original Research Article |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|---|---|
| <p>I have read author's comments but not satisfied with it, this format is allowed in the thesis but for the publication, the table and results should be given in the scientific way and in precise format. Author wrote 80g/mL and 100g/mL and I recommended to write 80 and 100% w/v, because good researchers always used concentration in percentage.</p> <p>Note: its too much lengthy due to tables, therefore table was suggested in one format, the author didn't look the table carefully</p> | <p>Please, the presentation of the tables in the manuscript is highly scientific as opposed to your sugession. The presentation of the tables in the format suggested will introduce ambiquity into the manuscript which is not acceptable. Thanks.</p> <p>There are two major ways to determine the concentration of an extract.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Weight by volume 2. Volume by volume <p>What you have suggested is a combination of both and therefore not acceptable. You either use one or the other. There is no rule that suggests that I must report it the way you have stated. Thanks.</p> <p>The manuscript is not lengthy as a result of the tables. It is lengthy because so many parameters were brought into consideration. Thanks.</p> |